

NAOMI SHEFFIELD, OSB #170601
Deputy City Attorney
naomi.sheffield@portlandoregon.gov
DENIS M. VANNIER, OSB #044406
Senior Deputy City Attorney
denis.vannier@portlandoregon.gov
RYAN C. BAILEY, OSB #130788
Deputy City Attorney
ryan.bailey@portlandoregon.gov
YOUNGWO JOH, OSB #164105
Assistant Deputy City Attorney
youngwoo.joh@portlandoregon.gov
Portland City Attorney's Office
1221 SW 4th Ave., Rm. 430
Portland, OR 97204
Telephone: (503) 823-4047
Facsimile: (503) 823-3089
Of Attorneys for Defendant City of Portland

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON
PORTLAND DIVISION

INDEX NEWSPAPERS LLC, a Washington limited-liability company, dba **PORTLAND MERCURY**; **DOUG BROWN**; **BRIAN CONLEY**; **SAM GEHRKE**; **MATHIEU LEWIS-ROLLAND**; **KAT MAHONEY**; **SERGIO OLMOS**; **JOHN RUDOFF**; **ALEX MILAN TRACY**; **TUCK WOODSTOCK**; **JUSTIN YAU**; and those similarly situated,

3:20-cv-01035-SI
AMENDED STIPULATED
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF PORTLAND, a municipal corporation; **JOHN DOES 1-60**, officers of Portland Police Bureau and other agencies working in concert; **U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**; and **U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE**,

Defendants.

Because of the October 31, 2020 expiration for the existing amended stipulated preliminary injunction, Plaintiffs and Defendant City of Portland (“the City”) respectfully submit

this revised stipulated preliminary injunction, extending the duration until a decision is reached, for the Court's consideration. We have changed the language in paragraphs 8 and 9. The amended stipulated preliminary injunction otherwise remains unchanged.

Plaintiffs and Defendant City hereby jointly stipulate to the following preliminary injunction, replacing the preliminary injunction entered on September 4, 2020 (ECF 169):

1. The City and its agents and employees, including but not limited to the Portland Police Bureau and all persons acting under the direction of the Portland Police Bureau (collectively, "the Police"), are enjoined from arresting, threatening to arrest, or using physical force directed against any person whom they know or reasonably should know is a Journalist or Legal Observer (as explained below), unless the Police have probable cause to believe that such individual has committed a crime. For purposes of this Order, such persons shall not be required to disperse following the issuance of an order to disperse, and such persons shall not be subject to arrest for not dispersing following the issuance of an order to disperse. Such persons shall, however, remain bound by all other laws. No Journalist or Legal Observer protected under this Order may impede, block, or physically prevent the lawful activities of the Police.

2. The Police are further enjoined from seizing any photographic equipment, audio- or video-recording equipment, or press passes from any person whom they know or reasonably should know is a Journalist or Legal Observer (as explained below), or ordering such person to stop photographing, recording, or observing a protest, unless the Police are also lawfully seizing that person consistent with this Order. Except as expressly provided in Paragraph 3 below, the Police must return any seized equipment or press passes immediately upon release of a person from custody.

3. Pursuant to ORS 133.623, if Police seize property from a Journalist or Legal Observer lawfully arrested under this Order, they shall, as soon thereafter as is reasonably possible, make a written list of things seized and furnish a copy to the Journalist or Legal Observer. If equipment seized in connection with an arrest of a Journalist or Legal Observer lawfully seized under this Order is needed for evidentiary purposes, Police shall promptly seek a

search warrant, subpoena, or other court order for the same. If said search warrant, subpoena, or court order is denied, or equipment seized in connection with an arrest is not needed for evidentiary purposes, police shall immediately return it to its rightful possessor. Pursuant to ORS 133.633, Journalists or Legal Observers may seek return of property seized through a state-court motion, through which the state court will make a determination whether the property can be returned prior to the conclusion of a criminal investigation or criminal proceeding.

4. To facilitate the Police's identification of Journalists protected under this Order, the following shall be considered indicia of being a Journalist: visual identification as a member of the press, such as by carrying a professional or authorized press pass or wearing a professional or authorized press badge or distinctive clothing that identifies the wearer as a member of the press. These indicia are not exclusive, and a person need not exhibit every indicium to be considered a Journalist under this Order. The Police shall not be liable for unintentional violations of this Order in the case of an individual who does not carry a press pass or wear a press badge or distinctive clothing that identifies the wearer as a member of the press.

5. To facilitate the Police's identification of Legal Observers protected under this Order, the following shall be considered indicia of being a Legal Observer: wearing a green National Lawyers' Guild issued or authorized Legal Observer hat (typically a green NLG hat) or wearing a blue ACLU issued or authorized Legal Observer vest.

6. The Police may issue otherwise lawful crowd-dispersal orders for a variety of lawful reasons. The Police shall not be liable for violating this Order if a Journalist or Legal Observer is incidentally exposed to crowd-control devices after remaining in the area where such devices were deployed after the issuance by the Police of an otherwise lawful dispersal order.

7. Plaintiffs need not provide any security.

8. This Order shall remain in effect until this Court issues a final decision on the legal issues underlying this preliminary injunction, unless otherwise modified or rescinded as provided in Paragraph 9 below.

9. This stipulation is without prejudice to the respective legal positions of the parties and without any party waiving any argument or the right to request modifications, clarifications, or rescission of this injunction as circumstances may warrant.

So stipulated:

Dated: September 23, 2020

By: /s/ Naomi Sheffield
DENIS M. VANNIER, OSB# 044406
Senior Deputy City Attorney
NAOMI SHEFFIELD, OSB# 170601
Deputy City Attorney
RYAN C. BAILEY, OSB# 130788
Deputy City Attorney
YOUNGWO JOH, OSB# 164105
Assistant Deputy City Attorney

Attorneys for Defendant City of Portland

By: /s/ Matthew Borden
Matthew Borden, *pro hac vice*
J. Noah Hagey, *pro hac vice*
Athul K. Acharya, OSB No. 152436
Gunnar K. Martz, *pro hac vice*
BRAUNHAGEY & BORDEN LLP

Kelly K. Simon, OSB No. 154213
ACLU FOUNDATION OF OREGON

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

The Court adopts the above Amended Stipulated Preliminary Injunction in this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

Honorable Michael H. Simon