

Case No. 3:20-cv-534 (JBA)

IN THE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

Tre Mcpherson Et. Al.

Vs.

Ned Lamont Et. Al.

"INTERVENTION" F.R.C.P. 24(a)(1), (2) OF "RIGHT" IS
"MY" DERIVATIVE ACTION UNDER "F.R.C.P. 23.1"

"RIGHT TO REDRESS"

Exhibit # 8

PAGE # 97 - #149

#97

No. 19-8436

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

In Re

Michael A. Young - PETITIONER

Vs.

Ned Lamont Et Al. - RESPONDENT(S)

"EMERGENCY" RULE 23 "APPLICATION FOR "EXTRAORDINARY"
"RULE 23.4" STAY "OF UNEXECUTED PORTION OF STATE COURT
"ILLEGAL" JUDGMENTS

EXHIBITS #1-#10 51 pages

Exhibit #1

MOTION TO VACATE AND CORRECT

JD-CR-71 LP REV. 7-05

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT

DOB: 06/21/1967

ORIGINAL INFORMATION:

COURT DATE:

AT:

YES

05/11/2011

GA19 - ROCKVILLE

DISPOSITION DATE:

DOCKET NO.: T19R-CR11-0099206-S

The undersigned Prosecuting Authority of the Superior Court of the State of Connecticut charges that

YOUNG MICHAEL A

162 - R East St. Stafford Springs 06076
P.O. Box 44, Willington CT 06274
30 MULLEN HILL ROAD, LITTLE COMPTON, RI 02837

Did commit the offenses recited below:

Count: 1 ASSAULT 3RD DEG Type/Class: M/A At: STAFFORD
On or About: 05/10/2011 In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-61Count: 2 INTERFERE WITH OFFCR/RESISTING Type/Class: M/A At: STAFFORD
On or About: 05/10/2011 In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-167aCount: 3 ASSLT PB SFTY/EMR MED/PUB TRNS Type/Class: F/C At: STAFFORD
On or About: 05/10/2011 In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-167cSEE OTHER SHEETS
FOR ADDITIONAL COUNTS

DATE

SIGNED (PROSECUTING AUTHORITY)

COURT ACTION

DEFENDANT ADVISED OF RIGHTS BEFORE PLEA

(JUDGE)

(DATE)

BOND

SURETY

ELECTION

☒ ATTY. ☐ PUB. DEFENDER

GUARDIAN

B.O.

APPEAL

ELECTION WITHDRAWN DATE

☐ JURY

COUNT NO.

PLEA DATE

PLEA

PLEA WITHDRAWN DATE

NEW PLEA

VERDICT FINDING

FINE

JAIL

ADDITIONAL DISPOSITION

1 JUN 10 6 2011 NG

2 JUN 10 8 2011 NG

3 JUN 10 8 2011 NG

PETITIONER'S

EXHIBIT

018-400045

4/1/19

FBI

rm

DATE

OTHER COURT ACTION

JUDGE

CONTINUANCES

DATE

PURPOSE

REASON

5-11-11 Probable Cause Found Baldini

no contact protective order

PD Applied Bond only

COND. OF release:

① not use/posses any alcohol

② Submit anger mgmt course

③ cont. w/ mental health svc.

④ comply Prot. order

5-31-11 1's motion to present Evidence ① + H. Mod P.O. ②

Announced by phone of M.H. 1 + 2 to be

heard on 6/21/11 @ 10 AM

7-7-11 X 4-19-11

7-7-11 X 7-23-11

8-25-11 X 7-31-11

9-9-11 X 9-29-11

10-10-11 X 10-10-11

FINE PAID

RECEIPT NO.

MITTUS DATE

TRIAL TOWN

PROSECUTOR ON ORIGINAL DISPOSITION

REPORTER ON ORIGINAL DISPOSITION

SIGNED CLERK

SIGNED JUDGE

8-15-11

Discovery (cont'd) filed (3)

8-25-11

mot. to modify order w/ prot. filed (4)

NOV 17 2011

SEE REVERSE SIDE

12-8-11

12-29-11

FBI

NOV 03 2011 Court closed

NOV 17 2011

Motion to modify Protective order
GRANTED (J) Baldini
New Protective order to be drafted
The No Contact And 100 yards
Restriction is Removed
A not Allowed on Residence
Not Assault, threaten, Harass victim

NOV 17 2011

Protective order modified (J) Baldini

JAN 09 2012

Request to remove electronic
monitoring granted Baldini J

MAY 17 2012

offer rejected (J) Mullerky

6-21-12

State's motion Bond Modification Filed (6)

JUN 26 2012

State's motion for Bond modification granted (J) Newsom

Bond Inc By \$10,000 CASH (J) Newsom

7-17-12

Allup notified case put back on FTY only

100

A2

ORIGINAL INFORMATION:

COURT DATE:

AT:

YES

05/11/2011

GA19 - ROCKVILLE

DISPOSITION DATE:

DOCKET NO.: T19R-CR11-0099206-S

The undersigned Prosecuting Authority of the Superior Court of the State of Connecticut charges that

YOUNG MICHAEL A

30 MULLIN HILL ROAD, LITTLE COMPTON, RI 02837

Did commit the offenses recited below:

Count: 4 CREATING A PUBLIC DISTURBANCE Type/Class: I/ At: STAFFORD
On or About: 05/10/2011 In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-181aCount: 5 THREATENING 2ND DEG Type/Class: M/A At: STAFFORD
On or About: 05/10/2011 In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-62Count: 6 RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT 1ST DEG Type/Class: M/A At: STAFFORD
On or About: 05/10/2011 In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-63SEE OTHER SHEETS
FOR ADDITIONAL COUNTS X

DATE

SIGNED (PROSECUTING AUTHORITY)

COURT ACTION

DEFENDANT ADVISED OF RIGHTS BEFORE PLEA

(JUDGE)

(DATE)

☐ ATTY. ☐ PUB. DEFENDER

GUARDIAN

REDUCTION

B.O.

APPEAL

ELECTION

☐ CASH ☐ COURT ☐ JURY

ELECTION WITHDRAWN DATE

☐ SEIZED ☐ PROPERTY

COUNT NO.	PLEA DATE	PLEA	PLEA WITHDRAWN DATE	NEW PLEA	VERDICT FINDING	FINE	JAIL	ADDITIONAL DISPOSITION
4	JUN 06 2011	NG						
5	JUN 06 2011	NG						
6	JUN 10 6 2011	NG						

DATE	OTHER COURT ACTION	JUDGE	CONTINUANCES
			DATE PURPOSE REASON
JUN 02 2011	Motion to modify Protective Order Denied	Baldini	1.
	Motion to Preserve Evidence		2.
JUL 07 2011	add added cond. of release: A to bring proof by treat.		3.
JUL 28 2011	indictment for PD	Baldini	4.
8-15-11	for Discovery (3)		5.
OCT 06 2011	cash BF 10:37 20,000 cash	mulderkey	6.
	cash and violated request for trial only		7.
OCT 06 2011	Mot. to inc. bond denied	mulderkey	8.
			9.
			10.
FINE PAID	RECEIPT NO.	MITTIMUS DATE	TRIAL TOWN
PROSECUTOR ON ORIGINAL DISPOSITION	REPORTER ON ORIGINAL DISPOSITION	SIGNED CLERK	SIGNED JUDGE

☐ SEE REVERSE SIDE

JD-CR-71 LP REV. 7-05

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT

DOB: 06/21/1967

ORIGINAL INFORMATION:

COURT DATE:

AT:

DISPOSITION DATE:

YES

05/11/2011

GA19 - ROCKVILLE

DOCKET NO.: T19R-CR11-0099206-S

The undersigned Prosecuting Authority of the Superior Court of the State of Connecticut charges that

YOUNG MICHAEL A

30 MULLIN HILL ROAD, LITTLE COMPTON, RI 02837

Did commit the offenses recited below:

Count: 7 STRANGULATION SECOND DEGREE Type/Class: F/D At: STAFFORD

On or About: 05/10/2011

In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-64bb

Count: 8 FLR COMPLY FINGERPRINT REQS Type/Class: V/ At: STAFFORD

On or About: 05/10/2011

In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 29-17

SEE OTHER SHEETS
FOR ADDITIONAL COUNTS

DATE

SIGNED (PROSECUTING AUTHORITY)

COURT ACTION

DEFENDANT ADVISED OF RIGHTS BEFORE PLEA

(JUDGE)

(DATE)

BOND

SURETY

ELECTION

☐ ATTY. ☐ PUB. DEFENDER

GUARDIAN

REDUCTION

B.O.

☐ CASH☐ COURT☐ JURYCOUNT
NO.

PLEA DATE

PLEA

PLEA WITHDRAWN
DATE

NEW PLEA

VERDICT
FINDING

FINE

JAIL

ADDITIONAL DISPOSITION

7

AN 06 2011

NG

8

AN 06 2011

NG

DATE	OTHER COURT ACTION	JUDGE	CONTINUANCES		
			DATE	PURPOSE	REASON
OCT 06 2011	cond. of release	Mullerley			
	cond. for release		1.		
	sub. - short term to include		2.		
	in-patient treat		3.		
	elec. mon. f. remain at home		4.		
	expt. - for verified empl.		5.		
	app. w/ lawyer or threat		6.		
	4 pers. needs w/ bail comm approval		7.		
10-JAN 09 2012	Request to remove electronic	Baldin	8.		
	monitoring granted		9.		
6-25-13	medical modification	(6)	10.		

FINE PAID	RECEIPT NO.	MITTUMUS DATE	TRIAL TOWN	☐ SEE REVERSE SIDE
PROSECUTOR ON ORIGINAL DISPOSITION		REPORTER ON ORIGINAL DISPOSITION	SIGNED CLERK	SIGNED JUDGE

JUL 03 2013. Mot for bail bond. Granted
 bond inc. by 30,000 cash
 same words of release remain

Huddleston

AUG 08 2013

~~Michael Heath Hobbins~~ and

murdering

~~by a judge deemed appropriate~~

on writ &

& re-evaluate Heds in H¹¹ &

part B freed

Δ advised of Part B by court

Information filed - Δ pleads to on

all counts - jury election

voir dire day 1

3/26/14 Request for copies of statements, law enforcement
 reports, + affidavits (7)

Exhibit #2

"Improperly" filed after being advised on the Part B "not before!!!"
AUG 08 2013
filed in
conv
11:15

T19R-CR11-0099206-S * SUPERIOR COURT
 STATE OF CONNECTICUT * JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF TOLLAND
 V. * AT ROCKVILLE, G.A. #19
 MICHAEL A. YOUNG * MAY 13, 2013

INFORMATION

COUNT ONE:


The undersigned Deputy Assistant State's Attorney for the Judicial District of Tolland, accuses MICHAEL A. YOUNG of ASSAULT IN THE THIRD DEGREE, and charges that in the area of 164 East Street, in the Town of Stafford, on or about the 10th day of May, 2011, at approximately 9:00 p.m., the said Michael A. Young, did intend to cause physical injury to another person, namely, Jessica Reed, and did cause such injury, in violation of section 53a-61 of the Connecticut General Statutes. *AUG 08 2013* *hls plea*

COUNT TWO:

The undersigned Deputy Assistant State's Attorney for the Judicial District of Tolland, further accuses MICHAEL A. YOUNG of INTERFERING WITH AN OFFICER, and charges that in the area of 164 East Street, in the Town of Stafford, on or about the 10th day of May, 2011, at approximately 9:00 p.m., the said Michael A. Young, did obstruct, resist, hinder or endanger a peace officer in the performance of such peace officer's duties, in violation of section 53a-167a of the Connecticut General Statutes. *AUG 08 2013* *hls plea*

COUNT THREE:

The undersigned Deputy Assistant State's Attorney for the Judicial District of Tolland, further accuses MICHAEL A. YOUNG of ASSAULT OF PUBLIC SAFETY OR



EMERGENCY MEDICAL PERSONNEL, and charges that in the area of 164 East Street, in the Town of Stafford, on or about the 10th day of May, 2011, at approximately 9:00 p.m., the said Michael A. Young, with intent to prevent a reasonably identifiable peace officer from performing his or her duties, and while such employee was acting in the performance of his or her duties, did hurl or cause to be hurled, a bodily fluid, namely saliva, at said employee, in violation of Connecticut General Statutes §53a-167c (a)(5). *AUG 08 2013 hb plea*

COUNT FOUR:

The undersigned Deputy Assistant State's Attorney for the Judicial District of Tolland, further accuses MICHAEL A. YOUNG of ASSAULT OF PUBLIC SAFETY OR EMERGENCY MEDICAL PERSONNEL, and charges that in the area of 164 East Street, in the Town of Stafford, on or about the 10th day of May, 2011, at approximately 9:00 p.m., the said Michael A. Young, with intent to prevent a reasonably identifiable peace officer from performing his or her duties, and while such employee was acting in the performance of his or her duties, did cause physical injury to such peace officer, in violation of Connecticut General Statutes §53a-167c (a)(1). *AUG 08 2013 hb plea*

COUNT FIVE:

The undersigned Deputy Assistant State's Attorney for the Judicial District of Tolland, further accuses MICHAEL A. YOUNG of THREATENING IN THE SECOND DEGREE, and charges that in the area of 164 East Street, in the Town of Stafford, on or about the 10th day of May, 2011, at approximately 9:00 p.m., the said Michael A. Young, did threaten to commit a crime of violence with the intent to terrorize another person, in violation of Connecticut General Statutes §53a-62 (a)(2). *AUG 08 2013 hb plea*

COUNT SIX:

The undersigned Deputy Assistant State's Attorney for the Judicial District of Tolland, further accuses MICHAEL A. YOUNG of RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, and charges that in the area of 164 East Street, in the Town of Stafford, on or about the 10th day of May, 2011, at approximately 9:00 p.m., the said Michael A. Young, with extreme indifference to human life, did recklessly engage in conduct which created a risk of serious physical injury to another person, in violation of Connecticut General Statutes §53a-63.

AUG 08 2013. h6 plea


COUNT SEVEN:

The undersigned Deputy Assistant State's Attorney for the Judicial District of Tolland, further accuses MICHAEL A. YOUNG of STRANGULATION IN THE SECOND DEGREE, and charges that in the area of 164 East Street, in the Town of Stafford, on or about the 10th day of May, 2011, at approximately 9:00 p.m., the said Michael A. Young, did restrain another person, namely, Jessica Reed, by the neck or throat with the intent to impede the ability of such other person to breathe or restrict blood circulation of such other person and did impede the ability of such other person to breathe or restricts blood circulation of such other person, in violation of Connecticut General Statutes §53a-64bb.

AUG 08 2013. h6 plea

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

BY


Reed Durham
Deputy Assistant State's Attorney
Tolland Judicial District

Reprimand: VOID for duplicity and "DOUBLEBESS"

AUG 08 2013

filed in court
11:15

T19R-CR11-0099206-S * SUPERIOR COURT
STATE OF CONNECTICUT * JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF TOLLAND
V. * AT ROCKVILLE, G.A. #19
MICHAEL A. YOUNG * MAY 13, 2013

PART B INFORMATION

The undersigned Deputy Assistant State's Attorney for the Judicial District of Tolland charges the defendant, MICHAEL A. YOUNG, with COMMITTING A CRIME WHILE ON RELEASE, in violation of section 53a-40b of the Connecticut General Statutes, and alleges that the defendant stands convicted of an offense committed while he was on release, pursuant to section 54-63d, in docket number T19R CR11-0098329-S, in the Judicial District of Tolland, Geographical Area #19 at Rockville.

INCORRECTLY
ALLEGED BEFORE
CONVICTION

NO APPROPRIATE
CONCLUSION


9.3.13

Δ found Guilty
after Jury Trial

Kwak. J.
reporter Eykel
clerk Vincente

BY

STATE OF CONNECTICUT


Reed Durham
Deputy Assistant State's Attorney
Tolland Judicial District

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that, prior to the time the defendant entered a guilty plea or, if the defendant pleaded not guilty, prior to the commencement of trial, the above-named defendant was notified of the contents of this second part of the Information, on the day and at the time and place following:

AUG 08 2018	11:23	Courtroom
Date	Time	Place

Mullarkey, J.
Judge of the Superior Court (CV) (Civil)

Exhibit #3

JD-CR-71 LP REV. 7-05

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT

DOB: 06/21/1967

ORIGINAL INFORMATION:

COURT DATE:

AT:

DISPOSITION DATE: 4-14-14

NO

05/11/2011

GA19 - ROCKVILLE

DOCKET NO.: T19R-CR11-0099206-S

The undersigned Prosecuting Authority of the Superior Court of the State of Connecticut charges that

YOUNG MICHAEL A

162 R EAST STREET, STAFFORD SPRINGS, CT 06076

Did commit the offenses recited below:

Count: 1 ASSAULT 3RD DEG Type/Class: M/A At: STAFFORD
On or About: 05/10/2011 In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-61Count: 2 INTERFERE WITH OFFCR/RESISTING Type/Class: M/A At: STAFFORD
On or About: 05/10/2011 In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-167aCount: 3 ASSLT PB SFTY/EMR MED/PUB TRNS Type/Class: F/C At: STAFFORD
On or About: 05/10/2011 In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-167cSEE OTHER SHEETS
FOR ADDITIONAL COUNTS X

DATE

SIGNED (PROSECUTING AUTHORITY)

COURT ACTION

DEFENDANT ADVISED OF RIGHTS BEFORE PLEA

(JUDGE)

☒ ATT. ☐ PUB. DEFENDER

GUARDIAN

(DATE) Post

BOND

\$30000

SURETY

cash

☐ CASH

APPEAL

ELECTION

☐ COURT

ELECTION WITHDRAWN DATE

☐ SEIZED PROPERTY

COUNT NO.

PLEA DATE

PLEA

PLEA WITHDRAWN DATE

NEW PLEA

VERDICT FINDING

JAIL

ADDITIONAL DISPOSITION

08/08/13

NG

8-30-13

not guilty

after jury trial

08/08/13

NG

8-30-13

not guilty

after jury trial

08/08/13

NG

8-30-13

not guilty

after jury trial

DATE

OTHER COURT ACTION

JUDGE

CONTINUANCES

DATE

PURPOSE

REASON

8-8-13

voir dire day 1

Mullarky

18-14-13 J. Selc.

Juries 1 & 2 selected

Re-evaluate medications on hit

28-15-13 J. Selc.

8-9-13

voir dire day 2

Mullarky

3-26-13 Jury Trial

ID to move he should

4-8-13 J. Selc.

prior to court each day

5-8-13 J. Selc.

Juries 3 & 4 selected

6-8-13 Jury Trial

8-14-13

voir dire day 3

Mullarky

7-11-13 PSI (Kwale)

D's request for notice of charges

8-3-14 54-5ed Kwale

miscellaneous evidence filed (7)

9-3-14 sent to apr

Juries 5 & 6 selected

10-4-14 PSI 3pm

FINE PAID

RECEIPT NO.

MITTIMUS DATE

TRIAL TOWN

PROSECUTOR ON ORIGINAL DISPOSITION

REPORTER ON ORIGINAL DISPOSITION

SIGNED CLERK

SIGNED JUDGE

☐ SEE REVERSE SIDE12 YEARS TO SERVE
FOLLOWED BY 5 YEARS SPECIAL PAROLE
T19R

ORIGINAL INFORMATION:

COURT DATE:

AT:

NO

05/11/2011

GA19 - ROCKVILLE

DISPOSITION DATE:

DOCKET NO.: T19R-CR11-0099206-S

The undersigned Prosecuting Authority of the Superior Court of the State of Connecticut charges that

YOUNG MICHAEL A

162 R EAST STREET, STAFFORD SPRINGS, CT 06076

Did commit the offenses recited below:

Count: 4 ASSLT PB SFTY/EMR MED/PUB TRNS Type/Class: F/C At: STAFFORD
On or About: 05/10/2011 In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-167c

Count: 5 THREATENING 2ND DEG Type/Class: M/A At: STAFFORD
On or About: 05/10/2011 In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-62

Count: 6 RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT 1ST DEG Type/Class: M/A At: STAFFORD
On or About: 05/10/2011 In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-63

SEE OTHER SHEETS FOR ADDITIONAL COUNTS X	DATE	SIGNED (PROSECUTING AUTHORITY)
---	------	--------------------------------

COURT ACTION

DEFENDANT ADVISED OF RIGHTS BEFORE PLEA (JUDGE)	(DATE)	BOND \$30000	SURETY	ELECTION ☐ CASH ☐ COURT ☐ JURY
☐ ATTY. ☐ PUB. DEFENDER	GUARDIAN:	REDUCTION:	B.O.	APPEAL
		ELECTION WITHDRAWN DATE ☐ SEIZED PROPERTY		

COUNT NO.	PLEA DATE	PLEA	PLEA WITHDRAWN DATE	NEW PLEA	VERDICT FINDING	FINE	JAIL	ADDITIONAL DISPOSITION
4	08/08/13	NG	8-30-13		Guilty after jury trial			5 YEARS to serve followed by 5 YEARS Special Parole, 53a-40b
5	08/08/13	NG	8-30-13		not Guilty after jury trial			5 YEARS consec.
6	08/08/13	NG	8-30-13		not Guilty after jury trial			

DATE	OTHER COURT ACTION	JUDGE	CONTINUANCES
			DATE PURPOSE REASON
AUG 26 2013	Trial day 1	Kwak, J.	
	Defendant's mot. to dismiss filed		1.
AUG 28 2013	Trial day 2	Kwak, J.	
	Mot. to dismiss denied		2.
AUG 29 2013	Trial day 3	Kwak, J.	
	A canvas on right to testify - A not to testify		3.
	Further canvas of right to testify		4.
	consequences A charged his not to testify		5.
	A again decides not to testify		6.
AUG 30 2013			7.
			8.
			9.
			10.

FINE PAID	RECEIPT NO.	MITTIMUS DATE	TRIAL TOWN	☐ SEE REVERSE SIDE
-----------	-------------	---------------	------------	---

PROSECUTOR ON ORIGINAL DISPOSITION REPORTER ON ORIGINAL DISPOSITION SIGNED CLERK SIGNED JUDGE

ORIGINAL INFORMATION:

COURT DATE:

AT:

DISPOSITION DATE:

NO

05/11/2011

GA19 - ROCKVILLE

DOCKET NO.: T19R-CR11-0099206-S

The undersigned Prosecuting Authority of the Superior Court of the State of Connecticut charges that

YOUNG MICHAEL A

162 R EAST STREET, STAFFORD SPRINGS, CT 06076

Did commit the offenses recited below:

Count: 7 STRANGULATION SECOND DEGREE Type/Class: F/D At: STAFFORD

On or About: 05/10/2011 In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-64bb

SEE OTHER SHEETS FOR ADDITIONAL COUNTS	DATE	SIGNED (PROSECUTING AUTHORITY)
---	------	--------------------------------

COURT ACTION

DEFENDANT ADVISED OF RIGHTS BEFORE PLEA

(JUDGE)	(DATE)	BOND \$30000	SURETY	☐ CASH: ☐ COURT ☐ JURY
☐ ATTY. ☐ PUB. DEFENDER	GUARDIAN	REDUCTION:	B.O.	APPEAL
		ELECTION WITHDRAWN DATE ☐ SEIZED PROPERTY ☐		

COUNT NO.	PLEA DATE	PLEA	PLEA WITHDRAWN DATE	NEW PLEA	VERDICT FINDING	FINE	JAIL	ADDITIONAL DISPOSITION
7	08/08/13	NG	8-30-13		not guilty jury trial			

DATE	OTHER COURT ACTION	JUDGE	CONTINUANCES
DATE	PURPOSE	REASON	
AUG 30 2013	Trial day 4	Kwale	
	D advised again on right & consequences of testifying		1.
	D wishes to testify		2.
	D changes his mind again		3.
	canvass by court again		4.
	D will not testify		5.
	D's mot. for judgment of Acquittal as to chs. 3, 5, 6, 7		6.
	J. to reserve judgment until jury returns w/ a verdict		7.
			8.
			9.
			10.

FINE PAID

RECEIPT NO.

MITTIMUS DATE

TRIAL TOWN

(A8)

☐ SEE REVERSE SIDE

PROSECUTOR ON ORIGINAL DISPOSITION

REPORTER ON ORIGINAL DISPOSITION

SIGNED CLERK

SIGNED JUDGE

AUG 30 2013

closing arguments
jury charged

Kwak - J

After deliberations, jury finds Δ
Guilty on counts 2 and 4
not guilty on counts 1, 3, 5, 6 & 7

Jurors released Fri. Tues. 9-3-13 10am
L for Part B info

SEP 03 2013

Trial day 5

Kwak - J

Δ found guilty of Part B info.

Bond remains the same

Conditions of release added:

- Δ to turn in his passport
- electronic monitoring - 24hrs
- Δ not to leave the state of CT

PSI ordered -

10-21-13

Motion for competency examination filed

1-17-14

Mot for competency examination
granted

Kwak - J

* Δ to be examined in custody of DOC for competency
Δ not to be released from DOC on mitt.

2-20-14

After 54.56 d exam.

D'se + state stipulate to = Δ is competent Kwak - J

Court finds Δ competent

After Δ fires counsel - Atty Erwin +

~~Atty~~ Patti's law firm is released from case -

Δ adv. of right to counsel

cost for sentencing

114

3.20.14	P.D. Appt. case continued for completion of PSI. (A was not interviewed for latest PSI)	Kwak, J
4/7-14	Defense motion for New Trial denied (J) Kwak. motion to dismiss filed by A dated 1-24-14 denied (J) Kwak	
4/17-14	Sentence imposed: CT1/ 1YEAR And 53a40b 1YEAR consec. CT2/ 5YEARS to serve followed by 5YEARS Special Parole And 53a40b 5YEARS consec. 54-125e TESB 12YEARS to serve followed by 5YEARS Special Parole 54-125e Defendant motion for Appeal Bond denied. A given Notice of Right to Appeal. A given Notice of Right Sentence Review and Application For Waiver fees costs and Appointment of Counsel on Appeal.	(J) Kwak

Exhibit #4

CONTEXT
of counts two
that a "FINE" is
STATE explicit

an FOUR are DRAFTED with the ONCE CERTAINTY
sentence "AUTHORIZE" to be "IMPOSED"
"Stipulated" "TERMS" clearly set forth by CPB § 44-37(6) VIOLATION
T19R-CR11-0099206-S

SUPERIOR COURT

Jan. 2017

requirements!!!

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

*

JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF TOLLAND

V.

*

AT ROCKVILLE, G.A. #19

MICHAEL A. YOUNG

*

AUGUST 30, 2013

INFORMATION

"Not sufficient in LAW" with "No offense,
"CRIME" willful "FELONY" not "FELONIOUS" intent ever
specified in conformity with "RULES" of pleading!!!
"FATAL DEFECT"

COUNT ONE:


The undersigned Deputy Assistant State's Attorney for the Judicial District of Tolland, accuses MICHAEL A. YOUNG of ASSAULT IN THE THIRD DEGREE, and charges that in the area of 164 East Street, in the Town of Stafford, on or about the 10th day of May, 2011, at approximately 9:00 p.m., the said Michael A. Young, did intend to cause physical injury to another person, namely, Jessica Reed, and did cause such injury, in violation of section 53a-61 of the Connecticut General Statutes.

COUNT TWO:

"STATES" allegation was at 162R "Not" 164
MUST be "ALEGED" in a "CRIMINAL" mind "CRIME"!!!
The undersigned Deputy Assistant State's Attorney for the Judicial District of Tolland, further accuses MICHAEL A. YOUNG of INTERFERING WITH AN OFFICER, and charges that in the area of 164 East Street, in the Town of Stafford, on or about the 10th day of May, 2011, at approximately 9:00 p.m., the said Michael A. Young, did obstruct, resist, hinder or endanger a peace officer in the performance of such peace officer's duties, in violation of section 53a-167a of the Connecticut General Statutes.

COUNT THREE:

The undersigned Deputy Assistant State's Attorney for the Judicial District of Tolland, further accuses MICHAEL A. YOUNG of ASSAULT OF PUBLIC SAFETY OR



"NO LEGAL" arguments about the structure of sufficient INFORMATION

EMERGENCY MEDICAL PERSONNEL, and charges that in the area of 164 East Street, in the Town of Stafford, on or about the 10th day of May, 2011, at approximately 9:00 p.m., the said Michael A. Young, with intent to prevent a reasonably identifiable peace officer from performing his or her duties, and while such employee was acting in the performance of his or her duties, did hurl or cause to be hurled, a bodily fluid, namely saliva, at said employee, in violation of Connecticut General Statutes §53a-167c (a)(5).

COUNT FOUR:

The undersigned Deputy Assistant State's Attorney for the Judicial District of Tolland, further accuses MICHAEL A. YOUNG of ASSAULT OF PUBLIC SAFETY OR EMERGENCY MEDICAL PERSONNEL, and charges that in the area of 164 East Street, in the Town of Stafford, on or about the 10th day of May, 2011, at approximately 9:00 p.m., the said Michael A. Young, with intent to prevent a reasonably identifiable peace officer from performing his or her duties, and while such employee was acting in the performance of his or her duties, did cause physical injury to such peace officer, in violation of Connecticut General Statutes §53a-167c (a)(1).

COUNT FIVE:

The undersigned Deputy Assistant State's Attorney for the Judicial District of Tolland, further accuses MICHAEL A. YOUNG of THREATENING IN THE SECOND DEGREE, and charges that in the area of 164 East Street, in the Town of Stafford, on or about the 10th day of May, 2011, at approximately 9:00 p.m., the said Michael A. Young, did threaten to commit a crime of violence with the intent to terrorize another person, in violation of Connecticut General Statutes §53a-62 (a)(2).

COUNT SIX:

17AMELY
2010500

The undersigned Deputy Assistant State's Attorney for the Judicial District of Tolland, further accuses MICHAEL A. YOUNG of RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, and charges that in the area of 164 East Street, in the Town of Stafford, on or about the 10th day of May, 2011, at approximately 9:00 p.m., the said Michael A. Young, with extreme indifference to human life, did recklessly engage in conduct which created a risk of serious physical injury to another person, in violation of Connecticut General Statutes §53a-63.

COUNT SEVEN:

The undersigned Deputy Assistant State's Attorney for the Judicial District of Tolland, further accuses MICHAEL A. YOUNG of STRANGULATION IN THE SECOND DEGREE, and charges that in the area of 164 East Street, in the Town of Stafford, on or about the 10th day of May, 2011, at approximately 9:00 p.m., the said Michael A. Young, did restrain another person, namely, Jessica Reed, by the neck or throat with the intent to impede the ability of such other person to breathe or restrict blood circulation of such other person and did impede the ability of such other person to breathe or restricts blood circulation of such other person, in violation of Connecticut General Statutes §53a-64bb.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

BY



Reed Durham
Deputy Assistant State's Attorney
Tolland Judicial District

Exhibit # 5

Deleted or Purged

(can be recovered)

(can not be recovered)

Forensic Computer

EXPERTS

Handwritten initials

1

CR-1100992065

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT
G.A. 19

SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

G A # 19

2011 MAY 25 P 12:03

VS.

AT ROCKVILLE

MICHAEL YOUNG

Date: May 25th 2011

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRESERVE EVIDENCE

Pursuant to the due process clauses of the 5th and 14th amendments to the United States Constitution, Article first, sections 8, 9 and 20 of the Constitution of the State of Connecticut, as well as State v. Morales, 232 Conn. 707 (1995); see also Arizona v. Youngblood, 488 U.S. 51 (1988), the Defendant in the above captioned matter motions the Court to order the State's Attorney and the Connecticut State Police to take the necessary steps to preserve all audiotape, electronic, digital or other evidence of 911 calls; radio transmissions, cruiser video, and/or any other video, electronic, digital evidence which shows the defendant's arrest, processing and lock-up from 8:45pm

May 10th 2011 including his departure from Troop C. As well as loading, in Route, unloading and transport to Hartford Hospital. Then transport to Hartford correctional facility. Said evidence is critical to the Defendants right to prepare and present a defense.

By Pro-Se Defendant

Michael Young

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing motion was served by hand on the State's Attorney for G A # 19 at the State's Attorney's Office, 20 Park Street, Rockville, CT 06066. May 25th 2011.

Michael Young pro-se defendant

This motion is hereby GRANTED / Denied

121

23

Exhibit # 6

Received
3-3-2020

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

FILE HISTORY - PURSUANT TO CONN. GENERAL STATUTES SEC. 51-196

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

RE: YOUNG, MICHAEL
#232802

J.D. ____, G.A. 19
ROCKVILLE

DOCKET NUMBER (S) T19R-CR11-0099206-S

DECISION WAS FILED AND SENT TO THE FOLLOWING ON FEBRUARY 27, 2020

(X)	CHIEF JUSTICE
(X)	SUPERIOR COURT CLERK (J.D. or G.A. where sentence was imposed)
(X)	STATE'S ATTORNEY, <u>JACLYN PREVILL</u>
(X)	SENTENCING JUDGE, <u>HON. HUNCHU KWAK</u>
(X)	DEFENDANT
(X)	WARDEN, <u>MACDOUGALL</u>
(X)	ERIC M. LEVINE, (Reporter of Judicial Decisions)
(X)	SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION FILE
(X)	PARTICIPATING JUDGES
(X)	OFFICE OF VICTIM SERVICES

OMAR A. WILLIAMS, JUDGE

ARTHUR C. HADDEN, JUDGE

Received 3-3-2020

STATE OF CONNECTICUT	VS.	:	MICHAEL YOUNG
DATE OF SENTENCE		:	APRIL 17, 2014
DATE OF APPLICATION		:	APRIL 17, 2014
DATE APPLICATION FILED		:	APRIL 17, 2014
DATE OF DECISION		:	NOVEMBER 26, 2019

Application for REVIEW OF SENTENCES imposed by the Superior Court,
Judicial District of Tolland at G.A.19 (Rockville). Docket Number T19R-CR11-99206.

Jaclyn Marie Preville, Esq.

Counsel for the State

Self-Represented (Pro Se)

Counsel for Petitioner

PER CURIAM



SENTENCES AFFIRMED

BY THE DIVISION

Petitioner Michael Young was convicted after a jury trial of Assault of Public Safety Personnel in violation of § 53a-167c (exposing him to a maximum of ten years in jail), Committing a Crime (the felony assault of a police officer) While on Pretrial Release in violation of § 53a-40b (exposing him to a maximum of ten years in jail), Interfering with an Officer in violation of § 53a-167a (exposing him to a maximum of one year in jail), and a second count of Committing a Crime (this time, the interfering with an officer) While on Pretrial Release in violation of §53a-40b (exposing him in this instance to up to one year in jail). His total exposure at sentencing was twenty-two years in jail.

The sentencing court (Hon. Hunchu Kwak, J.) imposed a sentence of five years in jail followed by five years of special parole as to the assault on an officer; five years to serve for committing that crime while on pretrial release; one year to serve for interfering with an officer; and one year to serve for committing that crime while on pretrial release. Each sentence was imposed consecutively to one another, for a total effective sentence of twelve years in jail followed by five years of special parole (out of the twenty-two years in jail to which the petitioner was exposed).

The review division only has the discretion to modify sentences that are "inappropriate or disproportionate in the light of the nature of the offense, the character of the offender, the protection of the public interest, and the deterrent, rehabilitative, isolative, and denunciatory purposes for which the sentence was intended." Practice Book §43-28. In this case, the sentencing court offered a detailed articulation of each sentence that it imposed. Sentencing Transcript (hereinafter "Tr.") at 15 – 21. Upon careful review of the entire record, including the arguments presented by the parties at the sentence review hearing, and with particular attention to § 51-196 of the General Statutes and § 43-28 of the Practice Book, the review division determines that the sentences should stand; thus, the imposed sentences hereby are AFFIRMED.


Williams, J.
Hadden, J.

Received 1-9-18

AC 40801 ((TSR-CV-14-4006214-S)

MICHAEL A. YOUNG

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

V.

APPELATE COURT

WARDEN STATE PRISON

NOVEMBER 10, 2017

MOTION FOR "STAY" AND "EMERGENCY" TEMPORARY "STAY" WITH "ORDER" OF RELEASE

As set forth by "STAY" provisions of C.P.B. §61-13 made "PROPERLY" applicable to "THIS CASE" by "UNLAWFUL" criminal conviction and sentence, here pursuant C.P.B. §61-13(d) petitioner "NOW" explicitly request "THIS COURT" issue "STAY" of criminal "JUDGMENT" and "EMERGENCY" temporary "STAY" on unexecuted portion of "ILLEGAL" sentence, with "ORDER" of "RELEASE" pending "FINALITY" in above "ENTITLED" matter!!! (Superior Court Judge William Bright Jr.)

BRIEF HISTORY

On 7-3-13 I was "ILLEGALLY" kidnapped then "UNLAWFUL" convictions with "ILLEGAL" sentences followed while consistently being "HELD" in "UNLAWFUL" restraint with "NO RELIEF" in "VIOLATION" of 8th amendment against "CRUEL" and "UNUSUAL" treatment... "AN"... "MY FIRST" 11-10-16 habeas trial then "SECOND" 9-6-17... "THIRD" 9-26-17... "NOW" with "MY FOURTH" 10-16-17 dates have "NEVER" commenced!!!

FACTS RELIED UPON

With "NO PROPER" assistance of counsel "FIRST" scheduled 11-10-16 habeas trial "NEVER" commenced an "SECOND" Judge Bright scheduled 9-6-17 also "NEVER" commenced. Then here 9-20-17 notice "ORDER" received 9-26-17 indicates: [The trial scheduled for 9-25-17 has been cancelled and "HABEAS" matter "STAYED" pursuant C.P.B. §61-11...]... "NOW"... Due to appellate clerks insistant "PATTERN" of "INADEQUACY" Judge Brights "FOURTH" scheduled 10-16-17 trial date has been "ELIMINATED" through unacceptable clerk "MISCONDUCT" leaving "ME" STILL "with" "NO PROPER" method of "RELIEF"... "AN"... With "MORE" unacceptable Superior Court clerk "CORRUPTION" the "NON CONFIRMED" next status conference date "ALLEGED" 12-1-17 is "CONSPIRED" once again "BEYOND" unacceptable "ACTIONS" outside the clerks "PROPER SCOPE"!!!

Notice Sent: January 5, 2018
Counsel of Record
Hon. Dawne G. Westbrook
Clerk, Superior Court - TSRCV144006214S

CHIEF CLERK
SUPERIOR COURT
APPELLATE COURT
JAN 29 PM 11 54
H 2018

17-5209 (N) 153.00 HFSTA
76B 29

127

After "SEVERAL" preliminary and fundamental "SERIOUS" structural "ERRORS" with "ALL" inordinate "DELAYS" in "CORRUPT" processed appeals "WAY BEYOND" control of "MY" delegated "DUTIES"!! "HERE" With "NO PROPERLY" appointed counsel in "VIOLATION" of C.G.S. §51-296(a) and C.P.B. §43-33, "STILL" in "SERIOUS" structural "ERROR" of "PROPER" C.P.B. §66-6 appellate "REVIEW", none of "MY" intended preliminary issues "NEEDED" are even close to getting "RESOLVED"!!!

"MOST"!! "IMPORTANTLY"!! "ALL" "MY" "PROPERLY" intended issues through "NO FAULT" of "MY OWN" have either been intentionally "DISCARDED" or deliberately disregarded "IMPROPERLY" rejected!! "YUP"!! "AN"!! "REVIEW" set forth by C.G.S. §54-63g "ALL" in support of "STAY" and "EMERGENCY" temporary "STAY" with immediate "EMERGENCY" release to "PROPERLY" obtain "NEWLY" effective "SPECIAL LEGAL FORCES" assistance pending "FINALITY"!!!

"JUST"!! "BRIEFLY"!! With "NO" dispute at least "TWO" of "SEVERAL" sustainable issues brought forward through new morous pleadings have "NEVER" been "RULED ON" here!! "FIRST"!! With "NO" "SHORT" "FORM" information ever "PROPERLY" pending, at commencement of trial the states prejudicial and "NON" certified "LONG" form information was filed. With an "UNLAWFUL" change in "COUNT" (4) Creating Public Disturbance "INFRACTION" to a "SECOND" unrelated "FELONY" assault C.G.S. §53a-167c in clear "VIOLATION" but manipulating "PROPER" compliance with information requirements as set forth by C.P.B. "CHAPTER" 36. Although "KNOWN" but "NO" Superior Court Judge ever assessed the "ILLEGAL" information change of "FELONY" assault for "PROBABLE CAUSE" nor "RECHARGING" of "ANY" original offences for that matter!! "MORE"!! "IMPORTANTLY"!! I was "NEVER" formally arraigned and put to "PLEA" in "VIOLATION" of C.P.B. §37-1 and 37-7!! "AN"!! "NO" hearing waiver with once again "NO" finding of "PROBABLE CAUSE" in "VIOLATION" of C.P.B. §37-12 "ALL" applicable through "NON" "RELEASE" provision of subsection (a)!!!

"SECONDLY"!! "HERE"!! Is the requirement that defendant can "ONLY" be convicted if "FOUND" to have committed "ALL" elements of a crime "BEYOND" reasonable doubt is unquestionably a "BEDROCK" principle of due process. IN re Winship 397 U.S. 358; State v. Gabriel 192 Conn. 405!! "BUT"!! "HERE"!! In "THIS CASE" it's undisputable at "BEDROCK" G.A. 19 (KWAK J) "ELIMINATED" an element from deliberations on the unrelated "COUNT" (4) "FELONY" assault conviction, by "MISCONDUCTING"

the jury"BACK"to"COUNT"(2) with the"LIMITED"time"CLAIM"interfearing C.G.S. §53a-167a total "IMPROPER"instructions..."YES!"...BOTH"sustainable issues render"BOTH"convictions a"NULITY" upon"FINALITY" in this"ENTITLED"matter!!!

GROUNDS

I rely on C.P.B. §61-11(f), 61-12, 61-13, 61-14, then upon C.P.B. §61-13(d) and the"REVERSED" subsection (d)(2) where the"DUE"administration of"JUSTICE"so"REQUIRES"for...U.S. Const. Amends. 5, 6, 8, 14: Conn. Const. Article 1 § 8, 20 as"MY RIGHT"to obtain"EFFECTIVE"assistance of counsel for success pending"FINALITY"with"NO FURTHER"irreparable"INJURY"!!!

Wherefore"GOOD CAUSE"already"SHOWN"petitioner explicitly request"THIS"court"GRANT"a "STAY"of criminal"JUDGMENT"and issue"EMERGENCY"temporary"STAY"on unexecuted portion of "ILLEGAL"sentence with"ORDER"of"RELEASE"pending"FINALITY" in above"ENTITLED"matter!!!

EXPLICITLY SUBMITTED

BY: MM "Factual Innocence"!!!
MICHAEL A. YOUNG

The"SOLE SURVIVOR"of"SPECIAL FORCES"eliminating malicious"LAW"!!!

This motion being duly heard it is hereby... ~~GRANTED~~ /denied

1/3/18

BY: (Westbrook, J.)
DATE: Tyana B. Boring
Court Officer

CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to C.P.B. §62-7, it is hereby certified that a copy of the forgoing motion was mailed postage prepaid on November 10, 2017 to Susan Marks, Office of Chief States Attorney 300 Corporate Place, Rocky Hill CT. 06067. Tell: 860-258-5807, Fax: 860-258-5828, DCJ.QCSA. Appellate@ct.gov. It is also certified that this document has been redacted or does not contain any names or other personal identifying information that is prohibited from disclosure by rule, statute, court order or case"LAW". It is also certified that this document complies with all applicable rules of appellate procedure.

BY: MM "Factual Innocence"!!!
MICHAEL A. YOUNG

AC 10801

Received 1-9-18

SC 19859 (TSR-CV-14-4006214-S)

MICHAEL A. YOUNG

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

V.

SUPREME COURT

WARDEN STATE PRISON

NOVEMBER 10, 2017

MOTION FOR "STAY" AND "EMERGENCY" TEMPORARY "STAY" WITH "ORDER" OF RELEASE

As set forth by "STAY" provisions of C.P.B. §61-13 made "PROPERLY" applicable to "THIS CASE" by "UNLAWFUL" criminal conviction and sentence, here pursuant C.P.B. §61-13(d) petitioner "NOW" explicitly request "THIS COURT" issue "STAY" of criminal "JUDGMENT" and "EMERGENCY" temporary "STAY" on unexecuted portion of "ILLEGAL" sentence, with "ORDER" of "RELEASE" pending "FINALITY" in above "ENTITLED" matter!!! (Superior Court Judge William Bright Jr.)

BRIEF HISTORY

On 7-3-13 I was "ILLEGALLY" kidnapped then "UNLAWFUL" convictions with "ILLEGAL" sentences followed while consistently being "HELD" in "UNLAWFUL" restraint with "NO RELIEF" in "VIOLATION" of 8th amendment against "CRUEL" and "UNUSUAL" treatment... "AN"!! "MY FIRST" 11-10-16 habeas trial then "SECOND" 9-6-17.. "THIRD" 9-26-17.. "NOW" with "MY FOURTH" 10-16-17 dates have "NEVER" commenced!!!

FACTS RELIED UPON

With "NO PROPER" assistance of counsel "FIRST" scheduled 11-10-16 habeas trial "NEVER" commenced an "SECOND" Judge Bright scheduled 9-6-17 also "NEVER" commenced. Then here 9-20-17 notice "ORDER" received 9-26-17 indicates: [The trial scheduled for 9-25-17 has been cancelled and "HABEAS" matter "STAYED" pursuant C.P.B. §61-11...].. "NOW"!! Due to appellate clerks insistant "PATTERN" of "INADEQUACY" Judge Brights "FOURTH" scheduled 10-16-17 trial date has been "ELIMINATED", through unacceptable clerk "MISCONDUCT" leaving "ME" "STILL" with "NO PROPER" method of "RELIEF"!! "AN"!! With "MORE" unacceptable Superior Court clerk "CORRUPTION" the "NON CONFIRMED" next status confrence date "ALEGED" 12-1-17 is "CONSPIRED" once again "BEYOND" unacceptable "ACTIONS" outside the clerks "PROPER SCOPE"!!!

66 NOV 9 2017

FILED

130

Notice Sent: January 5, 2018

Counsel of Record

Hon. Dawne G. Westbrook

Clerk, Superior Court - TSRCV144006214S

130

17-5210

(N) 154.00 HFSJA
TGB
32

After "SEVERAL" preliminary and fundamental "SERIOUS" structural "ERRORS" with "ALL" inordinate "DELAYS" in "CORRUPT" processed appeals "WAY BEYOND" control of "MY" delegated "DUTIES".. "HERE". With "NO PROPERLY" appointed counsel in "VIOLATION" of C.G.S. §51-296(a) and C.P.B. §43-33, "STHIL" in "SERIOUS" structural "ERROR" of "PROPER" C.P.B. §66-6 appellate "REVIEW", none of "MY" intended preliminary issues "NEEDED" are even close to getting "RESOLVED"!!!

"MOST".. "IMPORTANTLY".. "ALL" "MY" "PROPERLY" intended issues through "NO FAULT" of "MY OWN" have either been intentionally "DISCARDED" or deliberately disregarded "IMPROPERLY" rejected.. "YUP".. "AN".. "REVIEW" set forth by C.G.S. §54-63g "ALL" in support of "STAY" and "EMERGENCY" temporary "STAY" with immediate "EMERGENCY" release to "PROPERLY" obtain "NEWLY" effective "SPECIAL LEGAL FORCES" assistance pending "FINALITY"!!!

"JUST".. "BRIEFLY".. With "NO" dispute at least "TWO" of "SEVERAL" sustainable issues brought forward through newmorous pleadings have "NEVER" been "RULED ON" here.. "FIRST".. With "NO" "SHORT" "FORM" information ever "PROPERLY" pending, at commencement of trial the states prejudicial and "NON" certified "LONG" form information was filed. With an "UNLAWFUL" change in "COUNT" (4) Creating Public Disturbance "INFRACTION" to a "SECOND" unrelated "FELONY" assault C.G.S. §53a-167c in clear "VIOLATION" but manipulating "PROPER" compliance with information requirements as set forth by C.P.B. "CHAPTER" 36. Although "KNOWN" but "NO" Superior Court Judge ever assessed the "ILLEGAL" information change of "FELONY" assault for "PROBABLE CAUSE" nor "RECHARGING" of "ANY" original offences for that matter.. "MORE".. "IMPORTANTLY".. I was "NEVER" formally arraigned and put to "PLEA" in "VIOLATION" of C.P.B. §37-1 and 37-7.. "AN".. "NO" hearing waiver with once again "NO" finding of "PROBABLE CAUSE" in "VIOLATION" of C.P.B. §37-12 "ALL" applicable through "NON" "RELEASE" provision of subsection (a)!!!

"SECONDLY".. "HERE".. Is the requirement that defendant can "ONLY" be convicted if "FOUND" to have committed "ALL" elements of a crime "BEYOND" reasonable doubt is unquestionably a "BEDROCK" principle of due process. IN re Winship 397 U.S. 358; State v. Gabriel 192 Conn. 405.. "BUT".. "HERE".. In "THIS CASE" it's undisputable at "BEDROCK" G.A. 19 (KWAK J) "ELIMINATED" an element from deliberations on the unrelated "COUNT" (4) "FELONY" assault conviction, by "MISCONDUCTING"

the jury "BACK" to "COUNT" (2) with the "LIMITED" time "CLAIM" interfering C.G.S. §53a-167a total "IMPROPER" instructions... "YES"... "BOTH" sustainable issues render "BOTH" convictions a "NULITY" upon "FINALITY" in this "ENTITLED" matter!!!

GRUNDS

I rely on C.P.B. §61-11(f), 61-12, 61-13, 61-14, then upon C.P.B. §61-13(d) and the "REVERSED" subsection (d)(2) where the "DUE" administration of "JUSTICE" so "REQUIRES" for... U.S. Const. Amends. 5, 6, 8, 14; Conn. Const. Article 1 § 8, 20 as "MY RIGHT" to obtain "EFFECTIVE" assistance of counsel for success pending "FINALITY" with "NO FURTHER" irreparable "INJURY"!!!

Wherefore "GOOD CAUSE" already "SHOWN" petitioner explicitly request "THIS" court "GRANT" a "STAY" of criminal "JUDGMENT" and issue "EMERGENCY" temporary "STAY" on unexecuted portion of "ILLEGAL" sentence with "ORDER" of "RELEASE" pending "FINALITY" in above "ENTITLED" matter!!!

EXPLICITLY SUBMITTED

BY: Michael A. Young "Factual Innocence"!!!
MICHAEL A. YOUNG

The "SOLE SURVIVOR" of "SPECIAL FORCES" eliminating malicious "LAW"!!!

This motion being duly heard it is hereby...

~~granted~~ / denied

1/3/18

BY: Westbrook, J.
DATE:

CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to C.P.B. §62-7, it is hereby certified that a copy of the foregoing motion was mailed postage prepaid on November 10, 2017 to Susan Marks, Office of Chief States Attorney 300 Corporate Place, Rocky Hill CT. 06067. Tell: 860-258-5807, Fax: 860-258-5828, DCJ.OCSA. Appellate@ct.gov. It is also certified that this document has been redacted or does not contain any names or other personal identifying information that is prohibited from disclosure by rule, statute, court order or case "LAW". It is also certified that this document complies with all applicable rules of appellate procedure.

BY: Michael A. Young "Factual Innocence"!!!
MICHAEL A. YOUNG

Exhibit # 8

AC 40801

MICHAEL A. YOUNG

V.

WARDEN STATE PRISON

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

APPELLATE COURT

JANUARY 9, 2018

"EMERGENCY" MOTION FOR "REVIEW" OF MOTION FOR "STAY"

I, the aggrieved appellant pursuant C.P.B. §66-6 explicitly request "THIS COURT" set forth by C.P.B. §61-14 to "GRANT" a "STAY" of criminal "JUDGMENT" and issue "EMERGENCY" temporary "STAY" on unexecuted portion of "ILLEGAL" sentence, with "ORDER" of "RELEASE" pending "FINALITY" in above "ENTITLED" matter... I am "ILLEGALLY" kidnapped "STHIL" being "HELD" in "UNLAWFUL" restraint in "VIOLATION" of "CRUEL" and "UNUSUAL" treatment!!!

BRIEF HISTORY

Due to insistent "PATTERN" of organized "COLLUSION" several trial and "NOW" alternative hearing dates have been "ELIMINATED" through unexcusable clerk "MISCONDUCT" leaving "ME" "STHIL" with "NO PROPER" method of "RELIEF"!!!

FACTS RELIED UPON

After "SEVERAL" preliminary and fundamental "SERIOUS" structural "ERRORS" with "ALL" inordinate "DELAYS" in "CORRUPT" ^{Procedural} processing "WAY BEYOND" control of "MY" delegated "DUTIES"!! "HERE"!! With "NO" "PROPERLY" appointed counsel in "VIOLATION" of C.G.S. §51-296(a) and C.P.B. §43-33, "STHIL" in "SERIOUS" structural "ERROR" of "PROPER" C.P.B. §66-6 appellate "REVIEW" none of "MY PROPERLY" intended preliminary issues "NEEDED" are even close to getting "RESOLVED"!!!

"MOST IMPORTANTLY"... In "MY SHORT" version Superior Court "ORDERS" dated 1-3-18 in "DENIAL" of "STAY" and "EMERGENCY" stay are either "PREMATURE" as "MISCHARACTERIZED" under C.P.B. §61-12... "OR"!! As is "PROPERLY" filed under C.P.B. §61-13 "DENIED" in "VIOLATION" of assigned hearing "REQUIREMENT" made applicable through subsection (d)... "BUT"... Neither "ONE" has been considered on an expedited basis as "DUE" administration of "JUSTICE" so "REQUIRES" nor filed with "ANY" written or "ORAL" memorandum of decision in compliance with C.P.B. §64-1(a)(1) setting forth "FACTUAL" and "LEGAL" basis "REQUIREMENT" as well!!! [Also see "ALL" Appellate Court motions in support "STHIL" pending awaiting appropriate decisions of "RELIEF"...]!!!

GROUNDS

rely on C.P.B. §66-6, §61-11(f), 61-12, 61-13, 61-14, for efficient "EFFECTIVE" administration and "PROPER" business of "THIS COURT", then upon C.P.B. §61-13(d) and the "REVERSED" subsection of (d)(2) where the "DUE" administration of "JUSTICE" so "REQUIRES" for... U.S. Const. Amends. 5, 6, 8, 14: Conn. Cons. Artical 1 § 8, 20 as "MY RIGHT" to obtain "EFFECTIVE" assistance of counsel for success pending "FINALITY" with "NO FURTHER" irreparable "INJURY"!!!

Wherefore "GOOD CAUSE" already "SHOWN" petitioner explicitly request "THIS" court "GRANT" a "STAY" of criminal "JUDGMENT" and issue "EMERGENCY" temporary "STAY" on unexecuted portion of "ILLEGAL" sentence with "ORDER" of "RELEASE" pending "FINALITY" in above "ENTITLED" matter!!!

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

BY: MA "Factual Innocence"
MICHAEL A. YOUNG

The "SOLE SURVIVOR" of "SPECIAL FORCES" eliminating malicious "LAW"!!!

CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to C.P.B. §62-7, it is hereby certified that a copy of the forgoing motion was mailed postage prepaid on January 9, 2018 to Tamara Grosso, Office Of States Attorney, 300 Corporate Place, Rocky Hill CT. 06067, Tel: 860-258-5887, Fax: 860-258-5968. It is also certified that this document complies with all applicable rules of appellate procedure.

BY: MA "Factual Innocence"
MICHAEL A. YOUNG

DECLARATION UNDER 28 U.S.C. §1746

Filed under "ALL" federally mandated civil/criminal procedures for the benefit of timely filing... The undersigned declares under penalty of "PERJURY" that "HE" is the petitioner in this "ACTION" and "HIS" forgoing motion was mailed first-class postage prepaid on January 10, 2018 placed in the institutions "LEGAL" mail system.

Executed at MacDougal CI. Suffield CT. on January 10, 2018...

BY: MA "Factual Innocence"
MICHAEL A. YOUNG

Received 7-30-18

APPELLATE COURT
STATE OF CONNECTICUT

AC 40801

MICHAEL A. YOUNG

v.

COMMISSIONER OF CORRECTION

JULY 25, 2018

O R D E R

THE EMERGENCY MOTION OF THE PETITIONER-APPELLANT, FILED JANUARY 16, 2018, FOR REVIEW OF MOTION FOR STAY, HAVING BEEN PRESENTED TO THE COURT, IT IS HEREBY **O R D E R E D** NO ACTION IS NECESSARY.*

*APPEAL DISMISSED THIS DATE.

BY THE COURT,

/S/
SUSAN C. REEVE
DEPUTY CHIEF CLERK

NOTICE SENT: JULY 25, 2018
COUNSEL OF RECORD
MICHAEL A. YOUNG, #232802
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT, TSR CV14 4006214 S
HON. DAWN WESTBROOK

173490

AC 40801

MICHAEL A. YOUNG

V.

WARDEN STATE PRISON

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

APPELLATE COURT

JANUARY 9, 2018

"EMERGENCY" MOTION FOR "REVIEW" OF MOTION FOR "EMERGENCY" TEMPORARY "STAY" WITH "ORDER" OF RELEASE

I, the aggrieved appellant pursuant C.P.B. §66-6 explicitly request "THIS COURT" set forth by C.P.B. §61-14 to "GRANT" a "STAY" of criminal "JUDGMENT" and issue "EMERGENCY" temporary "STAY" on unexecuted portion of "ILLEGAL" sentence, with "ORDER" of "RELEASE" pending "FINALITY" in above "ENTITLED" matter... I am "ILLEGALLY" kidnapped "STHIL" being "HELD" in "UNLAWFUL" restraint in "VIOLATION" of "CRUEL" and "UNUSUAL" treatment!!!

BRIEF HISTORY

Due to insistant "PATTERN" of organized "COLLUSION" several trial and "NOW" alternative hearing dates have been "ELIMINATED", through unexcusable clerk "MISCONDUCT" leaving "ME" "STHIL" with "NO PROPER" method of "RELIEF"!!!

FACTS RELIED UPON

After "SEVERAL" preliminary and fundamental "SERIOUS" structural "ERRORS", with "ALL" inordinate "DELAYS" in "CORRUPT" ^{Procedural} processing "WAY BEYOND" control of "MY" delegated "DUTIES"... "HERE"... With "NO" "PROPERLY" appointed counsel in "VIOLATION" of C.G.S. §51-296(a) and C.P.B. §43-33, "STHIL" in "SERIOUS" structural "ERROR" of "PROPER" C.P.B. §66-6 appellate "REVIEW", none of "MY PROPERLY" intended preliminary issues "NEEDED" are even close to getting "RESOLVED"!!!

"MOST IMPORTANTLY"... In "MY SHORT" version Superior Court "ORDERS" dated 1-3-18 in "DENIAL" of "STAY" and "EMERGENCY" stay are either "PREMATURE" as "MISCHARACTERIZED" under C.P.B. §61-12... "OR"... As is "PROPERLY" filed under C.P.B. §61-13 "DENIED" in "VIOLATION" of assigned hearing "REQUIREMENT" made applicable through subsection (d)... "BUT"... Neither "ONE" has been considered on an expedited basis as "DUE" administration of "JUSTICE" so "REQUIRES", nor filed with "ANY" written or "ORAL" memorandum of decision in compliance with C.P.B. §64-1(a)(1) setting forth "FACTUAL" and "LEGAL" basis "REQUIREMENT" as well!!! [Also see "ALL" Appellate Court motions in support "STHIL" pending awaiting appropriate decisions of "RELIEF"...]!!!

GROUNDS

rely on C.P.B. §66-6, §61-11(f), 61-12, 61-13, 61-14, for efficient "EFFECTIVE" administration and "PROPER" business of "THIS COURT"; then upon C.P.B. §61-13(d) and the "REVERSED" subsection of (d)(2) where the "DUE" administration of "JUSTICE" so "REQUIRES" for... U.S. Const. Amends. 5, 6, 8, 14: Conn. Cons. Artical 1 § 8, 20 as "MY RIGHT" to obtain "EFFECTIVE" assistance of counsel for success pending "FINALITY" with "NO FURTHER" irreparable "INJURY"!!!

Wherefore "GOOD CAUSE" already "SHOWN" petitioner explicitly request "THIS" court "GRANT" a "STAY" of criminal "JUDGMENT" and issue "EMERGENCY" temporary "STAY" on unexecuted portion of "ILLEGAL" sentence with "ORDER" of "RELEASE" pending "FINALITY" in above "ENTITLED" matter!!!

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

BY: MA "Factual Innocence"
MICHAEL A. YOUNG

The "SOLE SURVIVOR" of "SPECIAL FORCES" eliminating malicious "LAW"!!!

CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to C.P.B. §62-7, it is hereby certified that a copy of the forgoing motion was mailed postage prepaid on January 9, 2018 to Tamara Grosso, Office Of States Attorney, 300 Corporate Place, Rocky Hill CT. 06067, Tell: 860-258-5887, Fax: 860-258-5968. It is also certified that this document complies with all applicable rules of appellate procedure.

BY: MA "Factual Innocence"
MICHAEL A. YOUNG

DECLARATION UNDER 28 U.S.C. §1746

Filed under "ALL" federally mandated civil/criminal procedures for the benefit of timely filing... The undersigned declares under penalty of "PERJURY" that "HE" is the petitioner in this "ACTION" and "HIS" forgoing motion was mailed first-class postage prepaid on January 10, 2018 placed in the institutions "LEGAL" mail system.

Executed at MacDougal CI. Suffield CT. on January 10, 2018...

BY: MA "Factual Innocence"
MICHAEL A. YOUNG

Received 7-30-18

APPELLATE COURT
STATE OF CONNECTICUT

AC 40801

MICHAEL A. YOUNG

v.

COMMISSIONER OF CORRECTION

JULY 25, 2018

ORDER

THE EMERGENCY MOTION OF THE PETITIONER-APPELLANT, FILED JANUARY 16, 2018, FOR REVIEW OF MOTION FOR EMERGENCY TEMPORARY STAY WITH ORDER OF RELEASE, HAVING BEEN PRESENTED TO THE COURT, IT IS HEREBY **ORDERED** NO ACTION IS NECESSARY.*

*APPEAL DISMISSED THIS DATE.

BY THE COURT,

/S/

SUSAN C. REEVE
DEPUTY CHIEF CLERK

NOTICE SENT: JULY 25, 2018
COUNSEL OF RECORD
MICHAEL A. YOUNG, #232802
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT, TSR CV14 4006214 S
HON. DAWN WESTBROOK

173491

139

41

Exhibit #9

Received
7-2-18

SUPREME COURT
STATE OF CONNECTICUT

AC 40801

MICHAEL A. YOUNG

v.

COMMISSIONER OF CORRECTION

JUNE 27, 2018

ORDER

THE APPLICATION OF THE PETITIONER-APPELLANT, FILED DECEMBER 12, 2017, FOR TRANSFER BACK TO SUPREME AUTHORITY, HAVING BEEN PRESENTED TO THE COURT, IT IS HEREBY **ORDERED** DENIED.

BY THE COURT,

/S/
SUSAN C. REEVE
DEPUTY CHIEF CLERK

NOTICE SENT: JUNE 27, 2018
COUNSEL OF RECORD

170182

141

43

Received
7-2-18

SUPREME COURT
STATE OF CONNECTICUT

AC40801

MICHAEL A. YOUNG

v.

COMMISSIONER OF CORRECTION

JUNE 27, 2018

ORDER

THE APPLICATION OF THE PETITIONER-APPELLANT, FILED DECEMBER 18, 2017, FOR TRANSFER BACK TO SUPREME AUTHORITY, HAVING BEEN PRESENTED TO THE COURT, IT IS HEREBY **ORDERED** DISMISSED.

BY THE COURT,

/s/
SUSAN C. REEVE
DEPUTY CHIEF CLERK

NOTICE SENT: JUNE 27, 2018
COUNSEL OF RECORD

170199

142

Received 7-30-18

APPELLATE COURT
STATE OF CONNECTICUT

AC 40801

MICHAEL A. YOUNG

v.

COMMISSIONER OF CORRECTION

JULY 25, 2018

ORDER

THE EMERGENCY MOTION OF THE PETITIONER-APPELLANT, FILED
DECEMBER 12, 2017, FOR TEMPORARY STAY, HAVING BEEN PRESENTED TO
THE COURT, IT IS HEREBY **ORDERED** NO ACTION IS NECESSARY.*

*APPEAL DISMISSED THIS DATE.

BY THE COURT,

/s/
SUSAN C. REEVE
DEPUTY CHIEF CLERK

NOTICE SENT: JULY 25, 2018
COUNSEL OF RECORD
MICHAEL A. YOUNG, #232802
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT, TSR CV14 4006214 S
HON. VERNON OLIVER

173227

143

45

Received 7-30-18

APPELLATE COURT
STATE OF CONNECTICUT

AC 40801

MICHAEL A. YOUNG

v.

COMMISSIONER OF CORRECTION

JULY 25, 2018

ORDER

THE EMERGENCY MOTION OF THE PETITIONER-APPELLANT, FILED
DECEMBER 12, 2017, FOR STAY, HAVING BEEN PRESENTED TO THE COURT, IT
IS HEREBY **ORDERED** NO ACTION IS NECESSARY.*

*APPEAL DISMISSED THIS DATE.

BY THE COURT,

/S/
SUSAN C. REEVE
DEPUTY CHIEF CLERK

NOTICE SENT: JULY 25, 2018
COUNSEL OF RECORD
MICHAEL A. YOUNG, #232802
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT, TSR CV14 4006214 S
HON. VERNON OLIVER

173228

144

46

Exhibit # 10

Pg. # 1

RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE

Sec. 73-1

CHAPTER 73
RESERVATIONS

Sec.	Sec.
73-1. Procedure; Form (Applicable to appeals filed before July 1, 2013.)	73-2. Consideration of Reservation Request by Superior Court
73-1. Reservation of Questions from the Superior Court to the Supreme Court or Appellate Court; Contents of Reservation Request (Applicable to appeals filed on or after July 1, 2013.)	73-3. Procedure upon Acceptance of Reservation
	73-4. Briefs, Appendices and Argument

For previous Histories and Commentaries see the editions of the Practice Book corresponding to the years of the previous amendments.

Sec. 73-1. Procedure; Form

(Applicable to appeals filed before July 1, 2013.)

(a) Any reservation shall be taken to the supreme court or to the appellate court from those cases in which an appeal could have been taken directly to the supreme court, or to the appellate court, respectively, had judgment been rendered. Reservations in cases where the proper court for the appeal cannot be determined prior to judgment shall be taken directly to the supreme court.

(b) All questions presented for advice shall be specific and shall be phrased so as to require a Yes or No answer.

(c) Before any question shall be reserved by any court, counsel shall file in that court a stipulation which shall clearly and fully state the question or questions upon which advice is desired; that their present determination by the appellate court having jurisdiction would be in the interest of simplicity, directness and economy in judicial action, the grounds for such allegation being particularly stated; that the answers to the questions will determine, or are reasonably certain to enter into the final determination of the case; and that the parties request that the questions be reserved for the advice of the appellate court having jurisdiction. The stipulation shall also designate the specific pleadings in the trial court case file which are necessary for the presentation of the question or questions sought to be reserved and shall state the undisputed facts which are essential for determination of the question or questions sought to be reserved. With the stipulation the parties shall file a joint docketing statement in the format specified in Section 63-4 (a) (4) for regular appeals.

(d) Upon the ordering of a reservation by the superior court, the clerk of the trial court shall send notice of the reservation to the appellate clerk and to all parties of record. The date of issuance of this notice shall be deemed the filing date of the appeal for purposes of the brief filing deadlines

of Section 67-3. No entry fee shall be paid to the superior court and no costs shall be taxed in favor of any party. With the notice of reservation, the clerk of the trial court shall send to the appellate clerk two copies each of the stipulation, its accompanying joint docketing statement, the superior court's order of reservation, and the docket sheet (DS1) listing the counsel for all parties.

(e) The court will not entertain a reservation for its advice upon questions of law arising in any action unless the question or questions presented are such as are, in the opinion of the court, reasonably certain to enter into the decision of the case, and it appears that their present determination would be in the interest of simplicity, directness and economy of judicial action.

(f) The advice of the appellate court on a reservation may be reviewed by the supreme court only upon the granting of certification as provided in chapter 84.

(P.B. 1978-1997, Sec. 4147.)

Sec. 73-1. Reservation of Questions from the Superior Court to the Supreme Court or Appellate Court; Contents of Reservation Request

(Applicable to appeals filed on or after July 1, 2013.)

(Amended Sept. 16, 2015, to take effect Jan. 1, 2016.)

(a) Counsel may jointly file with the superior court a request to reserve questions of law for consideration by the supreme court or appellate court. A reservation request shall set forth: (1) a stipulation of the essential undisputed facts and a clear and full statement of the question or questions upon which advice is desired; (2) a statement of reasons why the resolution of the question by the appellate court having jurisdiction would serve the interest of simplicity, directness and judicial economy; and (3) whether the answers to the questions will determine, or are reasonably certain to enter into the final determination of the case. All questions presented for advice shall be

Pg. # 2

Sec. 73-1

RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE

specific and shall be phrased so as to require a Yes or No answer.

(b) Reservation requests may be brought only in those cases in which an appeal could have been filed directly to the supreme court, or to the appellate court, respectively, had judgment been rendered. Reservations in cases where the proper court for the appeal cannot be determined prior to judgment shall be filed directly to the supreme court.

(P.B. 1978-1997, Sec. 4147.) (Amended June 5, 2013, to take effect July 1, 2013; amended Sept. 16, 2015, to take effect Jan. 1, 2016.)

HISTORY—2016: Prior to 2016, this section was titled: "Procedure; Form."

Prior to 2016, this section read: "(a) Any reservation shall be taken to the supreme court or to the appellate court from those cases in which an appeal could have been taken directly to the supreme court, or to the appellate court, respectively, had judgment been rendered. Reservations in cases where the proper court for the appeal cannot be determined prior to judgment shall be taken directly to the supreme court.

"(b) All questions presented for advice shall be specific and shall be phrased so as to require a Yes or No answer.

"(c) Before any question shall be reserved by any court, counsel shall file in that court a stipulation which shall clearly and fully state the question or questions upon which advice is desired; that their present determination by the appellate court having jurisdiction would be in the interest of simplicity, directness and economy in judicial action, the grounds for such allegation being particularly stated; that the answers to the questions will determine, or are reasonably certain to enter into the final determination of the case; and that the parties request that the questions be reserved for the advice of the appellate court having jurisdiction. The stipulation shall state the undisputed facts which are essential for determination of the question or questions sought to be reserved. With the stipulation, the parties shall file a joint docketing statement in the format specified in Section 63-4 (a) (3) for regular appeals.

"(d) Upon the ordering of a reservation by the superior court, the clerk of the trial court shall send notice of the reservation to the appellate clerk and to all parties of record. The date of issuance of this notice shall be deemed the filing date of the appeal for purposes of the brief and appendix filing deadlines of Section 67-3. The plaintiff in the court that ordered the reservation shall be deemed the appellant, and the defendant in such court shall be deemed the appellee for purposes of these rules, unless otherwise ordered by the court.

"(e) No entry fee shall be paid to the superior court and no costs shall be taxed in favor of any party. With the notice of reservation, except in appeals in which the contents of the case file consists solely of papers filed by electronic means, the clerk of the trial court shall send to the appellate clerk one copy each of the stipulation, its accompanying joint docketing statement, the superior court's order of reservation, and the case detail page listing the counsel for all parties.

"(f) The court will not entertain a reservation for its advice upon questions of law arising in any action unless the question or questions presented are such as are, in the opinion of the court, reasonably certain to enter into the decision of the case, and it appears that their present determination would be in the interest of simplicity, directness and economy of judicial action.

"(g) The advice of the appellate court on a reservation may be reviewed by the supreme court only upon the granting of certification as provided in chapter 84."

COMMENTARY—2016: This section has been rewritten and the content of certain subsections has been transferred to new sections.

Sec. 73-2. Consideration of Reservation Request by Superior Court

If the superior court determines that a reservation would be appropriate, it shall forward the reservation request with its determination, which shall include the items specified in Section 73-1 (a), to the appellate clerk and to all parties of record. The supreme court or appellate court shall either preliminarily accept or decline the reservation request, but may later reject the reservation if it should appear to have been improvidently granted. The supreme court or appellate court will not entertain a reservation unless the question or questions presented are reasonably certain to enter into the decision of the case and it appears that their determination would be in the interest of simplicity, directness and judicial economy. The supreme court or appellate court may also request that the superior court provide additional facts required for a decision upon the questions reserved and to clarify such questions when necessary.

(Adopted Sept. 16, 2015, to take effect Jan. 1, 2016.)

Sec. 73-3. Procedure upon Acceptance of Reservation

(a) The appellate clerk shall notify the clerk of the trial court and the parties of the decision or order on the reservation request. Within twenty days of issuance of the notice of an order of preliminary acceptance, the appellant shall file the reservation in accordance with the provisions of Section 63-3, except that no entry fee shall be paid and no costs shall be taxed in favor of any party. In addition, within ten days of the filing of the appeal, the appellant shall file a docketing statement in the form specified in Section 63-4 (a) (3).

(b) The plaintiff in the court that ordered the reservation shall be deemed the appellant, and the defendant in such court shall be deemed the appellee for purposes of these rules, unless otherwise ordered by the court.

(c) The advice of the appellate court on a reservation may be reviewed by the supreme court only upon the granting of certification as provided in chapter 84.

(Adopted Sept. 16, 2015, to take effect Jan. 1, 2016.)

Sec. 73-4. Briefs, Appendices and Argument

Briefs and appendices filed by the parties shall conform to the rules set forth in Chapter 67. Oral argument shall be as provided in Chapter 70, unless otherwise ordered by the court.

(Adopted Sept. 16, 2015, to take effect Jan. 1, 2016.)

for returning the same to the court unless the person returns them in person or actually pays for their return, and then only the sum paid will be allowed, not exceeding the legal fees for returning civil process. No fee shall be paid to any police officer for serving subpoenas.

(P.B. 1978-1997, Sec. 1014.)

Sec. 44-33. —Indigent Witnesses

An officer or any other person serving a subpoena or a *capias* in criminal cases on behalf of the state on witnesses who are indigent and unable to procure the means of traveling to the court will be allowed a reasonable compensation for providing transportation of such witnesses to the court; a reasonable sum will be taxed for the support of such witnesses during their necessary attendance at court.

(P.B. 1978-1997, Sec. 1015.)

Sec. 44-34. —Fees for Witnesses

Witnesses in attendance in more cases than one at the same time will be allowed fees for travel and attendance in one case only. The travel of nonresident witnesses will be computed and taxed from the state line on the usual course of travel in all cases where witnesses' fees are not paid under General Statutes § 54-82i or § 54-152.

(P.B. 1978-1997, Sec. 1016.)

Sec. 44-35. —Officer's Fees on Extradition; Habeas Corpus

Any officer having charge of a person who is arrested upon an extradition warrant for delivery to another state and required to attend court upon a writ of habeas corpus shall be entitled to receive the same fees and expenses as such officer would receive for presenting a prisoner before the court for trial, and such fees and expenses are to be paid to such officer by the officer of such other state upon the surrender of the prisoner or, if the prisoner is released, they are to be taxed and allowed him or her at the next term of the court in the judicial district or geographical area where such prisoner was held.

(P.B. 1978-1997, Sec. 1017.)

Sec. 44-36. —Fee on Motion to Open Certain Judgments

Upon the filing of a motion to open judgment in any case in which the defendant has been charged with violation of a motor vehicle statute and has failed to appear at the time and place assigned for trial or, where applicable, has failed to plead or pay the fine and additional fee by mail, and the judicial authority has reported such failure to the commissioner of motor vehicles, the movant shall pay to the clerk the filing fee prescribed by

statute unless such fee has been waived by the judicial authority.

(P.B. 1978-1997, Sec. 1020A.)

conditions / stipulation

Sec. 44-37. Definition of Terms

Unless the context clearly requires otherwise:

(1) "Prosecuting authority" means any person appointed or otherwise designated or charged generally or specially with the duty of prosecuting persons accused of criminal offenses in any court, and includes, but is not limited to, the chief state's attorney and any deputies or assistants and each state's attorney of the superior court and any deputies or assistants.

(2) "Public defender" means any attorney appointed or otherwise designated or charged generally or specially by the court with the duty of representing persons accused of criminal offenses in any court or of representing anyone in habeas corpus proceedings or appeals, and includes, but is not limited to, the chief public defender and any deputies or assistants, and each public defender and any deputies or assistants.

(3) "Law enforcement officer" means any person vested by law with a duty to maintain public order or to make arrests for offenses, and includes, but is not limited to, a member of the state police department or an organized local police department, a detective in the division of criminal justice, a sheriff or deputy sheriff, a conservation officer or special conservation officer as defined in General Statutes § 26-5, a constable who performs criminal law enforcement duties, a special policeman appointed under General Statutes §§ 29-18, 29-18a or 29-19, or an official of the department of correction authorized by the commissioner of correction to make arrests in a correctional institution or facility. "Law enforcement officer" also includes state and judicial marshals, but only where the use of that term in these rules is consistent with the authority given to such marshals by statute.

(4) "Offense" means any crime or violation which constitutes a breach of any law of this state or any local law or ordinance of a political subdivision of this state, for which a sentence of a term of imprisonment or a fine, or both, may be imposed, including infractions.

(5) "Crime" means a felony or a misdemeanor.

(6) "Violation" means an offense for which the only sentence authorized is a fine and which is not expressly designated as an infraction.

Quasi Crime

(7) "Felony" means an offense for which a person may be sentenced to a term of imprisonment in excess of one year.