

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 CLARK, et al.,

No. C 96-1486 CRB

12 Plaintiffs,

ORDER

13 v.

14 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

15 Defendant.
16 _____/

17 Filed herewith is the Court's proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law. If
18 they desire, the parties may file objections no later than September 7. Objections shall be no
19 longer than ten pages. The parties are further advised that this Court has considered the
20 arguments made in the papers, and if those arguments are not reflected in the proposed order,
21 they have been rejected. Unless it is apparent to the parties that this Court has overlooked
22 something, it would likely be unwise for a party to devote a portion of its page-limited brief
23 to simply reiterating an argument that has been explored and rejected. Given this stage of the
24 proceeding, failure to make an argument anew will not constitute waiver for appeal purposes.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**
2627 

28 Dated: August 26, 2010

CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE