

**1. EEOC Case ID#:** EE-TX-0391

**2. Docket number/Court of each of the related or consolidated cases:** United States District Court for the District of Texas; CV-03-00077 and CV-03-00081.

**3. Related or consolidated?** Consolidated

**4. Docket entry # (or other location) where consolidation or relation appears:**  
Docket entry # in case CV-03-00077 and docket entry #6 in CV-03-00081.

**5. Date of consolidation/relation?** 08/18/2003.

**6. Terms of the consolidation (e.g. “consolidated for purposes of discovery only, trial to be in front of original judge” or “consolidated for purposes of discovery; decision on trial consolidation to be made later”):**

Lead case CV-03-00077

**7. For each case, who are the parties (include charging parties if EEOC is plaintiff) and what is the basic theory of the case? (e.g. sexual harassment, age discrimination)**

CV-03-00077: plaintiffs are Elva Griffin, Anita Herriges, Anuyerita Velazquez, Lynn Stoebner and EEOC (Consol Plaintiff); defendants are Formosa Plastics Corporation – Texas, Formosa Plastics Corporation – USA, Glenn Dobbs, Mitch McBride, Severo Lopez, Joe Frank Rodriguez, U.S. Contractors, Inc., U.S. Contractors, LTD; movant is Ronald J. Hare.

Theory: sex discrimination in violation of Title VII and of TX state law.

CV-03-00081: plaintiff is EEOC; defendant is U.S. Contractors, Inc.

Theory: sex discrimination and retaliation for complaining

**8. Briefly describe the procedural history of each case prior to their being related or consolidated.**

CV-03-00077: original complaint not on the docket but the first docket entry is a notice of removal, so maybe originally filed in state court (dated 07/18/2003)? Little activity prior to consolidation.

CV-03-00081 filed on 07/25/2003. Little activity prior to consolidation.

**9. After the cases were related/consolidated, what happened? was one case designated the lead case and all subsequent activity appears on that case docket? do both dockets contain lots of subsequent entries and if so, are they mostly or entirely duplicative, or do they indicate different types of activities in the two cases?**

All subsequent litigation activity appears on CV-03-00077 docket until case settled by consent decree on 12/22/2004.