


Darryl Dunsuore
 (Name)
1173 Front St
 (Address)
San Diego Ca 92101
 (City, State, Zip)
B177041 / A06237
 (CDC Inmate No.)

2254	1913	✓
FILING FEE PAID		
Yes	No	✓
ITP MOTION FILED		
Yes	No	✓
COPIES SENT TO		
Court	ProSe	✓



United States District Court
 Southern District of California

Darryl Dunsuore
 (Enter full name of plaintiff in this action.)

Plaintiff,

v.

State of California County of
San Diego, San Diego Sheriff's
Dept Dept of Corrections
Yasser Becerra
Sheriff Sara, Doe
 (Enter full name of each defendant in this action.)

Defendant(s).

'20CV0406 AJB WVG

Civil Case No. _____
 (To be supplied by Court Clerk)

Complaint Under the
 Civil Rights Act
 42 U.S.C. § 1983

A. Jurisdiction

Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(3) and 42 U.S.C. § 1983. If you wish to assert jurisdiction under different or additional authority, list them below.

B. Parties

1. Plaintiff: This complaint alleges that the civil rights of Plaintiff, Darryl
Dunsuore, who presently resides at 1173 Front St
San Diego Ca 92101 (SDCA), were violated by the actions
 of the below named individuals. The actions were directed against Plaintiff at 1173 Front
St SD Ca 92101 (SDCA) on (dates) ongoing, and _____.
 (institution/place where violation occurred) (Count 1) (Count 2) (Count 3)

2. Defendants: (Attach same information on additional pages if you are naming more than 4 defendants.)

Defendant California resides in California,
(name) (County of residence)
 and is employed as a State. This defendant is sued in
(defendant's position/title (if any))
 his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting
 under color of law: is responsible for upholding
The Const

Defendant San Diego resides in San Diego,
(name) (County of residence)
 and is employed as a a County. This defendant is sued in
(defendant's position/title (if any))
 his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting
 under color of law: is responsible for upholding
The Const

Defendant San Diego Sheriff's resides in San Diego,
(name) (County of residence)
 and is employed as a Sheriff's Dept. This defendant is sued in
(defendant's position/title (if any))
 his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting
 under color of law: is responsible for upholding
The Const

Defendant Dept of Correction resides in San Diego,
(name) (County of residence)
 and is employed as a Dept of Correction. This defendant is sued in
(defendant's position/title (if any))
 his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting
 under color of law: is responsible for upholding
Const

Defendant Gore, Xavier Becerra, Does
 Sheriff, Attorney General
 Responsible for upholding The Const
 The law and policies of The States
 counties and Depts individual & official

Causes of Action (You may attach additional pages alleging other causes of action and the facts supporting them, if necessary.)

Count 1: The following civil right has been violated: Access to Courts
(E.g., right to medical care, access to courts,

Due Process
(due process, free speech, freedom of religion, freedom of association, freedom from cruel and unusual punishment, etc.)

Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important to Count 1. State what happened clearly and in your own words. You need not cite legal authority or argument. Be certain to describe exactly what each defendant, by name, did to violate the right alleged in Count 1.]

I am a state prisoner being housed in County Jail and being Denied meaningful access to the Court to prosecute my collateral Attack as per said action has and is causing me injury in Case 215653 See Appeal 0074656 causing me to serve a longer sentence than I would have otherwise about the Court violations plaintiff is suffering now and has complained of for years injury is also happening to other pending actions by this denial of access including the ability to prosecute this claim by refusal to provide Trust account processing for filing this Complaint

Count 2: The following civil right has been violated:

ADA Access

(E.g., right to medical care, access to courts,

Medical Care

due process, free speech, freedom of religion, freedom of association, freedom from cruel and unusual punishment, etc.)

Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important to Count 2. State what happened clearly and in your own words. You need not cite legal authority or argument. Be certain to describe exactly what each defendant, by name, did to violate the right alleged in Count 2.]

I am an ADA inmate in being Discriminated against Denied ADA Access to all programming My ADA Appliances, ADA Assistance Medical Diet, Shower, Eating writing

I am totally Disabled with Severe Advanced Myelomeningocele & Parkinson's other Disabilities causing Loss of Arms & Hard walking etc

Have been Denied Shower for 90 days, Eating for 5 days Depend on Adult assistance for 90 days causing Malnourishment Partial eating for 90 days and other Medical ADA Violations

Officials know of The Conditions and Medical Needs and Have failed to provide or repair Shower ADA Access causing Suffering under 8th Amendment Cruel & unusual punishment Severe Pain Development of Sores Skin infection, Lack of Food Hygiene further loss of mobility an Auto immune suppression an In Isolation Cell unable to use public showers for medical Reasons

D. Previous Lawsuits and Administrative Relief

1. Have you filed other lawsuits in state or federal courts dealing with the same or similar facts involved in this case? ☒ Yes ☐ No.

If your answer is "Yes", describe each suit in the space below. [If more than one, attach additional pages providing the same information as below.]

(a) Parties to the previous lawsuit:

Plaintiffs: _____

unable to provide

Defendants: _____

(b) Name of the court and docket number: _____

(c) Disposition: [For example, was the case dismissed, appealed, or still pending?] _____

Dismissed without Prejudice

(d) Issues raised: _____

Meaningful access

(e) Approximate date case was filed: _____

(f) Approximate date of disposition: _____

2. Have you previously sought and exhausted all forms of informal or formal relief from the proper administrative officials regarding the acts alleged in Part C above? [E.g., CDC Inmate/Parolee Appeal Form 602, etc.] ? ☒ Yes ☐ No.

If your answer is "Yes", briefly describe how relief was sought and the results. If your answer is "No", briefly explain why administrative relief was not sought.

Constitutional Attack at all levels including Admin Grievances

E. Request for Relief

Plaintiff requests that this Court grant the following relief:

1. An injunction preventing defendant(s): from continuing
Deile of Meaningful access of
Resources housed in County Jail and
violation of Policy ADA Access.
2. Damages in the sum of \$ 60 Million
3. Punitive damages in the sum of \$ 60 million
4. Other: Have proper filing of this
complaint Treble damages

F. Demand for Jury Trial

Plaintiff demands a trial by ☐ Jury ☐ Court. (Choose one.)

G. Consent to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction

In order to insure the just, speedy and inexpensive determination of Section 1983 Prisoner cases filed in this district, the Court has adopted a case assignment involving direct assignment of these cases to magistrate judges to conduct all proceedings including jury or bench trial and the entry of final judgment on consent of all the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), thus waiving the right to proceed before a district judge. The parties are free to withhold consent without adverse substantive consequences.

The Court encourages parties to utilize this efficient and expeditious program for case resolution due to the trial judge quality of the magistrate judges and to maximize access to the court system in a district where the criminal case loads severely limits the availability of the district judges for trial of civil cases. Consent to a magistrate judge will likely result in an earlier trial date. If you request that a district judge be designated to decide dispositive motions and try your case, a magistrate judge will nevertheless hear and decide all non-dispositive motions and will hear and issue a recommendation to the district judge as to all dispositive motions.

You may consent to have a magistrate judge conduct any and all further proceedings in this case, including trial, and the entry of final judgment by indicating your consent below. Failure to either consent to proceed before a magistrate judge or request designation of a district judge will be deemed a consent to proceed before the magistrate judge.

Choose only one of the following:

☐ Plaintiff consents to magistrate judge jurisdiction as set forth above.

OR ☒ Plaintiff requests that a district judge be designated to decide dispositive matters and trial in this case.

Date

2/27/20

Signature of Plaintiff

[Signature]

Darryl Dunsen
19777041 MOB-20
SDCL
1173 Grant St
San Diego Ca 92101

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Darryl Dunsen
Plaintiff

Case # _____

v

State of California,
County of San
Diego, San Diego
Sheriff's Dept,
Dept of Corrections,
Xavier Becerra,
Sheriff Gore, Doc
Defendants

Motion for Further
Relief Modification
of Judgments

The Defendants above have failed or

refuse to follow injunctive order of The Court in Gilmore v Lynch (NO Cal Oct 11 1972) case # 45878 (order Directing Adoption of regulations To implement previous order Granting relief)

Plaintiff Complains Defendants have and are Denying him meaningful access as a state prisoner while housed in County Jail and his ability to maintain collateral Attack Causing injury to his claims and The Serving of a longer sentence in Case 215653 See Appeal Decision D074656

Violating petitioners 14th, 6th and 1st Amendment right to Due process

Petitioner Motions for further relief so he can prosecute his claims by further order for Defendants to provide meaningful Access while housed in county Jail and The adoption of policy enforcing such state prisoner rights and immediate Access to proper status and law library

I Declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of The State of California The foregoing is True

2/27/20 D J D

2012


Proof of Service

I Daryl Dunsmore state and Declare
I am further unable to prosecute service
due to these constitutional violation and
Motion the Court to perfect service
on the Defendants

I personally served the Defendants
by Motion of the Court at 880 Front
St San Diego Ca 92101

I Declare under penalty of perjury under
the laws of the State of California
the foregoing is true

2/18/20



Darryl Dunsen

1977041 MIB 20

SDCA

1173 Front St

San Diego CA 92101

UNITED STATES SOUTHERN DISTRICT
COURT STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY
OF SAN DIEGO

The People of The
State of California
Plaintiff & respondents

Case # D074656

✓

Darryl Dunsen
Defendant & Appellant

Ex Parte Motion
for Reasonable
Accommodations
CRC 1.100

Petitioner Motions for reasonable
accommodation by This Court as an ADA mandate
under Armstrong and Deined under 51 est Civil
Code of California Cal Rules of The Court 1.100

1.13

Petitioner complains that not only is his meaningful access being obstructed but also his ADA Access To The Courts by San Diego County Jail, Office of Assigned Counsel, Appellate Defendants and The Superior Court as Supported by The Filings of writ of Habeas in Federal Court 3:20-cv-00310-MMA-MSB

① An order to The San Diego County Jail To provide meaningful access Afforded State Prisoners according to The injunctive order in *Gilmore v Lynch* (NO Cal Oct 16 1972) Case # 45878 (order Directing Adoption of Regulations to implement previous order granting relief)

② An order for ADA Access to Gpyng and or writing assistance for Appropriate Briefing in This Court and or The use of Electronic devices to accomplish such tasks and to be immediately provided his ADA hand Appliances to assist in such tasks

③ That Petitioner Be granted an extension and The filing of a late extension for Showing of good Cause appearing n 1, 2 of Both obstruction of meaningful access and Devoid of ADA access to The Courts

So petitioner can file motions and Brief Motions n This Court Associated with This Case 0074656 and 0076678

④ That The Court order Service of This Motion by Federal Marshal or The Clerk or other papers until the above remedies are met which obstruct the Ability of petitioner to perform

± declare under penalty of perjury under The laws of The State of Colorado The foregoing is true

2/27/20



DAD

3183

Dunsmuir
1977041 MOB 20
SDCA
1173 Fruit St
San Diego CA 92101

1991

Clark
Soutman Dist
Court House
880 Evans St
San Diego CA 92101



KG 0689