

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

MELISSA MAYS, individually and as next friend of three minor children, Michael Mays, Jacqueline Pemberton, Keith John Pemberton, Elnora Carthan, and Rhonda Kelso, individually and as next friend of one minor child, all on behalf of themselves and a class of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

GOVERNOR RICK SNYDER, in his individual and official capacities, and the State of Michigan for prospective relief only; Daniel Wyant, Nick Lyon, Andy Dillon, Liane Shekter Smith, Adam Rosenthal, Stephen Busch, Patrick Cook, Michael Prysby, Bradley Wurfel all in their individual capacities; Jeff Wright, Edward Kurtz, Darnell Earley, Gerald Ambrose, Dayne Walling, Howard Croft, Michael Glasgow and Daugherty Johnson in their individual and official capacities, and the City of Flint, a municipal corporation, jointly and severally,

Defendants.

Case No.: 15-14002

Hon. John Corbett O'Meara

Mag. Judge Mona K. Majzoub

William Goodman P14173
Julie H. Hurwitz P34720
Goodman & Hurwitz, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
1394 E. Jefferson Ave.
Detroit, MI 48207
313-567-6170

Trachelle C. Young P63330
Trachelle C Young & Associates PLLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
2501 N Saginaw St
Flint, MI 48505-4443
810-239-6302
trachelleyoung@gmail.com

bgoodman@goodmanhurwitz.com
jhurwitz@goodmanhurwitz.com

Michael L. Pitt (P24429)
Cary S. McGehee (P42318)
Beth M. Rivers (P33614)
Peggy G. Pitt (P31407)
Pitt McGehee Palmer & Rivers, PC

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

117 W. Fourth Street, Suite 200
Royal Oak, MI 48067
248-398-9800

mpitt@pittlawpc.com
cmcgehee@pittlawpc.com
brivers@pittlawpc.com
ppitt@pittlawpc.com

Robin L. Greenwald
William Walsh
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
rgreenwald@weitzlux.com
wwalsh@weitzlux.com

John M. Broaddus
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

200 Lake Drive east, Suite 205
Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002
Telephone: (856) 755-1115
jbroadus@weitzlux.com

Anthony Chubb (P72608)
Interim Chief Legal Officer
William Y. Kim (P76411)
David B. Roth (P77971)
Angela Wheeler (P64079)

Deborah A. LaBelle (P31595)
Law Offices of Deborah A. LaBelle
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
221 N. Main Street, Suite 300
Ann Arbor, MI 48104
(734) 996-5620
deblabelle@aol.com

Paul F. Novak P39524
Gregory Stamatopolous P74199
John Hughes P76455
MILBERG LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Chrysler House
719 Griswold Street, Suite 620
Detroit, Michigan 48826
Telephone: (313) 309-1760
pnovak@milberg.com
gstamatopolous@milberg.com
jhughes@milberg.com

Brian McKeen P34123
Salvatore Amodeo P80290
McKEEN & ASSOCIATES, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
645 Griswold Street, Suite 4200
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Telephone: (313) 961-4400
BjMcKeen@mckeenassociates.com
Samodeo@mckeenassociates.com

Richard S. Kuhl (P42042)
Margaret A. Bettenhausen (P75046)
Nate Gambill (P75506)
Attorneys for Governor Rick Snyder
Michigan Department of Attorney
General
Environment, Natural Resources,
and Agriculture Division
525 West Ottawa Street

Assistant City Attorneys
City of Flint Department of Law
Attorneys for Darnell Earley, Gerald Ambrose, Dayne Walling, Howard Croft, Michael Glasgow and Daugherty Johnson
1101 S. Saginaw, 3rd Floor
Flint, MI 48502
(810)766-7146
achubb@cityofflint.com
wkim@cityofflint.com
droth@cityofflint.com
awheeler@cityofflint.com

Philip A. Grashoff, Jr. (P14279)
Dennis K. Egan (P29116)
Krista A. Jackson (P66303)
Kotz Sangster Wysocki, P.C.
Attorneys for Stephen Busch
36700 Woodward Ave., Suite 202
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304
(248) 646-1050
degan@kotzsangster.com
kjackson@kotzsangster.com

Frederick A. Berg, Jr. (P38002)
Sheldon H. Klein (P41062)
Butzel Long
Attorney for City of Flint
150 W. Jefferson Avenue, Suite 100
Detroit MI 48226
(313) 225-7000
bergf@butzel.com
klein@butzel.com

P.O. Box 30755
Lansing, MI 48933
(517) 373-7540
Fax: (517) 373-1610
kuhlr@michigan.gov
bettenhausenm@michigan.gov
gambilln@michigan.gov

Charles E. Barbieri (P31793)
Bruce A. Vande Vusse (P28547)
Ray H. Littleton II (P69733)
Allison M. Collins (P78849)
Foster Swift Collins & Smith, P.C.
Attorneys for Patrick Cook, Michael Prysby and Adam Rosenthal
313 S. Washington Square
Lansing, MI 48933
(517) 371-8155
cbarbieri@fosterswift.com

Jay M. Berger (P57663)
Clark Hill PLC
212 E Grand River Ave
Lansing, MI 48906-4328
(517) 318-3043
jberger@clarkhill.com
Michael J. Pattwell (P72419)
Clark Hill PLC
Attorney for Defendants Daniel Wyant and Bradley Wurfel
212 E. Grand River Ave.
Lansing, MI 48906
(517) 318-3043
mpattwell@clarkhill.com

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND DECLARATORY
RELIEF MONEY DAMAGES AND JURY DEMAND**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT	1
SUMMARY OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL AND CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS AND INJURIES ALLEGED IN THIS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	3
PARTIES.....	4
A. Plaintiffs	4
THE MAYS FAMILY	5
THE PEMBERTON FAMILY	6
THE CARTHAN FAMILY	6
THE KELSO FAMILY	7
B. Defendants	7
STATEMENT OF FACTS	16
CLASS ALLEGATIONS	36
COUNT I – CAUSE OF ACTION	
42 U.S.C. § 1983 – 14th Amendment Substantive Due Process – State Created Danger – All Defendants	38
COUNT II-CAUSE OF ACTION	
42 U.S.C. § 1983 – 14th Amendment Substantive Due Process – Bodily Integrity – All Defendants	41
COUNT III-CAUSE OF ACTION	
42 U.S.C. § 1983 – 5th and 14th Amendments Equal Protection of the Law: Race- based – Plaintiffs v. Snyder, Dillon, Wright, Ambrose, Kurtz and Earley	42
COUNT IV-CAUSE OF ACTION	
42 U.S.C. § 1983 – 5th and 14th Amendments Equal Protection of the Law: Wealth-based – Plaintiffs v. Snyder, Dillon, Wright, Walling, Ambrose, Kurtz and	

Earley.....46

COUNT V-CAUSE OF ACTION

42 U.S.C. § 1985(3) Invidious Racial Animus – Plaintiffs v. Snyder, Dillion,
Wright, Walling, Ambrose, Kurtz, and Earley50

COUNT VI-CAUSE OF ACTION

MCL 37. 2302 – Violation of Public Service Provisions of ELCRA – Plaintiffs v.
Snyder, Dillion, Wright, Walling, Ambrose, Kurtz and Earley, Flint53

PRAYER FOR RELIEF57

JURY DEMAND57

INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

1. This class action is pursued on behalf of tens of thousands of residents and other Flint water users – adults, children, business owners, homeowners, workers, students, and all other victims of Defendants’ unconstitutional and unlawful conduct – who from April 25, 2014 to the present (the “Class Period”), have experienced and will continue to experience serious personal injury and property damage caused by Defendants’ deliberate misconduct. Defendants caused a public health crisis by exposing Plaintiffs to contaminated water. Defendants also exacerbated the crisis by concealing and misrepresenting its scope, failing to take effective remedial action to eliminate it, and then lying about it to cover up their misconduct.

Summary of the Constitutional and Civil Rights Violations and Injuries Alleged in this First Amended Complaint:

- i. *Due process based on state created danger doctrine:* Plaintiffs have sustained violations of their substantive due process rights, including their fundamental right to not have the state create, inflict and/or exacerbate dangers through the culpable actions of public officials;
- ii. *Due process based on bodily integrity doctrine:* Plaintiffs have sustained violations of their substantive due process rights, including their fundamental right to not have their bodily integrity violated;

iii. *Equal protection, race:* Plaintiffs have sustained serious injuries as a result of some of the Defendants' decision to deliver a superior water product to the water users in the remainder of Genesee County because that community was predominately white, while at the same time delivering a grossly inferior water product to water users in Flint because that community was predominately African American;

iv. *Equal protection, wealth:* Plaintiffs have sustained serious injuries as a result of some of the Defendants' decision to protect the health of the water users in the remainder of Genesee County because that community was predominately more affluent and at the same time disregard the health of water users in Flint because that community was predominately poor;

v. *Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3):* Plaintiffs have sustained serious injuries as a result of the conspiracy of two or more of the Defendants to directly or indirectly conspire to violate Plaintiffs' constitutional rights, said conspiracy being based on invidious racial animus;

vi. *Violation of Elliot Larsen Civil Rights Act ("ELCRA"):* Plaintiffs have sustained serious injuries as a result of their denial of the full and equal enjoyment of services provided by some of the Defendants because they were residents of a predominately African American community; and

vii. *United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) Negligence:* Plaintiffs have sustained serious injuries as a result of the actions of the EPA. An administrative claim against the EPA was filed on April 25, 2016 pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act. It is anticipated that on October 25, 2016, or thereafter, the EPA will be joined as an additional party defendant to this action.

2. Plaintiffs sustained personal injury, property damage, economic and emotional injury as a result of the constitutional and civil rights violations of the Defendants.

JURISDICTION

3. This is a civil action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 seeking injunctive and declaratory relief together with monetary damages against Defendants for violations of the Thirteenth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution, and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. 2000d, *et seq.*

4. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, which authorizes federal courts to decide cases concerning federal questions; 28 U.S.C. §1343(a)(3) and (4), which authorizes federal courts to hear civil rights cases; and 28 U.S.C. § 2201, the Declaratory Judgment Act and supplemental jurisdiction over the Elliot Larsen Civil Rights Act claim (MCL 37.2202) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants named herein as public officials of the State of Michigan, including the Emergency Managers, sued in their individual capacities; and public officials and employees of the City of Flint, sued in their official and individual capacities; the Genesee County Drain Commissioner, sued in his official and individual capacity; and the City of Flint for its customs, policies or practices which affirmatively caused and/or contributed to the violations of Plaintiffs' Constitutional rights.

6. Similarly, this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Governor of the State of Michigan, in his individual and official capacity, and the State of Michigan, both for prospective relief, exclusively.

7. Venue is proper in this Court as Defendants conduct their business in the Eastern District of Michigan.

PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFFS

8. Plaintiff representatives are citizens of the United States, and at all relevant times were residents of Flint and/or regular users of water from the City of Flint – individuals, home owners, business owners, property owners, parents and minors – who, since April 25, 2014, were exposed and continue to be exposed to highly dangerous conditions created, caused and prolonged by Defendants' deliberate decision to (1) use the toxic Flint River as a primary drinking source; (2)

conceal the resulting public health crisis; and (3) exacerbate and prolong the harm by failing to effectively remediate the public health crisis they created and attempted to conceal.

9. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and a Class of individuals and entities who were injured in their persons or their property after April 25, 2014.

10. Unless otherwise noted, the term "Plaintiffs," as used in this First Amended Complaint, shall mean Plaintiffs and all members of the Class they purport to represent.

THE MAYS FAMILY

11. Plaintiff Melissa Mays, age 37, is married to Plaintiff Michael Mays, age 40, and is mother and next friend of three minor children, ages 17, 12, and 11.

12. At all relevant times, the Mays family lived in a single family home built around 1910 located on Beecher Street in Flint, Michigan. Melissa and Michael Mays were the equitable owners of the home located on Beecher Street.

13. Members of the Mays family regularly used the water for drinking, cooking, washing, bathing and clothes washing at various times during the Class Period.

14. As a proximate result of Defendants' actions, as set forth herein, members of the Mays family have experienced and will continue to experience injuries and damages due to the actions of the Defendants.

THE PEMBERTON FAMILY

15. Plaintiff Keith John Pemberton, age 67, is married to Plaintiff Jacqueline Pemberton age 66.

16. At all relevant times, the Pembertons owned and lived in a single family home located on Custer Street in Flint, Michigan. The Pembertons regularly used the water for drinking, cooking, washing, bathing and clothes washing at various times during the Class Period.

17. As a proximate result of Defendants' actions, as set forth herein, the Pembertons have experienced and will continue to experience injuries and damages due to the actions of the Defendants.

THE CARTHAN FAMILY

18. Plaintiff Elnora Carthan is a 70 year old widow of African American descent.

19. Since 1976, and at all relevant times, Ms. Carthan owned and lived in a single family house located on McClellan Street in Flint, Michigan. Ms. Carthan regularly used the water for drinking, cooking, washing, bathing and clothes washing at various times during the Class Period.

20. As a proximate result of Defendants' actions, as set forth herein, Ms. Carthan has experienced and will continue to experience injuries and damages due to the actions of the Defendants.

THE KELSO FAMILY

21. Plaintiff Rhonda Kelso is a 52 year old woman of African American descent.

22. Since 1993, and at all relevant times, Ms. Kelso owned and lived in her single family home located on Grace Street in Flint, Michigan with her family.

23. Ms. Kelso lives at the aforementioned home with her minor daughter "K.E.K." who is 12 years old. K.E.K. is a special needs student in school and suffers from a number of disabilities including, but not limited to, hearing impairment, cardiac problems, and developmental delays involving speech and language. Ms. Kelso and K.E.K. regularly used the water for drinking, cooking, washing, bathing and clothes washing at various times during the Class Period.

24. As a proximate result of Defendants' actions, as set forth herein, Ms. Kelso and K.E.K. have experienced and will continue to experience injuries and damages due to the actions of the Defendants.

B. DEFENDANTS

25. All individual Defendants are sued in their individual and/or official capacities as indicated below.

26. Defendant Rick Snyder is the Governor of the State of Michigan (“Governor”) and is vested with executive power pursuant to Art. V, Section 1 of the Michigan Constitution. The Governor is responsible for the management of state government for the health and welfare of its citizens and residents and is sued by Plaintiffs and the Class in both his individual capacity for compensation for the Plaintiffs, insofar as his deliberate conduct constituted an abuse and/or misuse of his authority, and, in his official capacity, for prospective equitable relief to correct the harm caused and prolonged by state government and to prevent future injury. The Governor is sued in his individual capacity for the injuries he caused to Plaintiffs resulting from his deliberately indifferent deprivation of Plaintiffs’ constitutional and civil rights.

27. Defendant State of Michigan (“the State”) operates its Department of Environmental Quality (“MDEQ”), which is the state department primarily responsible for the environmental safety and health of Michigan citizens and residents. The State is sued for injunctive and/or prospective relief, because, acting through MDEQ and its employees, it caused the public health crisis at issue in this case, concealed the harm that it caused and has exacerbated and prolonged the injuries to Plaintiffs by failing to effectively remediate the harm it caused and concealed.

28. Daniel Wyant (“Wyant”) was Director of MDEQ and is sued by Plaintiffs in his individual capacity because he participated in the decisions that deliber-

ately created, increased and prolonged the public health crisis at issue in this case and participated in the concealment of the harm.

29. Andy Dillon (“Dillon”) was Treasurer for the State of Michigan and is sued by Plaintiffs in his individual capacity because along with the Governor, Jeff Wright, Dayne Walling and Edward Kurtz, caused harm to Plaintiffs when they developed an interim water delivery plan in June 2013 which favored the predominately white Genesee County water users and discriminated against the water users in Flint, a predominantly African American community.

30. Nick Lyon (“Lyon”) was Director of the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (“MDHSS”) and is sued by Plaintiffs in his individual capacity because he participated in the decisions that deliberately created, increased and prolonged the public health crisis at issue in this case and participated in the concealment of the harm his department caused Plaintiffs.

31. Liane Shekter Smith (“Smith”) was Chief of the Office of Drinking Water and Municipal Assistance for MDEQ, holding that position until October 19, 2015 when she was removed from her position. Smith is sued in her individual capacity because during her term as Chief of Drinking Water for MDEQ, she approved and participated in the decisions that deliberately created, increased and prolonged the public health crisis at issue in this case and participated in the concealment of the harm her department caused Plaintiffs.

32. Adam Rosenthal (“Rosenthal”) was a Water Quality Analyst assigned to the Lansing District Office of the MDEQ. Rosenthal is sued in his individual capacity because, as Water Quality Analyst for MDEQ, he approved and participated in, the decisions that deliberately created, increased and prolonged the public health crisis at issue in this case and participated in the concealment of the harm his department caused Plaintiffs.

33. Stephen Busch (“Busch”) was District Supervisor assigned to the Lansing District Office of the MDEQ. Busch is sued in his individual capacity because, as District Office Supervisor of MDEQ, he deliberately created, increased and prolonged the public health crisis at issue in this case and participated in the concealment of the harm his department caused Plaintiffs.

34. Patrick Cook (“Cook”) was at all relevant times a Water Treatment Specialist assigned to the Lansing Community Drinking Water Unit of the MDEQ. Cook is sued in his individual capacity because, as Water Treatment Specialist District of MDEQ, he approved of, and thereby participated in, the decisions that deliberately created, increased and prolonged the public health crisis at issue in this case and participated in the concealment of the harm his department caused Plaintiffs.

35. Michael Prysby (“Prysby”) was an Engineer assigned to District 11 (Genesee County) of the MDEQ. Prysby is sued in his individual capacity be-

cause, as Engineer assigned to District 11, he approved of, and thereby participated in the decisions that deliberately created, increased and prolonged the public health crisis at issue in this case and participated in the concealment of harm his department caused Plaintiffs.

36. Bradley Wurfel (“Wurfel”) was the Director of Communications for MDEQ. Wurfel is sued in his individual capacity because, as Director of Communications for MDEQ, he was responsible for the deliberately misleading and inaccurate communications that increased and prolonged the public health crisis at issue in this case and for making false statements and providing false assurances which caused harm to Plaintiffs.

37. Jeff Wright (“Wright”) has been the Genesee County Drain Commissioner since 2001. Wright is sued in his individual capacity because, as the Genesee County Drain Commissioner, he conspired with other Defendants to deprive Plaintiffs of their civil and constitutional rights and participated in and/or aided and abetted others to violate Plaintiffs’ rights to full and equal enjoyment of public services as guaranteed under the ELCRA and the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment, as well as the 13th Amendment of the United States Constitution.

38. Edward Kurtz (“Kurtz”) was the Emergency Manager of Flint appointed by the Governor in August 2012 and served in this capacity until July 2013. Kurtz is sued in his individual capacity because, during his term as Emer-

gency Manager of Flint, he deliberately created, increased and prolonged the public health crisis at issue in this case and participated in the concealment of the harm he caused Plaintiffs. Kurtz is also sued because he conspired with other Defendants to deprive Plaintiffs of their civil and constitutional rights and participated in or aided and/or abetted others to violate Plaintiffs' rights to full and equal enjoyment of public services as guaranteed under the ELCRA and the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment, as well as the 13th Amendment of the United States Constitution.

39. Darnell Earley ("Earley") was the Emergency Manager of the City of Flint appointed by the Governor on November 1, 2013 and served in this capacity until January 12, 2015. Earley is sued in his individual capacity because, during his term as Emergency Manager of Flint, he deliberately created, increased and prolonged the public health crisis at issue in this case and participated in the concealment of the harm he caused Plaintiffs. Earley is also sued because he conspired with other Defendants to deprive Plaintiffs of their civil and constitutional rights and participated in and/or aided and abetted others to violate Plaintiffs' rights to full and equal enjoyment of public services as guaranteed under the ELCRA and the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment, as well as the 13th Amendment of the United States Constitution.

40. Gerald Ambrose (“Ambrose”) was the Emergency Manager of the City of Flint appointed by the Governor on January 13, 2015 and served in this capacity until April 28, 2015. Ambrose is sued in his individual capacity because, during his term as Emergency Manager of Flint, he deliberately increased and prolonged the public health crisis at issue in this case and participated in the concealment of the harm he caused Plaintiffs. Ambrose is also sued because he conspired with other Defendants to deprive Plaintiffs of their civil and constitutional rights and participated in and/or aided and abetted others to violate Plaintiffs’ rights to full and equal enjoyment of public services as guaranteed under the ELCRA and the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment, as well as the 13th Amendment of the United States Constitution.

41. Dayne Walling (“Walling”) was Mayor of Flint from August 4, 2009 until November 9, 2015 when he was unseated by Karen Weaver. Walling is sued in both his individual and official capacities. He is individually liable insofar as he personally approved of, and thereby participated in, the decisions that deliberately created, increased and prolonged the public health crisis at issue in this case and participated in the concealment of the harm he caused Plaintiffs. Walling is also sued because he conspired with other Defendants to deprive Plaintiffs of their civil and constitutional rights and participated in and/or aided and abetted others to violate Plaintiffs’ rights to full and equal enjoyment of public services as guaranteed

under the ELCRA and the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment, as well as the 13th Amendment of the United States Constitution. Additionally, as Mayor, he was a policymaker for Defendant City of Flint within the meaning of *Monell*, and as such his actions constituted customs, policies and/or practices of Defendant City of Flint.

42. Howard Croft (“Croft”) was Director of Public Works for the City of Flint. Croft is sued in his individual capacity because, as Director of Public Works, he approved of, and thereby participated in, the decisions that deliberately created, increased and prolonged the public health crisis at issue in this case and participated in the concealment of the harm he caused Plaintiffs.

43. Michael Glasgow (“Glasgow”) was Utilities Administrator for the City of Flint. Glasgow is sued in his individual capacity because as Utilities Administrator, he deliberately created, increased and prolonged the public health crisis at issue in this case and participated in the concealment of the harm he caused Plaintiffs.

44. Daugherty Johnson (“Johnson”) was the Utilities Administrator for the City of Flint. Johnson is sued in his individual capacity because, as Utilities Administrator, he approved of, and thereby participated in the decisions that deliberately created, increased and prolonged the public health crisis at issue in this case and participated in the concealment of the harm he caused Plaintiffs.

45. The City of Flint (“Flint”) is a municipal corporation, so authorized by the laws of the State of Michigan that operates Department of Public Works and provides water to its residents and property owners as part of its responsibilities and services. Flint is a Defendant because, despite the protests of a number of elected and appointed officials within the organization, the municipal corporation itself, through its policies, customs and practices deliberately created, increased and prolonged the public health crisis at issue in this case and participated in the concealment of the harm it caused Plaintiffs. Flint is also sued because it deprived Plaintiffs of their civil and constitutional rights by violating Plaintiffs’ rights to full and equal enjoyment of public services as guaranteed under the ELCRA.

46. Defendants Kurtz, Earley and Ambrose as Emergency Managers, acted in both their individual capacities and as agents of the State of Michigan, and their official capacities as policy makers for Defendant City of Flint within the meaning of *Monell*, and as such their actions constituted customs, policies and/or practices of Defendant City of Flint.

47. At all relevant times hereto, the conduct of Defendants Walling, Croft, Glasgow and Johnson was pursuant to the customs, policies and/or practices of Defendant City of Flint.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

48. From 1964 to 2014, Flint water users received their water from Lake Huron via the Detroit Water and Sewerage Department (“DWSD”). During this 50 year span, the Flint water users enjoyed safe, clean, fresh water in their homes, businesses, schools, hospitals and other places of public services.

49. Motivated principally by the actions, political pressure and efforts of Genesee County Drain Commissioner Jeffrey Wright, in 2009, the communities of Flint, Genesee County, Sanilac County, Lapeer County and City of Lapeer, formed the Karegnondi Water Authority (“KWA”) to explore the development of a water delivery system which would draw water from Lake Huron and serve as an alternative to water delivered by the DWSD.

50. In 2011, Flint officials commissioned a study to determine if the Flint River could be safely used by the city as the primary source of drinking water. The “Analysis of the Flint River as a Permanent Supply for the City of Flint, July 2011” (“2011 Report”), prepared by Rowe Engineering and Lockwood, Andrews and Newnam (“LAN”) cautioned against the use of the Flint River water and the dormant Flint Water Treatment Plant (“WTP”) which would cost millions of dollars to upgrade.

51. Use of the Flint River as a primary drinking source was rejected in 2011.

52. In August 2012, the Governor appointed Edward Kurtz as Flint's Emergency Manager.

53. Throughout 2012, DWSD presented to Kurtz, Wright, Dillon, Walling and the Governor compelling arguments, based on numerous studies, demonstrating that from a cost and water reliability standpoint, Flint needed to reject Wright's pressure to join KWA and continue to receive water from DWSD.

54. Most, if not all, discourse about Flint joining KWA or continuing with DWSD, included Wright who consistently raised arguments designed to persuade Kurtz, Dillon and the Governor that the DWSD cost studies were wrong.

55. In late 2012, Dillon, reacting to Wright's contention that the DWSD cost studies were wrong, requested the independent engineering firm of Tucker, Young, Jackson and Tull ("TYJT") to assess whether it would be cost-effective for Flint to switch from water supplied by DWSD and join the KWA water delivery system.

56. In February 2013, TYJT concluded that it would be more cost-effective for Flint on both a short term and long term basis to continue to be supplied with water from DWSD.

57. On March 27, 2013, MDEQ officials, sensing that Kurtz, Wright, Walling and Dillon were pushing the Governor to approve Flint joining the

KWA, acknowledged that the decision to switch the water source for Flint was not based on a scientific assessment of the suitability of the Flint River water.¹

58. On March 28, 2013, in an email from Dillon to Governor Rick Snyder, with copies to numerous other Treasury officials and Wyant, Dillon recommended that he authorize KWA going forward, even though the independent firm he hired to perform a cost evaluation said staying with DWSD made the most economic sense.² Dennis Muchmore, Governor Snyder's Chief of Staff, confirmed in a subsequent email that it was Dillon who made "the ultimate decision" to switch Flint water from the DWSD to the KWA.

59. On April 16, 2013, Governor Snyder, in what is now understood to be a non-fiscal decision, authorized Kurtz to enter into a contractual relationship with KWA for the purpose of supplying water to Flint beginning in mid-year 2016.

¹ The March 2016 Flint Water Advisory Task Force Final Report ("Task Force Report") is attached as Exhibit A and the Task Force Timeline is attached as Exhibit B. Sygo/MDEQ e-mails with Busch re: Flint River water source switch. "As you might guess we are in a situation with Emergency Financial Managers so it's entirely possible that they will be making decisions relative to cost." Exhibit B, Task Force Timeline at 4.

² Dillion stated in his March 28, 2013 email: "Governor, based upon today's presentations to the DEQ by the City of Flint, KWA and the engineering firm (Tucker Young) Treasury hired to vet the options as to whether Flint should stay with DWSD or join KWA, I am recommending we support the City of Flint's decision to join KWA. The City's Emergency Manager, Mayor, and City Council all support this decision. Dan Wyant likewise concurs and will confirm via email."

60. Governor Snyder participated in discussions between his appointed Emergency Manager of Flint, Mr. Kurtz, and his appointed Emergency Manager of Detroit, Kevin Orr. At the time the Governor authorized his Emergency Manager to contractually bind Flint to the KWA project, the Governor and State officials knew that the Flint River would be used as an interim source.

61. In June 2013, Dillon, Kurtz, Wright, and Walling developed an interim plan (“Interim Plan”) to use the Flint River water before KWA became operational. The Interim Plan would cover 2.5 years (April 25, 2014 until approximately October, 2016).

62. Dillon, Kurtz, Wright and Walling knew that in 2011 the Flint River was professionally evaluated and rejected as a drinking source and that upgrades for the Flint WTP would cost millions.

63. When the Governor authorized the use of the Flint River as an interim source of water for Flint, he knew that in 2011 the use of the Flint River water as a primary drinking source had been professionally evaluated and rejected as dangerous and unsafe.

64. The Governor, in a timeline prepared by his office, confirmed that in June 2013, he knew that Flint River water would be used as an interim source of water.³

³ “City of Flint decides to use the Flint River as a water source, per Gov.

65. In May 2013, Emergency Manager Kurtz announced his resignation effective July 2013. The Governor reappointed Michael Brown as Flint's Emergency Manager.

66. In September 2013, after Emergency Manager Brown resigned, Darnell Earley was appointed by the Governor as Flint's Emergency Manager.

67. Michael Glasgow, the City of Flint's water treatment plant's laboratory and water quality supervisor informed the MDEQ on April 16, 2014, that the WTP was not fit to begin operations and that "management" was not listening to him because "they seem to have their own agenda."⁴

68. On April 25, 2014, under the direction of Emergency Manager Earley and State officials from MDEQ, Flint water users began receiving Flint River water from their taps even though Glasgow warned that the WTP was not ready.

Snyder timeline." Exhibit A, Task Force Report at 5.

⁴ Glasgow said on April 16, 2014 that "... it looks as if we will be starting the plant up tomorrow and are being pushed to start distributing water as soon as possible ... I would like to make sure we are monitoring, reporting and meeting requirements before I give the OK to start distributing water." The next day, Glasgow wrote Prysby and Busch of the MDEQ, that "..... I have people above me making plans to distribute water ASAP. I was reluctant before, but after looking at the monitoring schedule and our current staffing, I do not anticipate giving the OK to begin sending water out anytime soon. If water is distributed from this plant in the next couple of weeks, it will be against my direction. I need time to adequately train additional staff and to update our monitoring plans before I will feel we are ready. I will reiterate this to management above me, but they seem to have their own agenda."

69. Beginning in June 2013 and continuing through April 25, 2014, the State created a dangerous public health crisis for the users of Flint tap water when it and Kurtz and Earley ordered and set in motion the use of highly corrosive and toxic Flint River water knowing that the WTP was not ready.

70. For at least a year prior thereto, the State knew that using the Flint River water was dangerous and could cause serious public health issues.⁵

71. As early as May 2014, the State knew that it had indeed created a dangerous public health crisis yet failed to take any remedial steps.⁶

72. In June 2014, citizen complaints about contaminated water continued without the State doing anything to address these complaints. Many Flint water users reported that the water was making them ill.

73. On October 14, 2014, Flint's public health emergency was a topic of significant discussion in the Governor's office.⁷

⁵ "January 23, 2013: Mike Prysby/MDEQ e-mails colleague Liane Shekter Smith and others about feasibility of Flint switching to the Flint River, highlighting water quality concerns." Exhibit A, Task Force Report at 16.

⁶ The Governor's office received citizen complaints and was well aware of numerous press stories about water quality problems as early as May 2014 and continuing throughout 2015." *Id.* at 36.

⁷ "Valerie Brader, State Deputy Legal Counsel and Senior Policy Advisor, e-mails [on October 14th the] Governor's Chief of Staff Dennis Muchmore and other top aides arguing for a return to DWSD because of water quality problems. Michael Gadola, then the Governor's Legal Counsel, responds by agreeing with Brader. Brader and Rich Baird, another senior aide to the Governor, then discuss the idea

74. By October 2014, the Governor and his staff knew full well of the on-going public health threat to the people of Flint yet he did absolutely nothing to assist the desperate people of Flint.⁸

75. By October 2014, the threat of deadly Legionnaires disease was adding to the public health safety crisis.⁹ (“[October 2014] Genesee County Health Department initially expresses concern to Flint Water re: increased incidence of Legionellosis and possible connection to water supply.” Exhibit B, Task Force Timeline at 7.

76. On October 13, 2014, the General Motors Corporation announced that it would no longer use Flint River water in its Flint plant. Despite this

with Emergency Manager Darnell Earley, who maintains the water quality problems can be solved and it would be cost-prohibitive to return to DWSD.” *Id.* at 17-18.

⁸ The Task Force Report was critical of the Governor’s failure to answer the Flint citizen calls for help in October of 2014. “The suggestion made by members of the Governor’s executive staff in October 2014 to switch back to DWSD should have resulted, at a minimum, in a full and comprehensive review of the water situation in Flint, similar to that which accompanied the earlier decision to switch to KWA. It was disregarded, however, because of cost considerations and repeated assurances that the water was safe. The need to switch back to DWSD became even more apparent as water quality and safety issues continued and lead issues began to surface in 2015, notwithstanding reassurances by MDEQ.” *Id.* at 38.

⁹ “[October 2014] Genesee County Health Department initially expresses concern to Flint Water re: increased incidence of Legionellosis and possible connection to water supply.” Exhibit B, Task Force Timeline at 7.

clear evidence of serious and significant danger, none of the Defendants took any action to alter the course of the health crisis.¹⁰

77. On October 17, 2014, Flint officials became aware of the threat of Legionnaires disease resulting from the use of Flint River water. No action was taken by Flint or Genesee County Health officials.¹¹

78. On October 21, 2014, the MDHHS was notified of the health crisis caused by the Flint River water. Again no action was taken.¹²

79. In January 2015, State officials met to discuss the ongoing threat to public health posed by the *Legionella* bacteria in the Flint River water.¹³ The public health crisis was not addressed in any serious and/or non-frivolous way.

¹⁰ “GM announces it is switching from City of Flint water system to Flint Township (Lake Huron) water for its Flint Engine Operations facility until KWA connection is complete, citing corrosion concerns. Prysby/MDEQ notes Flint water chloride levels are “easily within” public health guidelines. Annual revenue loss of \$400,000. *Id.* at 7.

¹¹ “Genesee Co. Health Department (GCHD) representatives hold conference call with Glasgow and Wright/Flint DPW re: county’s concerns about Legionellosis outbreak and possible connection to city’s water system. DPW “acknowledges that the distribution system has areas of concern.” *Id.* at 7.

¹² “Susan Bohm/MDHHS e-mails GCHD officials re: Shekter Smith’s concern that Flint water would be publicly linked to Legionellosis outbreak in Flint.’ I told her the Flint water was at this point just a hypothesis.” *Id.* at 7.

¹³ “January 2015 (date unclear): Staff from Genesee County hospitals, MDHHS, MDEQ and GCHD meet, and MDHHS Director Nick Lyon directs GCHD to conduct and complete its evaluation of the causes of the increased Legionellosis cases that had begun to occur in 2014.” *Id.* at 18.

80. On January 13, 2015, Earley resigned his position as Emergency Manager and the Governor replaced him with Gerald Ambrose.

81. On January 21, 2015, State officials ordered water coolers to be installed in State buildings operating in Flint. State officials were concerned that this action, if it became widely known by the public, would reveal their dishonesty because they had been advising the residents of Flint that it was safe to drink the tap water and at the same time arranging for alternative water sources for the State employees who were working in Flint.¹⁴

82. On January 27, 2015, Flint was placed on notice that the Genesee County Health Department (“GCHD”) believed there was an association between the spike in Legionella disease reports and the onset of the use of Flint River water. Again, Defendants did nothing about the impending health catastrophe.¹⁵

83. On January 29, 2015, State officials recognized that the public health crisis was caused by the corrosion of the entire infrastructure of the

¹⁴ “MDEQ staff (Prysby, Shekter Smith, Benzie, numerous others) communicate via e-mail re: decision to provide water coolers at Flint’s State Office building. Some discussion re: how this decision will affect Flint residents’ perceptions of drinking water safety, and how the decision will “make it more difficult . . . for ODWMA staff.” *Id.* at 8.

¹⁵ “FOIA request sent by GCHD environmental hygienist James Henry to Flint DPW and Flint Mayor for information on water treatment to support the county’s investigation of Legionellosis cases.” Exhibit A, Task Force Report at 18.

Flint water system. No action was taken to warn the public of the health crisis or to correct the harm caused by the State's decision to switch from DWSD water to Flint River water.¹⁶

84. On January 29, 2015, Sue McCormick, the Director of DWSD, offered Ambrose an opportunity to purchase DWSD water at attractive rates. DWSD's proposal included waiving the re-connection fee. This offer was rejected by Ambrose.

85. In January 2015, Flint home owner, LeeAnn Walters, called the EPA regarding water issues that she was experiencing at her Flint home. She informed the EPA that she and her family members were becoming physically ill from exposure to the Flint River water coming from her tap.

86. By the end of January 2015, the Governor's office was fully aware of the public health emergency caused by the rise in *Legionella* bacteria found in the Flint River and launched a cover-up of the public health crisis.¹⁷

¹⁶ "Sygo and Shekter Smith/MDEQ e-mail re: Flint water quality problems. Shekter Smith identifies the problem as corrosion across the distribution system rather than a 'premise plumbing' issue." Exhibit B, Task Force Timeline at 8.

¹⁷ "January 30, 2015: Brad Wurfel/MDEQ e-mails Dave Murray, Governor Snyder's deputy press secretary, re: *Legionella*, saying said he didn't want MDEQ Director Wyant "to say publicly that the water in Flint is safe until we get the results of some county health department trace back work on 42 cases of Legionellosis in Genesee County since last May." Exhibit A, Task Force Report at 18.

87. On February 1, 2015, the Governor was fully informed of the health crisis in Flint. Given the months of complaints from Flint water users that the water was discolored, foul smelling/tasting and making them visibly sick, the Governor knew that there was an imminent threat to the people of Flint.¹⁸

88. Yet, neither the Governor, nor State and local public officials, took corrective action.

89. On February 17, 2015, Flint water users staged public demonstrations demanding that Flint re-connect with DWSD. Once again Ambrose refused to restore Detroit water for Flint water users. State and local public officials falsely insisted that the water was acceptable for use and took no action.

90. On February 26, 2015, Jennifer Crooks of the EPA wrote an email to MDEQ and EPA representatives. Crooks noted that Walters complained of “black sediment in her water.” She noted that the iron contamination was so high that the testing instrumentation could not measure it.¹⁹

¹⁸ “Briefing memo is prepared for Gov. Snyder on Flint water situation, including info on residents' complaints about water quality, Mayor Walling’s call for assistance, and MDEQ ‘backgrounder’ downplaying health risks.” Wurfel: “It’s not like an imminent threat to public health.” Exhibit B, Task Force Timeline at 9.

¹⁹ Crooks said in her email: “But, because the iron levels were so high [Michael Glasgow, Flint Utilities Administrator], suggested testing for lead and copper.

91. In a second email on February 26, 2015, Crooks stated that Miguel Del Toral (“Del Toral”) of the EPA is of the opinion that the “black sediment” in the Walters water was actually lead.²⁰

92. On February 27, 2015, Stephen Busch advised Del Toral that the City was using corrosion control. This statement was false and Busch knew it was false when he made this statement to the EPA.²¹

93. On March 5, 2015, the Governor and officials in the Governor’s office realized that they had a massive public health emergency which *probably included widespread lead poisoning* on their hands and began discussing distributing water filters to Flint water users. These public officials took no action to warn or otherwise protect Plaintiffs and the Class, and continued to

WOW!!!! Did he find the LEAD! **104 ppb**. She has 2 children under the age of 3....Big worries here....I think Lead is a good indication that other contaminants are also present in the tap water that obviously were not present in the compliance samples taken at the plant...We also talked about Dr. Joan Rose from Michigan State being on the Flint Tech Advisory committee--would want to dive further into this...she and her family are also exhibiting the rashes when exposed to the water, and her daughter’s hair is falling out in clumps.”

²⁰ Crooks stated that “Miguel is wondering if Flint is feeding Phosphates. Flint must have Optimal Corrosion Control Treatment-is it phosphates? From a public health perspective, can we assume that the high lead levels in Mrs. Walters’ neighborhood are isolated to just her area? Or are they more widespread?”

²¹ “Busch/MDEQ responds to Del Toral/EPA saying that the City of Flint ‘Has an Optimized Corrosion Control Program,’ LeeAnn Walters’s house is ‘not part of the City’s established sample site pool’ and the residence has PVC plumbing.” Exhibit B, Task Force Timeline at 10.

conceal from them and the public the true nature, extent and severity of the public health crisis.²²

94. By March 10, 2015, James Henry of the GCHD raised concerns that he was being stonewalled by the State and City in accessing public health information about the Legionella outbreak in Genesee County. The concealment of the public health emergency by City and State officials – Defendants herein – was shocking and unconscionable.

95. *As of March 10, 2015, the Defendants knew that the extreme public health emergency involved lead poisoning, deadly Legionella bacteria and a host of other ailments.*²³

²² “Officials in Governor's Office and MDEQ begin discussing providing water filters to Flint citizens.” *Id.*

²³ “James Henry/GCHD e-mails Howard Croft/Flint DPW, Prysby/MDEQ, Mayor Walling and others citing the city’s and state's lack of cooperation and failure to respond to his requests for information --- and a Jan. 2015 FOIA --- to support county's investigation of potential causes of Legionellosis outbreak in Flint. ‘This is rather glaring information and it needs to be looked into now, prior to the warmer summer months when Legionella is at its peak and we are potentially faced with a crisis.’” Exhibit B, Task Force Timeline at 9. The Task Force Report highlights the government misconduct which prolonged the danger created by the State when it decided to use the highly corrosive Flint River water. The Task Force stated in its report that “[a]s the Flint water crisis unfolded, certain state agencies’ perceived need to defend the original decision to switch to the Flint River and resist a return to DWSD resulted in public relations and communications efforts that have, at times, been inappropriate. In the spring and summer of 2015, for example, this perceived need to defend a flawed decision manifested itself in attempts by MDEQ and MDHHS to discredit accurate information on lead in drinking water and elevated blood lead levels provided by

96. On March 25, 2015, Flint City Council voted to re-connect to Detroit's water system. Governor Snyder's appointed Emergency Manager, Gerald Ambrose, exacerbated the State-created danger by rejecting this vote of the Flint public officials.²⁴

97. On June 24, 2015, Del Toral of the EPA prepared a memorandum entitled, "**High Lead Levels in Flint Michigan-Interim Report**" ("Del Toral Report"). On the following day, Del Toral wrote an internal email with respect to the elevated lead in Flint water at EPA stating:

"I understand that this is not a comfortable situation, but the State is complicit in this and the public has a right to know what they are doing because it is their children that are being harmed."

outside experts. Citizen concerns were at times derided and dismissed, in spite of the fact that various members of the Governor's staff had expressed—and were expressing—concerns about the water situation in Flint at the same time.".....In any event, the facts in this case point to the reality that state government, as the entity in charge of Flint decision-making, failed to protect the health of the city's residents. Emphasis added. Exhibit A, Task Force Report at 37, 40.

²⁴ The Task Force further notes that in March, 2015, Emergency Manager Ambrose completely ignored numerous alarms and warnings that the Flint River water was dangerous to the health of the Flint water users. "Flint City Council votes 7--1 to end Flint River service and return to Detroit water service; the vote is non--binding since Flint is under EM control. Flint EM Ambrose: 'It is incomprehensible to me that... Flint City Council would want to send more than \$12 million a year to the system serving Southeast Michigan, even if Flint rate payers could afford it. (Lake Huron) water from Detroit is no safer than water from Flint.'" Exhibit B, Task Force Timeline at 10.

Del Toral further warned that the failure to inform Flint water users of the elevated lead levels was “bordering on criminal neglect.”

98. The Del Toral Report was shared with, among others, MDEQ’s Chief of Office of Drinking Water and Municipal Assistance, Liane Shekter-Smith, MDEQ’s Water Treatment Specialist, Patrick Cook, MDEQ’s District Supervisor, Stephen Busch, and MDEQ’s Engineer assigned to District 11 (Genesee County), and Michael Prysby.

99. Nonetheless, State and local public officials failed to undertake any measures to effectively address any of the dangers, including lead poisoning, identified by EPA Agent Del Toral.

100. On June 30, 2015, Mayor Walling notified EPA Region 5 Director, Dr. Susan Hedman (“Hedman”) that Del Toral was speaking publicly about the Flint environmental crisis.

101. On July 2, 2015, Hedman advised Walling that he was given a preliminary draft and that it would be premature to draw any conclusions based on that draft.”

102. On July 10, 2015, MDEQ official Brad Wurfel, in an effort to conceal the public health crisis, appeared on public radio and advised listeners that Flint water was safe and that it was not causing “any broad problem” with lead leaching into residential water. Parents, worried about the lead poisoning of their

children demanded answers from Wurfel. He told the concerned parents, “[l]et me start here-anyone who is concerned about lead in the drinking water can relax.”

Wurfel, at the time he made this statement, knew his statements were false and he deliberately misled the public about the seriousness of the crisis.

103. By July 2015, multiple agencies within the State of Michigan, including the Governor, the Governor’s Office and MDEQ, had actual notice of high lead exposure and other dangers, including Legionnaires’ disease, associated with Flint water.

104. On July 22, 2015, Governor Snyder’s Chief of Staff, Dennis Muchmore, wrote to MDHHS Director Lyon and stated that the Plaintiffs’ concerns (and those of the Class and the people of Flint) regarding lead poisoning and other dangers were being “blown off” by the Defendants.

105. On July 24, 2015, Wurfel continued to promote the cover-up of the health crisis. In response to the recognition that the Defendants were blatantly ignoring the concerns of Flint residents, he stated, “In terms of near-future issues, the bottom line is that residents of Flint do not need to worry about lead in their water supply, and DEQ’s recent sampling does not indicate an imminent health threat from lead or copper.”

106. In August 2015, Professor Marc Edwards of Virginia Tech determined that there was serious lead contamination of the Flint water system and stated that the people of Flint face a major public health emergency.

107. Wurfel, speaking for the State, immediately dismissed and discredited Edwards by stating that Edwards's team "only just arrived in town and (have) quickly proven the theory they set out to prove, and while the state appreciates academic participation in this discussion, offering broad, dire public health advice based on some quick testing could be seen as fanning political flames irresponsibly."

108. By late 2014 or early 2015, Lyon was aware from MDHHS data that there was a dramatic increase in the percentage of Flint children with elevated blood lead level readings from blood drawn during the second and third quarters of 2014, and that Legionnaires' disease was on the rise during the same period of time. Lyon was aware of this dangerous condition but did nothing to report the findings to the Plaintiffs, their Class or the public.

109. Lyon knew that these elevated blood lead levels, and an increase of Legionnaires' disease found in its own database, correlated with the introduction of the corrosive Flint River water into the Flint water distribution system. Lyon did not order that any action be taken to warn the public.

110. The increase in elevated blood lead levels in Flint's children, and Lyon's failure to do anything to prevent further injury to the people of Flint, identifies yet another aspect of this unconscionable government-created health and public safety emergency. Lyon, aware of the elevated blood lead levels in Flint's children, failed to report the evidence to the MDEQ, Governor's Office, EPA or the Flint community. His concealment of this critical information increased the risk and exacerbated the danger.²⁵

111. Dr. Mona Hanna-Attisha, in the summer of 2015, using data available to her from Hurley Hospital, observed a similar spike in the percentage of Flint children with elevated blood lead levels from blood drawn in the second and third quarter of 2014. She published her study in an effort to alert the community about the health risks associated with drinking Flint River water.

²⁵ The Task Force Report states that in July, 2015, the MDHHS knew that there was a spike in elevated blood lead levels of Flint children which correlated with the onset of the Flint River water as a drinking water source for Flint water users. The MDEQ knew its public statement in September about no elevated blood lead levels was false. ("July 28, 2015: MDHHS epidemiologist Cristin Larder finds that children's blood lead tests conducted in summer 2014 "lie outside the control limit" compared with prior years and that this finding "does warrant further investigation." On the same day, CLPPP data manager Robert Scott analyzes the data over a 5-year period and concludes that "water was not a major factor." Later that day, CLPPP manager Nancy Peeler concludes that the lack of persistently elevated blood lead levels in children in Flint beyond the summer months indicates no connection to the change in water in Flint in 2014. Larder then receives email communication from Peeler: Peeler has concluded from CLPPP data and communicated with MDHHS leadership that there is no problem with children's lead levels in Flint." Exhibit A, Task Force Report at 20.

112. The Defendants and the MDHHS immediately accused Dr. Hanna-Attisha of providing false information to the public.

113. In September 2015, the MDEQ continued to falsely assure the public that use of Flint Water was safe and continued to deny the public health crisis at hand.²⁶

114. On September 25, 2015, Wurfel falsely advised media and the public that MDHHS officials have re-examined its blood lead level data and the MDHHS statistics do not show the same upward trend documented by Dr. Hanna-Attisha.

115. On September 28, 2015, Wurfel stated publically that the Flint water crisis was becoming “near-hysteria” because of Dr. Hanna-Attisha’s report. He said that he wouldn’t call her reports “...irresponsible. I would call them unfortunate.” Wurfel finished his remarks that day by falsely stating that “Flint’s drinking water is safe in that it’s meeting state and federal standards.”

²⁶ An example of this type of misleading public statement is found in a MDEQ document entitled, “*DEQ Frequently Asked Questions, Water Lead Levels in the City of Flint, September, 2015*” which stated: “**Are there other ways the city monitors for lead exposure?** The County Health Departments, overseen statewide by the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services, *regularly monitors blood levels* in children throughout Michigan communities. The *leading cause of lead poisoning is exposure to lead paint*. Blood lead level testing results for the 12-month period just after the City of Flint changed its water source (May 2014 – April 2015) *showed no significant change* in the pattern of blood lead levels in Flint, compared to the previous three years. This data *suggests the recent change in water source by the City of Flint has not contributed to an increase in lead exposure* throughout the community.” (Emphasis added)

116. On September 29, 2015, Wurfel referred to EPA Del Toral as a “rogue employee.”

117. By late September 2015, reconnecting to the Detroit water system was the only reasonable option to protect the health and safety of the Flint water users. Yet the State deliberately chose not to proceed in this fashion. Instead, on or about October 2, 2015, State officials announced that the State would appoint a Flint Water Advisory Task Force and would provide water filters designed to eliminate the lead in the water to Flint water users.

118. On October 8, 2015, the Governor recognized that he could no longer pretend that the water from the Flint River was safe. He finally ordered Flint to reconnect with the Detroit water system which contained corrosion control chemicals.

119. The re-connect to DWSD took place on or about October 16, 2015.

120. Flint is currently in a State of Emergency: Mayor Karen Weaver declared a State of Emergency on December 14, 2015. On January 4, 2016, the Genesee County Commissioners declared a State of Emergency. On January 5, 2016, Governor Snyder declared a State of Emergency. On January 13, 2016, the Governor activated the Michigan National Guard to assist the people of Flint. On January 14, 2016, the Governor asked President Barak Obama and the Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency (“FEMA”) to de-

clare Flint a Major Disaster. On January 16, 2016, FEMA issued an emergency declaration to assist the people of Flint.

121. The relief efforts of State public officials have been ineffective, indeed often frivolous, in mitigating the devastation caused by its creation of the public health crisis. The ineffective relief efforts have prolonged the dangerous conditions and, in many cases, the failed mitigation efforts have further exacerbated the effects of the public health calamity created by the State.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

122. Plaintiffs request certification pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P 23(b)(3) on behalf of a proposed damages class defined as follows: all individuals and entities who from April 25, 2014 to present were exposed to toxic Flint water or who owned property within the City of Flint and experienced injuries and damages to their person or property.

123. Plaintiffs request certification pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P 23(b)(2) on behalf of a proposed injunctive relief class defined as follows: all individuals and entities who from April 25, 2014 to present were exposed to toxic Flint water or who owned property within the City of Flint and experienced injuries and damages to their person or property.

124. The number of class members is sufficiently numerous to make class action status the most practical method for Plaintiffs to secure redress for injuries sustained and to obtain class wide equitable injunctive relief.

125. There are questions of law and fact raised by the named Plaintiffs' claims common to those raised by the Class(es) they seek to represent. Such common questions predominate over question affecting only individual members of the Class(es).

126. The violations of law and resulting harms alleged by the named Plaintiffs are typical of the legal violations and harms suffered by all Class members.

127. Plaintiff Class representatives will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Class members. Plaintiffs' counsel are unaware of any conflicts of interest between the Class representatives and absent Class members with respect to the matters at issue in this litigation; the Class representatives will vigorously prosecute the suit on behalf of the Class; and the Class representatives are represented by experienced counsel. Plaintiffs are represented by attorneys with substantial experience and expertise in complex and class action litigation involving personal and property damage.

128. Plaintiffs' attorneys have identified and thoroughly investigated all claims in this action, and have committed sufficient resources to represent the Class.

129. The maintenance of the action as a class action will be superior to other available methods of adjudication and will promote the convenient administration of justice. Moreover, the prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class could result in inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class and/or one or more of the Defendants.

130. Defendants have acted or failed to act on grounds generally applicable to all Plaintiffs, necessitating declaratory and injunctive relief for the Class.

**COUNT I-CAUSE OF ACTION
42 U.S.C. § 1983 – 14th AMENDMENT
SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS – STATE CREATED
DANGER ALL DEFENDANTS**

131. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 130, as if fully set forth herein.

132. Plaintiffs have a clearly established right under the substantive due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution to be protected from risks, dangers, dangerous situations, or being made more vulnerable to increased risk of harms, affirmatively created and/or caused by persons acting under color of state law.

133. Defendants, while acting under color of state law, affirmatively created or exacerbated the dangers and dangerous situations to which Plaintiffs were exposed, making them more vulnerable to said dangers, and these Defendants did so with an extreme degree of culpability.

134. Defendants, while acting under color of state law, affirmatively continued, increased and perpetuated the dangers, risks of harm and dangerous situations creating the public health crisis, when they deliberately and affirmatively denied, lied about, covered up, deceived, discredited and ignored said known dangers and risks of harm to which they exposed Plaintiffs making them more vulnerable to said dangers.

135. Defendants were aware that their conduct could result in the deprivation of Plaintiffs' due process rights to be protected from the dangers, dangerous situations, or being made more vulnerable to the dangers affirmatively created and perpetuated by them.

136. This conduct was reckless, deliberately indifferent and/or so outrageous as to shock the conscience, such that it was culpable in the extreme, insofar as these Defendants knew of and disregarded the substantial risk of serious harm to Plaintiffs.

137. The dangers and risks of harm were discreet and special to Plaintiffs, as Flint water users and property owners in particular, and not risks affecting the public at large.

138. The dangers and risks of harm to Plaintiffs from the ongoing exposure to the water toxins which were created and perpetuated by Defendants, were so extreme as to be equivalent to private acts of violence visited upon them.

139. These actions of Defendants constituted affirmative acts that caused and/or substantially increased the risks of physical, emotional and economic harm to the Plaintiffs.

140. As a direct and proximate result of the unconstitutional acts of Defendants as alleged in this First Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs suffered violations of their fundamental rights to bodily integrity, property and liberty interests, including, but not limited to:

- a. Serious and in some cases life threatening and irreversible bodily injury;
- b. Substantial economic losses from medical expenses, lost wages, lost income, lost business profits, reduced property values, among others;
- c. Pain and suffering;
- d. Embarrassment, outrage, mental anguish, fear and mortification, and stress related physical symptoms.

141. Plaintiffs have further suffered property damage to their homes and/or places of business in the form of lost property values and lost business profits.

The conduct of Defendants was reckless and outrageous, entitling Plaintiffs and Plaintiff Class members to an award of punitive damages, as well as costs and reasonable attorney fees, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988.

COUNT II-CAUSE OF ACTION
42 U.S.C. § 1983 – 14th AMENDMENT
SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS – BODILY INTEGRITY
ALL DEFENDANTS

142. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 141, as if fully set forth herein.

143. Plaintiffs have a clearly established fundamental right under the substantive due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution to bodily integrity.

144. The conduct of Defendants, all while acting under color of law, endangered and/or threatened Plaintiffs' fundamental liberty interest to bodily integrity as guaranteed by the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

145. Defendants were aware that their conduct could result in the deprivation of Plaintiffs' fundamental due process rights to bodily integrity

146. Defendants deliberately and knowingly breached the constitutionally protected bodily integrity of Plaintiffs by creating and perpetuating the ongoing exposure to contaminated water, with deliberate indifference to the known risks of harm which said exposure would, and did, cause to Plaintiffs.

147. Defendants had the opportunity to reflect and deliberate before they acted and/or failed to act.

148. As a direct and proximate result of the unconstitutional acts of Defendants as alleged in this First Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs have suffered violations of their fundamental rights to bodily integrity, property and liberty interests, including, but not limited to:

- a. Serious and in some cases life threatening and irreversible bodily injury;
- b. Substantial economic losses from medical expenses, lost wages, lost income, lost business profits, reduced property values, among others;
- c. Pain and suffering;
- d. Embarrassment, outrage, mental anguish, fear and mortification, and stress related physical symptoms.

149. The conduct of Defendants was both reckless and outrageous, entitling Plaintiffs to an award of punitive damages, as well as costs and reasonable attorney fees, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988, as well as costs and reasonable attorney fees, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988.

**COUNT III-CAUSE OF ACTION
42 U.S.C. § 1983 – 5th AND 14th AMENDMENTS
EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW: RACE-BASED
PLAINTIFFS V SNYDER, DILLON, WRIGHT, WALLING,
AMBROSE, KURTZ AND EARLEY**

150. Plaintiffs and Plaintiff Class incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 149, as if fully set forth herein.

151. Defendants Snyder, Dillon, Wright, Walling, Ambrose, Kurtz and Earley, acting under color of law, and in their respective individual and/or official capacities, engaged in conduct and/or adopted laws and policies that violated Plaintiffs' rights under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution

152. Amendment Fourteen, § 1 states in pertinent part, "No state shall make or enforce any law which shall ... deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."

153. The Equal Protection Clause protects laws and the application of laws that invidiously discriminate between similarly situated individuals or between groups of persons in the exercise of fundamental rights.

154. Defendants' conduct deliberately exposed Plaintiffs to contaminated Flint River water, knowing that it could and would result in widespread serious damage.

155. In 2013, Defendants were required to develop an Interim Plan to deliver water to Genesee County and Flint while the KWA water system was being built. This Interim Plan would be in effect for more than 2.5 years (April 25, 2014 until approximately October 2016 when the KWA water system would become operational.)

156. These Defendants knew that the water from the Flint River was grossly inferior to the Lake Huron water Flint and Genesee County citizens had been receiving from DWSD.

157. These Defendants knew that the raw water from the Flint River would have to be processed at the Flint WTP which would have required millions of dollars of upgrades.

158. These Defendants knew that using the raw water from the Flint River had been rejected as recently as 2011.

159. Recognizing these facts, Defendants devised an Interim Plan that caused the predominately white water users of those areas of Genesee County outside of Flint to receive the safe and superior water from DWSD, whereas the water users of predominantly African American Flint received water that was known to be grossly inferior and unsafe, i.e. Flint River water.

160. As evidence of the fact that race discrimination was the reason for treating the two groups of water users differently, the cost of continuing with the finished water product from the DWSD for all water users (both Genesee County and Flint) would have been substantially less than the cost of upgrading the Flint WTP in order to safely process the raw Flint River water.

161. Given the clear difference in the treatment between these two groups of similarly situated water users, the deliberate and intentional decisions and ac-

tions of these Defendants in devising the Interim Plan was the product of racial discrimination in violation of the Equal Protection Clause.

162. If Plaintiffs' community had been predominately white, Plaintiffs would have been treated in the same manner as their predominantly white neighbors in Genesee County and they too would have received DWSD water as part of the Interim Plan.

163. Because Plaintiffs and their Class were water users in a predominately African American community, their complaints were dismissed and disrespected as exaggerated, without merit or inconsequential. If Plaintiffs' community had been predominately white, citizen complaints would have been taken seriously, treated as valid and the MDEQ and Flint public officials would have taken timely action to address the concerns.²⁷

164. As a direct and proximate result of the unconstitutional acts of Defendants as alleged in this First Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs have suffered violations of their fundamental constitutional rights including, but not limited to:

- a. Serious and in some cases life threatening and irreversible bodily injury;

²⁷ "Citizen concerns were at times derided and dismissed, in spite of the fact that various members of the Governor's staff had expressed-and were expressing-concerns about the water situation in Flint at the same time." Exhibit A, Task Force Report at 37.

- b. Substantial economic losses from medical expenses, lost wages, lost income, lost business profits, reduced property values, among others;
- c. Pain and suffering;
- d. Embarrassment, outrage, mental anguish, fear and mortification, and stress related physical symptoms.

165. The conduct of Defendants was reckless and outrageous, entitling Plaintiffs and Plaintiff Class members to an award of punitive damages, as well as costs and reasonable attorney fees, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988.

**COUNT IV-CAUSE OF ACTION
42 U.S.C. § 1983 – 5th AND 14th AMENDMENTS
EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW: WEALTH-BASED
PLAINTIFFS V SNYDER, DILLON, WRIGHT, WALLING,
AMBROSE, KURTZ AND EARLEY**

166. Plaintiffs and Plaintiff Class incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 165, as if fully set forth herein.

167. Defendants Snyder, Dillon, Wright, Walling, Ambrose, Kurtz and Earley, acting under color of law, and in their respective individual and/or official capacities, engaged in conduct and/or adopted laws and policies that violated Plaintiffs' rights under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

168. Amendment Fourteen, § 1 states in pertinent part, "No state shall make or enforce any law which shall ... deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."

169. The Equal Protection Clause protects laws and the application of laws that invidiously discriminate between similarly situated individuals or between groups of persons in the exercise of fundamental rights.

170. Defendants' conduct deliberately exposed Plaintiffs to contaminated Flint River water, knowing that it could and would result in widespread serious damage.

171. In 2013, Defendants were required to develop an Interim Plan to deliver water to Genesee County and Flint while the KWA water system was being built. This Interim Plan would be in effect for more than 2.5 years (April 25, 2014 until approximately October 2016 when the KWA water system would become operational.)

172. These Defendants knew that the water from the Flint River was grossly inferior to the water Flint and Genesee County citizens had been receiving from DWSD.

173. These Defendants knew that the raw water from the Flint River would have to be processed at the Flint WTP which required millions of dollars of upgrades.

174. These Defendants knew that using the raw water from the Flint River had been rejected as recently as 2011.

175. Recognizing these facts, Defendants' devised an Interim Plan that allowed the predominately more affluent water users of Genesee County to receive the safe superior water from DWSD and the predominately impoverished water users of Flint would have to accept during the interim period grossly inferior, previously rejected and dangerous Flint River water.

176. There was no rational economic or fiscal justification for treating the predominately more affluent water users of Genesee County differently than the predominately impoverished water users of Flint because the cost of continuing with the finished water product from the DWSD for all water users (both Genesee County and Flint) would have been substantially less the cost of upgrading the Flint WTP in order to safely process the raw Flint River water.

177. Given the unexplained difference in treatment between these two groups of similarly situated water users, considering the absence of any rational economic justification, and taking into account the economic and class makeup of the group which received the grossly inferior and dangerous water product, the deliberate decisions and actions of these Defendants in devising the Interim Plan can fairly be said to be the product of income and class discrimination, in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment.

178. If Plaintiffs' community had been predominately more affluent, Plaintiffs would have been treated just like their more affluent neighbors in Genesee County, and they too would have received DWSD water as part of the Interim Plan.

179. Because Plaintiffs were in a predominately impoverished community, their complaints were dismissed as exaggerated, without merit or inconsequential. If Plaintiffs' community had been predominately more affluent, citizen complaints would have been treated as valid and the MDEQ and Flint public officials would have taken timely action to address the concerns.

180. As a direct and proximate result of the unconstitutional acts of Defendants as alleged in this First Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs have suffered violations of their fundamental constitutional rights including, but not limited to:

- a. Serious and in some cases life threatening and irreversible bodily injury;
- b. Substantial economic losses from medical expenses, lost wages, lost income, lost business profits, reduced property values, among others;
- c. Pain and suffering;
- d. Embarrassment, outrage, mental anguish, fear and mortification, and stress related physical symptoms.

181. The conduct of Defendants was reckless and outrageous, entitling Plaintiffs and Plaintiff Class members to an award of punitive damages, as well as costs and reasonable attorney fees, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988.

COUNT V-CAUSE OF ACTION
42 U.S.C. § 1985(3) INVIDIOUS RACIAL ANIMUS
PLAINTIFFS V SNYDER, DILLON, WRIGHT, WALLING, AMBROSE,
KURTZ AND EARLEY

182. Plaintiffs and Plaintiff Class incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 181, as if fully set forth herein.

183. Defendants Snyder, Dillon, Wright, Walling, Ambrose, Kurtz and Earley, acting under color of law, and in their respective individual and/or official capacities, engaged in conduct and/or adopted laws and policies that violated Plaintiffs' rights under the Thirteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

184. 42 U.S.C § 1985 (3) secures the rights of the Plaintiffs and their Class to be free from conspiracies, founded on invidious racial animus, to violate the constitutional rights of Plaintiffs to equal protection and due process.

185. The Equal Protection Clause protects laws and the application of laws that invidiously discriminate between similarly situated individuals or between groups of persons in the exercise of fundamental rights.

186. Defendants' conduct deliberately exposed Plaintiffs to contaminated Flint River water, knowing that it could and would result in widespread serious damage.

187. In 2013, Defendants were required to develop an Interim Plan to deliver water to Genesee County and Flint while the KWA water system was being

built. This Interim Plan would be in effect for more than 2.5 years (April 25, 2014 until approximately October 2016 when the KWA water system would become operational.)

188. These Defendants knew that the water from the Flint River was grossly inferior to the water Flint and Genesee County citizens had been receiving from DWSD.

189. These Defendants knew that the raw water from the Flint River would have to be processed at the Flint WTP which required millions of dollars of upgrades.

190. These Defendants knew that using the raw water from the Flint River had been rejected as recently as 2011.

191. Recognizing these facts, Defendants' conspired to devise an Interim Plan that allowed the predominately white water users of Genesee County to receive the safe superior water from DWSD and the predominately black water users of Flint would have to accept during the interim period grossly inferior, previously rejected and potentially unsafe Flint River water.

192. There was no rational economic or fiscal justification for treating the predominately white water users of those parts of Genesee County outside of Flint differently than the water users in the predominately African American community of Flint because the cost of continuing with the finished water product from the

DWSD for all water users (both Genesee County and Flint) would have been substantially less the cost of upgrading the Flint WTP in order to safely process the raw Flint River water.

193. Given the unexplained difference in treatment between these two groups of similarly situated water users, considering the absence of any rational economic or fiscal justification and taking into account the racial makeup of the community that received the grossly inferior and dangerous water product, the deliberate decisions and actions of these conspiring Defendants in devising the Interim Plan can fairly be said to be the product of invidious racial animus in violation of the Thirteenth Amendment. The provision of unhealthy and dangerous food and water is a badge, vestige and symbol of slavery abolished and prohibited by the Thirteenth Amendment.

194. If Plaintiffs' community had been predominately white, Plaintiffs would have been treated the same as their white neighbors in Genesee County, and they too would have received DWSD water as part of the Interim Plan.

195. Because Plaintiffs were water users in a predominately African American community, their complaints were disrespected and dismissed as exaggerated, without merit or inconsequential. If Plaintiffs' community had been predominately white, citizen complaints would have been treated as valid and the MDEQ and Flint public officials would have taken timely action to address the concerns. This disre-

spect and dismissive response arose directly from the conspiracy between these Defendants founded on invidious racial animus.

196. As a direct and proximate result of the conspiracy and the unconstitutional acts of Defendants as alleged in this First Amended Complaint, have suffered violations of their fundamental constitutional rights including, but not limited to:

- a. Serious and in some cases life threatening and irreversible bodily injury;
- b. Substantial economic losses from medical expenses, lost wages, lost income, lost business profits, reduced property values, among others;
- c. Pain and suffering;
- d. Embarrassment, outrage, mental anguish, fear and mortification, and stress related physical symptoms.

197. The conduct of Defendants was reckless and outrageous, entitling Plaintiffs and Plaintiff Class members to an award of punitive damages, as well as costs and reasonable attorney fees, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988.

**COUNT VI-CAUSE OF ACTION
MCL 37. 2302-VIOLATION OF PUBLIC SERVICE PROVISIONS
OF ELCRA PLAINTIFFS V SNYDER, DILLON, WRIGHT,
WALLING, AMBROSE, KURTZ AND EARLEY, FLINT**

198. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 197 as if fully set forth herein.

199. Flint and Emergency Managers Kurtz, Walling, Ambrose and Earley represent a public facility, agency, board owned and operated by a political subdivision of the state established to provide public service to the public. MCL 37.2301(b).

200. If not “provider[s]” of a public service, Wright, Walling, Ambrose, Kurtz, Earley are liable under MCL 37.2701 because they aided or abetted the “provider” to violate MCL 37.2302(a).

201. Snyder and Dillon are liable under MCL 37.2701 because they aided the “provider” of water services to Plaintiffs in the acts which denied Plaintiffs of the full and equal enjoyment of water services because of race.

202. These Defendants were under a statutory duty to either provide water services to Plaintiffs so that they would not be denied the full and equal enjoyment of public water service on account of race, or they aided and abetted the public service provider to deny Plaintiffs full and equal enjoyment of public water service.

203. In 2013, Defendants were required to develop an Interim Plan to deliver water to Genesee County and Flint while the KWA water system was being built. This Interim Plan would be in effect for more than 2.5 years (April 25, 2014 until approximately October 2016 when the KWA water system would become operational).

204. These Defendants knew that the water from the Flint River was grossly inferior to the water Flint and Genesee County citizens had been receiving from DWSD.

205. These Defendants knew that the water from the Flint River would have to be processed at the Flint WTP which required millions of dollars of upgrades.

206. These Defendants knew that using the raw water from the Flint River had been rejected as recently as 2011.

207. Recognizing these facts, Defendants devised an Interim Plan that allowed the predominately white water users of Genesee County to receive the safe superior water from DWSD and the predominately black water users of Flint would have to accept during the interim period grossly inferior, previously rejected and potentially unsafe Flint River water.

208. There was no rational economic justification for treating the predominately white water users from those areas of Genesee County outside of Flint differently than the users of water from Flint, a predominately African American community. This is so because the cost of continuing with the finished water product from the DWSD for all water users (both Genesee County and Flint) would have been substantially less than the cost of upgrading the Flint WTP in order to safely process the raw Flint River water.

209. Given the unexplained difference in treatment between these two groups of similarly situated water users, considering the absence of any rational economic or fiscal justification, and taking into account the racial makeup of the community that received the grossly inferior and dangerous water product, the deliberate decisions and actions of these conspiring Defendants in devising the Interim Plan can fairly be said to be the product of racial discrimination in violation of MCL 37.2302(a).

210. If Plaintiffs' community had been predominately white, Plaintiffs would have been treated just like their neighbors from the predominantly white neighbors in Genesee County, and they too would have received DWSD water as part of the Interim Plan.

211. As a direct and proximate result of the violation of the ELCRA as alleged in this First Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs have experienced damages including, but not limited to:

- a. Serious and in some cases life threatening and irreversible bodily injury;
- b. Substantial economic losses from medical expenses, lost wages, lost income, lost business profits, reduced property values, among others;
- c. Pain and suffering;
- d. Embarrassment, outrage, mental anguish, fear and mortification, and stress related physical symptoms.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiffs request the following relief from the court:

- a. An order certifying a damages class pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) and an injunctive relief class pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2);
- b. An order declaring the conduct of Defendants unconstitutional;
- c. An injunctive order to remediate the harm caused by Defendants' unconstitutional conduct including, but not limited to: repairs of private property and establishment of medical monitoring to provide health care and other appropriate services to Class members for a period of time deemed appropriate by the Court;
- d. Appointment of a monitor who will assist in the development of remedial plans including, but not limited to: early education, education intervention programs, criminal and juvenile justice evaluations;
- e. An order for an award of compensatory damages;
- f. An order for an award of punitive damages;
- g. An order for an award of actual reasonable attorney fees and litigation expenses; and
- h. An order for all such other relief the court deems equitable.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury as to all those issues triable as of right.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael L. Pitt

Michael L. Pitt P24429
Cary S. McGehee P42318
Beth M. Rivers P36614
**PITT MCGEHEE PALMER &
RIVERS, PC**
117 W. Fourth Street, Suite 200
Royal Oak, MI 48067
Telephone: (248) 398-9800
mpitt@pittlawpc.com
cmcgehee@pittlawpc.com
brivers@pittlawpc.com

Paul F. Novak P39524
Gregory Stamatopolous P74199
John Hughes P76455
MILBERG LLP
Chrysler House
719 Griswold Street, Suite 620
Detroit, Michigan 48826
Telephone: (313) 309-1760
pnovak@milberg.com
gstamatopolous@milberg.com
jhughes@milberg.com

Deborah Labelle P31595
**LAW OFFICES OF DEBORAH
LABELLE**
221 N. Main Street, Suite 300
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104
Telephone: (734) 996-5620
deblabelle@aol.com

William Goodman P14173
Julie H. Hurwitz P34720
GOODMAN & HURWITZ, PC
1394 E. Jefferson Ave.
Detroit, MI 48207
Telephone: (313) 567-6170
bgoodman@goodmanhurwitz.com
jhurwitz@goodmanhurwitz.com

Trachelle C. Young P63330
**TRACHELLE C. YOUNG &
ASSOCIATES PLLC**
2501 N. Saginaw St.
Flint, MI 48505
Telephone: (810) 239-6302
trachelleyoung@gmail.com

Robin L. Greenwald
William Walsh
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
rgreenwald@weitzlux.com
wwalsh@weitzlux.com

John M. Broaddus
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
200 Lake Drive east, Suite 205
Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002
Telephone: (856) 755-1115
jbroadus@weitzlux.com

Brian McKeen P34123
Salvatore Amodeo P80290
McKEEN & ASSOCIATES, PC
645 Griswold Street, Suite 4200
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Telephone: (313) 961-4400
BjMcKeen@mckeenassociates.com
Samodeo@mckeenassociates.com

Dated: May 25, 2016

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing instrument was filed with the U.S. District Court through the ECF filing system and that all parties to the above cause was served via the ECF filing system on May 25, 2016.

Signature: /s/ Regina Bell
117 W. Fourth Street, Suite 200
Royal Oak, MI 48067
(248) 398-9800
rbell@pittlawpc.com