

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

GENE R. ROMERO, *et al.*, :

Plaintiffs, :

v. :

ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, :

***et al.*,** :

Defendants. :

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 01-3894

CONSOLIDATED WITH

NO. 01-6764 (Romero II)

NO. 03-6872 (Romero III)

NO. 15-1017 (McLaughlin)

NO. 15-1049 (Abell)

NO. 15-1190 (Harris)

NO. 15-2602 (Tabor)

NO. 15-2961 (Siegfried)

NO. 15-3047 (Anzivine)

ORDER

AND NOW, this 21st day of April 2017, upon consideration of Plaintiffs' Motion for partial summary judgment on their ERISA § 510 claims (ECF Doc. No. 1039), Defendants' Response (ECF Doc. No. 1056), Plaintiffs' Reply (ECF Doc. No. 1073), Defendant Allstate's Motion for partial summary judgment on Plaintiffs' ERISA § 510 claims (ECF Doc. No. 1035), Plaintiffs' Response (ECF Doc. No. 1071), Allstate's Reply (ECF Doc. No. 1077), Defendant Edward Liddy's Motion for partial summary judgment on Plaintiffs' ERISA § 510 claim against him (ECF Doc. No. 1037), Plaintiffs' Response (ECF Doc. No. 1071), Allstate's Reply (ECF Doc. No. 1080), consistent with our July 21 and September 6, 2016 Orders (ECF Doc. Nos. 883, 901) and for reasons in our forthcoming Memorandum, it is **ORDERED**:

1. Plaintiffs' Motion for partial summary judgment on their ERISA § 510 claims (ECF Doc. No. 1039) is **DENIED**;
2. Allstate's Motion for partial summary judgment on Plaintiff's ERISA § 510

claims (ECF Doc. No. 1035) is **GRANTED** as there are no genuine issues of material fact and judgment, as a matter of law, is warranted in favor of Defendant Allstate on this claim; and,

3. Edward Liddy's Motion for partial summary judgment on Plaintiffs' ERISA § 510 claim (ECF Doc. No. 1037) is **GRANTED** as there are no genuine issues of material fact and judgment is warranted in his favor as a matter of law on this claim.


KEARNEY, J.