

Alex
Larson
manissa
for
litigation
book

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

DOROTHY GAUTREAUX, et al.
Plaintiffs,

vs.

No: 66 C 1459

CHICAGO HOUSING AUTHORITY, et al.

Judge Marvin E. Aspen

Defendants.

RECEIVED

NOTICE OF MOTION

FEB 14 2002


To: Thomas E. Johnson
Johnson, Jones, Snelling
Gilbert & Davis
36 South Wabash Ave., #1310
Chicago, IL 60603

Edward Feldman
Miller, Shalman & Hamilton
208 S. LaSalle St. #1100
Chicago, IL 60602

Robert Jones
Business and Professional People
For Public Interest
25 E. Washington, #1515
Chicago, IL 60602

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on Thursday, March 7, 2002 at 10:30 a.m., or as soon as counsel may be heard, I shall appear before the Honorable Judge Marvin E. Aspen in the courtroom usually occupied by him, in the U.S. District Court, 219 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois and then and there present **LCO's Motion For Leave To Withdraw Its Motion To Intervene in Place of Its Reply to CHA/Receiver's and Plaintiff's Response to LCO's Motion to Intervene.**


By:


R. E. Joshua
Counsel for LCO

77 West Washington St., Ste. 1314
Chicago, IL 60602
312 263 0238

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

R. E. Joshua, attorney, certify that I caused a copy of the Notice and Motion to be mailed to the above parties on 14 February 2002 on or before 5:00 p.m.


Rose E. Joshua

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

DOROTHY GAUTREAUX, *et al.*
Plaintiffs,

vs.

No: 66 C 1459

Judge Marvin E. Aspen

CHICAGO HOUSING AUTHORITY, *et al.*

LCO'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO WITHDRAW ITS
MOTION TO INTERVENE IN PLACE OF ITS REPLY
TO CHA/RECEIVER'S AND PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE
TO LCO'S MOTION TO INTERVENE

Lakefront Community Organization, by counsel, Rose E. Joshua moves the court for leave to withdraw its Motion to Intervene and Complaint at Law. In support thereof, LCO states the following:

1. LCO does not wish to pursue its Motion to intervene and accompanying Complaint.
2. Due to CHA's unilateral actions, (which has been discussed in the Motion to Intervene and Complaint) and the most recent one, (discontinuation of LCO's budget), LCO's will not seek to intervene in the pending proceeding.
3. However, LCO will pursue any and all other remedies available pursuant to the Revised Agreement.

WHEREFORE, LCO pray as follows:

1. LCO is granted leave to withdraw its Motion For Intervention in place of its Reply to CHA/Receiver's and Plaintiff's Response to LCO's Motion to Intervene and Complaint at Law without prejudice.
2. LCO is granted such further relief that the court deems fit and proper.

Respectfully submitted,


Rose E. Joshua
Counsel for LCO

Rose E. Joshua
Attorney at Law
77 West Washington, Ste. 1314