

36

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

*Sealed by
Judge Sicker
4/20/72*

DOROTHY GAUTREAUX, et al.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs	)	
	)	
v.	)	Nos. 66C 1459
	)	66C 1460
GEORGE W. ROMNEY and CHICAGO	)	(Consolidated)
HOUSING AUTHORITY, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants	)	

MOTION OF CHICAGO HOUSING AUTHORITY TO STAY
ORDER OF APRIL 10, 1972

Now comes Chicago Housing Authority ("CHA"), one of the defendants herein, and moves this court for an order staying until further order of this court the execution of all requirements set forth in this court's order of April 10, 1972. In support of said motion, CHA states as follows:

1. On April 10, 1972 this court ordered certain specific acts to be done by CHA and the City of Chicago within certain specific time periods.

2. In order for CHA to carry out the duties imposed upon it by the April 10, 1972 order, CHA will be obliged to expend hundreds of man hours and to do numerous other acts, all of

which will be extremely expensive, burdensome and disruptive to the orderly conduct of CHA's affairs.

3. The April 10, 1972 order does not require CHA to do anything until certain specified acts have been done by the City of Chicago.

4. CHA has determined to appeal the April 10, 1972 order, on all available grounds, to the United States Court of Appeals for the 7th Circuit; on information and belief, the City of Chicago has likewise determined to file such an appeal and is on this date filing a motion to stay this court's order of April 10, 1972.


5. If this court grants the motion to stay the order of April 10, 1972 as to the City of Chicago, it should likewise grant the instant motion because any acts by CHA are, under the terms of said order dependent upon prior acts by the City of Chicago.


6. Moreover, in view of the controversial nature of the April 10, 1972 order, CHA believes that there is a substantial possibility that the order of April 10, 1972 will be reversed. If that occurs, it will have been unduly burdensome for CHA to have expended the manpower, time

and money required by this court's order of April 10,
1972.

Respectfully submitted,

CHICAGO HOUSING AUTHORITY


Patrick W. O'Brien


Watson B. Tucker

231 South LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604
ST 2-0600


Its Attorneys

Of Counsel:

Kathryn M. Kula
Mayer, Brown & Platt

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on April 19, 1972, he personally delivered copies of the foregoing Notice of Motion and Motion of Chicago Housing Authority to Stay Order of April 10, 1972 to counsel for the City of Chicago, the United States, and the plaintiffs, and mailed copies of same, with postage fully prepaid, to each of the other persons to whom said notice of motion is directed.


Watson B. Tucker

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

Name of Presiding Judge, Honorable

RICHARD B. AUSTIN

Cause No.

66C1459+66C1460

Date

APRIL 20, 1972

Title of Cause

OPUTREUX, et al. v. CHICAGO HOUSING
AUTHORITY, et al.

Brief Statement
of Motion

MOTION TO STAY EXECUTION OF APRIL 10,
1972 ORDER, FILED BY DEFENDANT
CHA.

The rules of this court require counsel to furnish the names of all parties entitled to notice of the entry of an order and the names and addresses of their attorneys. Please do this immediately below (separate lists may be appended).

Names and
Addresses of
moving counsel

Representing

Names and
Addresses of
other counsel
entitled to
notice and names
of parties they
represent.

Motion by C. A. A. and
City of Chicago to stay
order of April 10, 1972
are hereby denied.

Reserve space below for notations by minute clerk

Decker, J.