Mar 1,

DEPT. 20

1, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
A. TERRY Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON , Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al
Plaintiff
Ptr.

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles
Defendant
Rpt.

Counsel for Ptr.
Fred Okrand
Edward M. Medvene
Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Rpt.
Joseph Duff
Harry M. Reynolds
Nathaniel R. Jones

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

William Shea
Winchester Cooley
Robert G. Damus
Jerry F. Halverson

Harvey I. Saferstein
for Intervenor,
Better Education for Students Today

Jack Tenner
for Intervenor,
Diane E. Watson

Thomas E. Garcin
for Intervenors,
Clark, et al

Lee G. Paul
for Intervenor,
Bus Stop

Motions to Intervene (AO)

Motions are argued.

Counsel for Intervenors, BEST and Bus Stop, to file written responses and serve same by noon on March 4, 1977.

Matters are CONTINUED to March 7, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

Notice Waived.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Mar. 1, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. [illegible] 20

Date March 7, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
AL TERRY Deputy Sheriff | H. CARNON , Reporter
PAT COOK (Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al — Counsel for Plaintiff Ptr. — Fred Okrand, Edward M. Medvene, Lynn Pineda

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles — Counsel for Defendant Rpt. — Joseph Duff, Harry M. Reynolds, Nathaniel R. Jones

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

William Shea
Winchester Cooley
Robert G. Damus
Jerry F. Halverson

Jack D. Fine
Harvey I. Saferstein
for Intervenor, BEST
(Better Education for Students Today)

Jack Tenner
for Intervenor,
Diane E. Watson

Thomas E. Garcia
for Intervenor,
Clark, et al

Lee G. Paul
for Intervenor,
Bus Stop

Arthur Goldberg,
for Intervenor,
Dreebin, et al

Motions to Intervene (AO)

Motions discussed by Court and counsel and CONTINUED to March 11, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Mar. 7, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M413D2 - 3/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 20

Date Mar. 11, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON, Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al
Ptr.

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Ptr.: Fred Okrand, Edward M. Medvene, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Harry M. Reynolds, Nathaniel Jones, William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Dumas, Jerry F. Halverson, Jack D. Fine, Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST (Better Education for Students Today), Jack Tenner for Intervenor, Diana E. Watson, Thomas E. Garcia for Intervenor, Clark, et al, Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, Bus Stop, Arthur Goldberg for Intervenor, Dreebin, et al

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Motions to Intervene (AO)

Motions are argued.

Court takes matters under SUBMISSION.

Further proceedings set for March 23, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

MINUTES ENTERED
Mar. 11, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT.

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 20

Date Mar. 14, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for William Shea
Winchester Cooley
Rpt. Robert G. Damus
Jerry F. Hal[illegible]

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

(cont.)

the political process used in formulating a plan either as presented or to be presented.

THEREFORE, and without prejudice to renew the motions if at a later stage the function of the Court should be radically changed, all motions for leave to intervene are denied.

Because of the value to the court of the varied points of view of each movant, the Court treats each of these motions as having been presented in the alternative as motions to appear as amicus curiae. Leave is granted each movant to appear as amicus curiae and to file such briefs and to make such oral arguments as each may feel necessary to properly inform the Court of his point of view. This Court will also, upon proper showing, call as its own witness any witness suggested by amicus for additional factual testimony relative to the issues now before this Court.

Copy c

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 20

Date Mar. 14, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | JONE , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for
Ptrs. Fred Okrand, Edward M. Medvene, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff
Harry M. Reynolds
Counsel for Respondent and Intervenors listed on Page 2

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Order on 3-11-77 Submission Motions to Intervene (AO)

The Supreme Court of California has determined in this matter that the Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles has a constitutional duty to proceed with plans and steps to desegregate the schools within its district. This case is now on remand to this Court with the specific direction that it first look to the plans that were to be formulated by the Board to insure that the plans presented and filed with this Court by the respondent have met the constitutional standards in response to the mandate.

Therefore, within that frame or reference, the stated function of this Court in this portion of the proceedings is limited. There is not, at the present time, the necessity or requirement that the broad equity powers inherent in this Court for the enforcement of injunction or mandate decrees should be called into play. Consequently, until and unless such need arises, the present duty of this Court is to review the plans as presented, to approve in whole or in part, or to disapprove in whole or in part, those plans as measured by the rule of law of this case.

Section 387 of the Code of Civil Procedure allows a person to bring a motion for intervention when ". . . any person, . . . has an interest in the matter of litigation or the success of either of the parties, or an interest against both. . . ." It cannot be denied that where the constitutional duty of a public body is in issue, all persons subject to the jurisdiction of that public body have an interest in the performance, non-performance, and manner of performance of that body, both in the legal sense that such persons' right are affected and in the ordinary English language meaning of that word. But in this proceeding, which tests whether or not the political body acted, or if it has, whether the manner of its performance meets the constitutional mandate, the democratic processes of the formulation of that plan should, within constitutional limits, be left to that body.

Each of the proposed intervenors in this case has expressed his desire to see that the manner of the Board's exercise of its duty take into account the white students, i.e., B.E.S.T., Clark, et al., Dreebin, et al.,; or that the Board not use the technique of mandatory assignment and busing, i.e., BUSTOP; or an alternate overall plan or technique in the exercise of its function, i.e., Diane Watson.

It is the Court's opinion that all of these proposed intervenors have an interest which at this point is not a constitutional interest but a function of how to perform a political duty in a constitutional manner. At this point of the proceedings, this is better left to

(cont. page 2)

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Mar. 14, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 20

Date Mar. 23, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON, Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Edward M. Medvene, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry M. Reynolds, Harold C. Hart-Nibbrig, William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Motion of Ruth A. Spencer to Intervene (AO)
Trial

Motion of Ruth A. Spencer to Intervene is argued and DENIED, but she is allowed to appear in Amici Curiae.

Cause is called for trial.

Respondent waives opening statements. Petitioners make their opening statements.

60

Respondent's exhibit A (Volumes 1 through 3 of Plan for Integration of Pupils and CACSI Report) is admitted into evidence by reference only.

William J. Johnston is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent.

Respondent's exhibits B (Organization Chart) and C (Standing Committtees of the Board of Education - 1976-77, Card) are marked for identification only.

Harry B. Saunders is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent.

Respondent's exhibits D and E (Maps) are admitted into evidence.

Petitioner's motion for the Court to order respondent to pay for a daily transcript is DENIED at this time.

Marvin H. Borden is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent.

Trial is recessed to March 24, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Mar. 23, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Mar. 24, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
P. COOK Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 654
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Edward M. Medvene, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry M. Reynolds, Harold C. Hart-Nibbrig, William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert C. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Trial (AC)

Trial resumes from March 23, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Harry D. Saunders is recalled by the respondent, resumes the stand and continues testifying.

Respondent's exhibits F, G, H, I, J, K and L (Maps) are admitted into evidence.

Harry Handler is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent.

Harry D. Saunders is called out of order by the respondent, resumes the stand and continues testifying.

Respondent's exhibit M (Map) is admitted into evidence.

Harry Handler resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Trial is recessed to March 25, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Mar. 24, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Date Mar. 25, 1977

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | C. COBBS, Deputy Clerk
P. COOK Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand ✓, Edward M. Medvene ✓, Lynn Pineda ✓

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry K. Reynolds ✓, Harold C. Hart-Hibbrig ✓, William Shea ✓, Winchester Cooley, Robert C. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson ✓

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Trial (AO)

Matter, continued from March 24, 1977, is resumed with all parties present as heretofore.

Harry Handler resumes the stand and continues testifying on behalf of respondent.

Counsel are to submit briefs on the question of executive privilege.

Reporter is to give question last asked to counsel.

Matter is continued to March 28, 1977 at 10 AM

MINUTES ENTERED
3-25-77
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

76M314D2 - 3/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Mar. 28, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON and H. HARRIS, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Edward M. Medvene, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry M. Raynolds, Harold C. Hart-Nibbrig, William Shoa, Winchester Cooley, Robert C. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from March 25, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Harry Handler resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Petitioner's motion to take the depositions of the members of the Board of Education is argued. Court reserves ruling on said motion pending receipt of respondent's Request for Protective Order. Matter is CONTINUED to March 29, 1977 at 1:30 PM.

At this time, Harry Handler continues testifying as to the Determination of the Existence of an Attorney/Client Relationship. Matter is CONTINUED to March 30, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Mar. 28, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Mar. 29, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
H. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | XXXXXXXXX N. HARRIS , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Edward M. Medvene, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Harold C. Hart-Nibbrig, William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert C. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Petitioner's Motion to take the Depositions of the Members of the Board of Education (AO)

Respondent's Request for a Protective Order

Hearing resumes from March 28, 1977 with argument on the above motions.

Petitioner's Motion to Take the Depositions of the Members of the Board of Education is GRANTED.

Respondent's Request for a Protective Order is DENIED.

Depositions of the Board of Education Members not running for election are ordered for April 7, 1977 and April 8, 1977, time and place to be arrived at by counsel.

Counsel for respondent moves that the proceedings be limited to depositions of the members of the Board be taken solely in their official capacity and not as individuals. Court GRANTS said motion.

Court further orders that the depositions be taken solely by counsel of record for the parties. That if personal counsel of the deponents are present, said counsel shall not participate in the depositions save for the purpose of protecting the deponent's constitutional rights.

Notice Waived.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Mar. 29, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Mar. 30, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | M. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Edward M. Medvene, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Harold C. Hart-Nibbrig, William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert C. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from March 28, 1977 with all present as heretofore. Harry Handler resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

John William Wright is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent. Respondent's exhibits N (Elementary School Program Survey), O (Secondary School Program Survey), P (Racial and Ethnic Survey - Fall - 1976), Q (Black Folder - Preliminary Ethnic Analysis by School), R (Large Chart) and S (Small Chart) are admitted into evidence.

Trial is RECESSED to March 31, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Mar. 30, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Mar. 31, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANTON & N. HARRIS, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Edward M. Medvene, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Harold C. Hart-Nibbrig, William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert C. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from March 30, 1977 with all present as heretofore. Robert E. Searle and Robert DeVries are sworn and testify in behalf of the respondent. Respondent's exhibit T (Training Package) is admitted into evidence. Keith A. Wilson is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondenr. Harry Saunders is recalled by the respondent, resumes the stand and continues testifying. Respondent's exhibits U (Integrated Schools and Enrollment-1976 Chart) and V (Segregated Schools and Enrollment-1976 Chart) are admitted into evidence.

Trial is RECESSED to April 1, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Mar. 31, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Apr. 1, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE RAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | M. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Edward M. Medvene, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Harold C. Hart-Nibbrig, William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert C. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher by Anlana L. Peters for Amicus Curiae Robert Farrell and Educational Finance Reform Project

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Motion to File Brief as Amicus Curiae (AO)
Trial

Motion of Robert Farrell and Educational Finance Reform Project to file briefs as Amicus Curiae is ARGUED and GRANTED.

Respondent's Motion that Interrogatories propounded to petitioners, their attorneys, representative and investigators, be answered by April 6, 1977 is argued and GRANTED.

Notice Waived

Cause is called for trial.

Harry Saunders resumes the stand and catinues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Respondent's exhibits W (Educational Planning Unit #1, etc.), X (Map with overlay), Y (Map-Educational Planning Unit - Elementary Schools), Z (Map-Educational Planning Units - Junior High Schools), AA (Map with three overlays), BB (Two map overlays used over map, exhibit D), CC (Proposed Assignment of Schools-Junior High-35 minutes travel time), DD (Proposed Assignment of Schools-30 minute travel time), EE (Proposed Assignment of Schools-30 to 40 minutes travel time) are admitted into evidence. Pauline Silver Hopper is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent. Respondent's exhibit FF (Early Childhood Education Folder)is marked for identification.

Trial is RECESSED to April 11, 1977 at 10:00 AM. DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Minute entered
April 1, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Apr. 11, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL HGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk

M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS, Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854

Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al — Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand; Edward M. Medvene; Lynn Pineda

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles — Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff; Harry Reynolds; Harold C. Hart-Hibbrig; William Shea; Winchester Cooley; Robert C. Damus; Jerry F. Halverson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Trial (AO)

Court states he wishes briefs on certain cases regarding the proposed exhibit A, and pursuant thereto trial is CONTINUED to April 14, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Apr. 11, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Apr. 14, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | R. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Edward M. Medvene, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Harold C. Hart-Nibbrig, William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

Ray Fisher for Amicus Curiae, Diane Watson

James Adler for Amicus Curiae, BEST (Better Education for Students Today), and Professor Mark Yudof

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Trial (AO)

Court's Inquiry as to the Applicability of the 14th. Amendment to the Present Case is ARGUED and Trial is RECESSED to April 15, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

Argument as to the Petitions of the Proposed Intervenors is set for April 18, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Apr. 14, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M514D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Apr. 15, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Edward M. Medvene, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Harold C. Hart-Nibbrig, William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from April 14, 1977 with all present as heretofore. Pauline Silver Hopper resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent. Respondent's exhibit GG (1976-77 Early Childhood Education Program School Budget Form) is admitted into evidence. On respondent's motion, Court orders exhibit FF admitted into evidence. Shizuko Akasaki is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent. Respondent's Exhibits HH (ESEA Title One School Ranking for 1976-77), II (Compensatory Education School Ranking for 1977-78) and JJ (SD - 90 (EDY) & Title One Participants 1976-1977) are marked for identification.

Trial is recessed to April 18, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Apr. 15, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Apr. 18, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | L. CANNON & N. HARRIS, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Intervenor, BUSTOP, Lee Paul and Peter Collisson

Counsel for Defendant: Amicus Curiae, BEST (Better Education for Students Today), Harvey I. Saferstein

Diane Watson, Ray Fisher

Dreebin, et al, Arthur L. Goldberg

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1

petitioner and respondent, is GRANTED, on condition BUSTOP submit the names of their witnesses, lay and expert, to counsel for the petitioner and respondent by Thursday, April 21, 1977.

Trial is RECESSED to April 25, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

Argument by counsel for Amicus Curiae, BEST and Dreebin, et al, to Intervene is set for April 19, 1977 at 10:00 AM. Counsel for BEST and Dreebin, et al notified by the clerk by phone this date.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Apr. 18, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Apr. 18, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
H. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Edward M. Medvene, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig, William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Argument re Petitions of Intervention
Trial (AO)

Petitioner, Diane Watson WITHDRAWS her Petition of Intervention. The Minute Order of March 14, 1977 to continue as to Diane Watson remaining as an Amicus Curiae.

Motion of PEST (Better Education for Students Today) to Intervene is taken under SUBMISSION.

BUSTOP renews its motion to delay the trial. Motion is DENIED at this time pending the outcome in the Court of Appeal.

Shizuko Akasaki resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent. Respondent's exhibit KK (Copy of Letter) is marked for identification. Bob Rangel is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent. Respondent's exhibits LL (AB 2284) and MM (AB 1329) are admitted into evidence.

At 1:30 PM, Motion of Dreebin, et al, to Intervene is taken under SUBMISSION.

Bob Rangel resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent. On respondent's motion, Court orders exhibits HH, II and JJ admitted into evidence. Respondent's exhibit NN (School Program Language Survey) is marked for identification. Jeanne Yamamoto and Theresa Harris are sworn and testify in behalf of the respondent.

Peremptory Writ of Mandate of the Court of Appeal, State of California, Second Appellate District, Division Two, is filed with this court this date. Pursuant thereto, Court orders the Petition of Intervention of BUSTOP, GRANTED. The Alternative Writ is DISCHARGED.

Motion of Intervenor, BUSTOP, for names of witnesses, lay and expert, to be deposed and of witnesses already deposed, from counsel for the

CONTINUED ON PAGE 2

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Apr. 18, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Apr. 19, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
N. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | J. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Edward H. Medvene, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold C. Hart~~ Hibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

Harvey I. Saferstein For BEST (Better Education for Studonts Today)

Arthur L. Goldberg for Integration Project (Dreebin, et al)

William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

Lee Paul For Intervenor, BUSTOP

Hearing re Amicus Curiae, BEST and Integration Project, to Intervene (AO)

Motions are argued and GRANTED as of this date.

Complaints of Intervention of BEST and Integration Project, are deemed filed as of this date. Opposing counsel have 11 days to answer or otherwise plead.

Counsel for petitioner and respondent ordered to cooperate with counsel for BEST and Integration Project, and furnish them the names of their witnesses.

Counsel for intervenors tosubmit Trial Briefs to the Court by 10:00 AM, April 25, 1977.

The Amended Complaint of BUSTOP, received March 10, 1977 is ordered Filed as of April 18, 1977.

Joseph Weisman, appearing for Attorney Thomas Garcin who represents Amicus Curiae, Clark, et al, states Mr. Garcin is out of town and cannot be here today. Argument of Clark, et al, is set for Wednesday, April 20, 1977 at 11:00 AM.

On respondent's motion, Court orders exhibit NN admitted into evidence.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Apr. 19, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Apr. 20, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE P. EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
S. BRANCHE Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter
2 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al — Counsel for Plaintiff

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles — Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Nunc Pro Tunc Minute Order (AO)

It appearing to the Court that through inadvertance and clerical error the minute ordersof March 23, 1977, re exhibit A, March 31, 1977, re exhibit T and April 1, 1977, re exhibits W, X, Y, Z, AA, BB, CC, DD and EE, stating said exhibits are "Admitted Into Evidence", are Amended Nunc Pro Tunc as of the above dates, to state that the above exhibits are received and markes for "Identification, Only."

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
April 20, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date April 20, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk

~~J. HIRSCHFELD~~ XXXXXXXXXX Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter

1 S. BRANCHE (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C. 822 854

Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al — Plaintiff — Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Edward M. Medvene, Lynn Pineda

vs

Board of Education of the City Of Los Angeles — Defendant — Counsel for Defendant: Harold C. Hart-Nibbrig, William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

Lee Paul for Intervenor, EUSTOP

Ray Fisher for Amicus Curiae, Diane Watson

Thomas Garcin, for Clark, et al

Ruth A. Spencer (Pro-Per) for United Republicans of Calif.

Hearing re Amicus Curiae, Clark, et al, to Intervene (AO)

Amicus Curiae, Clark, et al, WITHDRAWS their Petition to Intervene.

Amicus Curiae, United Republicans of Califorma, Petition to Intervene is DENIED.

Amicus Curiae's, Diane Watson, motion to renew her Petition to Intervene. Court takes matter under SUBMISSION.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Apr. 20, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Apr. 25, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Edward M. Medvene, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold C. Hart-Nibbrig, William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Peter Collisson for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Leo Paul Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

David Gross Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Trial (AO)

Motion of Diane Watson to Intervene, taken under submission on April 20, 1977, is GRANTED, as an individual and not as a member of the Board of Education.

64 Trial resumes from April 18, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

On respondent's motion, Court orders exhibits W, X, Y, Z, AA, BB, CC, DD and EE admitted into evidence.

Robert Barnes is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent.

Respondent's exhibit OO (Estimated Transportation Costs, 1977-78) is Marked for identification, only.

Trial is recessed to April 26, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Apr. 25, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Apr. 26, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | M. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Edward M. Medvene, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold C. Hart-Hibbrig~~, William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Peter Collisson and Lee Paul for Intervenor BUSTOP

Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

David Gross and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Trial (AC)

6 of

Trial resumes from April 25, 1977 with all present as heretofore. Robert Barnes resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent. Intervenor #1, ~~Integration Projects~~, exhibit A (Transit Line Bus Service) is marked for Identification. On respondent's motion, exhibit 00 is admitted into evidence. Trial is recessed to April 27, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Apr. 26, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Apr. 27, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | M. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Gross and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, WEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson and Lee Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from April 26, 1977 with all present as heretofore. Robert Barnes resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent. Petitioner's exhibits #1 (April 27, 1977 Memorandum re Bus Mileage), #2 (PWT Statistical Information) and #3 (Recap of Eligible Riders on Special Education Buses) are admitted into evidence. Respondent's exhibits PP (Specialized Learning Centers-Cost Estimate of Each Round Trip, 1977-78) and QQ (Midday Trips, 1977-78) are admitted into evidence. Charles Richard Caldwell is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent. Respondent's exhibits RR (Budget Calendar, 1977-78), SS (Revenue Limit and General Purpose Taxes), TT (1977-78 Preliminary Budget-Booklet) and UU (1977-78 Preliminary Budget-Chart) are marked for Identification.

Trial is recessed to April 28, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

Briefs respecting an EIR, Environmental Impact Report, to be filed by the close of the business day of Friday, April 29, 1977. Argument to be heard at 1:30 PM, Monday, May 2, 1977.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Apr. 27, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Apr. 28, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
V. BOYLES Deputy Sheriff | A. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig~~, William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Gross and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Peter Collisson and Lee Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from April 27, 1977 with all present as heretofore. Respondent's exhibit VV (1977-78 Preliminary Budget-Part I Summary and Summary of Tentative Position Reductions) is marked for identification. Charles Richard Caldwell resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Trial is recessed to April 29, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Apr. 28, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Apr. 29, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Gross and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watsa

William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Peter Collisson and Lee Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Trial (AC)

Trial resumes from April 28, 1977 with all present as heretofore. Charles Richard Caldwell resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent. Respondent's exhibit WW (Elements of General Fund Beginning Balance-1975-76 Through 1977-78) is admitted into evidence. Intervenor #2, Diane Watson, exhibit A (Exhibit 'B') is marked for identification.

Trial is recessed to May 2, ~~XX~~ 1977 at 10:00 A.M.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Apr. 29, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date May 2, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
H. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig~~

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Gross and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Betty-Jane Kirwan

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein, for Intervenor, BEST

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Loe Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

10:00 AM Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from April 29, 1977 with all present as heretofore. John Wright is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent. Respondent's exhibit XX (Chart of Racial and Ethnic Enrollments) is admitted into evidence. Trial is recessed to May 3, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

Demurrer to the Complaint in Intervention of BUSTOP, is set for hearing on May 9, 1977 at 1:30 PM.

1:30 PM Hearing re Environmental Impact Report

Matter is argued and GRANTED. Counsel for the respondent to prepare or have prepared report and submit same to the Court.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 2, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date May 3, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | I. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart, Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Gross and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein, for Intervenor, BEST

Peter, Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 2, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Norman Miller is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent.

Respondent's exhibits YY (Resume-VITA) and ZZ (Book-School Desegregation) are marked for identification.

Trial is recessed to May 4, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 3, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date May 4, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Wibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Gross and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saforstein for Intervenor, WEST

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 3, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Norman Miller resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Trial is recessed to May 5, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 4, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M314D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date May 5, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig~~

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Cof

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 4, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Norman Miller resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Trial is recessed to May 6, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 5, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M413D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date May 6, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
N. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold C. Hart-Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes frm May 5, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Norman Miller resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Petitioner's exhibits #4 (A Longitudinal Study of School Desegregation) and #5 (Overall Evaluation of th Riverside Study Papers for JSI Publication) are marked for identification.

Trial is recessed to May 9, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 6, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M4134D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date May 9, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | E. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Barbara Mishael, David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Peter Collissa, Michael Lindsay and Lee Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 6, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Norman Miller resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent. Harold Gerard is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent. Respondent's exhibit AAA (Curriculum - VITA) is admitted into evidence.

At 1:30 PM Demurrers and Motion to Strike are heard.

Petitioner's Demurrer to the Complaint in Intervention of BUSTOP is argued and taken under SUBMISSION.

Respondent's Demurrers as to the Complaints in Intervention of BUSTOP, BEST and Integration Project are argued and taken under SUBMISSION.

Respondent's Demurrer and Motion to Strike the Complaint in Intervention of Diane Watson are argued and taken under SUBMISSION.

Harold Gerard resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Trial is recessed to May 10, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 9, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date May 10, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, TEST

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 9, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Kathleen Wulf is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent.

Respondent's exhibit BBB (Professional Data Sheet) is marked for identification.

Trial is recessed to May 11, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 10, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M314D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date May 11, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Modvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman.

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 10, 1977 with all present as heretofore. Harold Gerard resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent. Intervenor #1, Integration Project, exhibit B (Social Contact of Minority Parents and Their Children's Acceptance by Classmates) is marked for identification. Motion of BEST that the trial be adjourned to allow the respondent to reassess its position and submit a progress report to the Court is DENIED. Marvin H. Borden is recalled by the respondent, resumes the stand and is cross-examined by counsel for the Intervenors, BEST and BUSTOP.

Trial is recessed to May 12, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 11, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Date May 12, 1977

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff

HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart~~ Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee Paul for Intervenor, Bustop

William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jane Kirwan

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 11, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Tomas A. Arciniega is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent.

Respondent's exhibit CCC (Curriculum - VITA) is admitted into evidence.

Franklin G. Fisher, Jr. is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent.

Trial is recessed to May 13, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 12, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date May 13, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | GWEN HEALY , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Dime Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jane Kirwan

Trial (AO)

The trial resumes from May 12, 1977 with all parties represented by counsel present as before.

Robert De Vries and Harry B Saunders previously sworn, are recalled by the respondent and examined by counsel for the petitioner and by counsel for the Intervenors Integration Project, BUSTOP and BEST.

The trial is recessed to May 16, 1977 at 10 a.m.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 13, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date MAY 16 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter
2 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene

Counsel for Defendant: G. William Shea
Int. Lee Paul
Arthur L. Goldberg
Jack D. Fine
Ray Fisher

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Order on May 9, 1977 Submission (AO)

Petitioner's and Respondent's Demurrer to Intervenor's, BUSTOP, Complaint in Intervention are SUSTAINED for failure to state a cause of action in that both in Intervenors Complaint and Prayer, Relief is sought which is contrary to existing case law and seeks to prohibit, as a matter of law, without regard to conditions or existing facts, a tool authorized to be utilized if necessary for the integration of school districts in California.
Intervenor has 14 days leave toamend and demurring party has 10 days to respond.
Demurring party to give notice.

Respondent's Demurrer to Intervenor's, BEST, Complaint in Intervention is SUSTAINED for failure to state a cause of action in that it has failed to designate properly or clearly the class which said organization seeks to represent: and how and in what manner such class or group is not now being represented.
Intervenor has 14 days leave to amend and demurring party has 10 days to respond.
Demurring party to give notice.

Respondent's Demurrer to Intervenor's, Droebin, et al (Integration Project) Complaint in Intervention is SUSTAINED for failure to state a cause of action in that said Intervenors have not alleged sufficient facts to indicate that said individuals represent a class not otherwise represented or a view point held by a sufficient numbers to constitute a class to have standing.
Intervenor has 14 days leave to amend and demurring party has 10 days to respond.
Demurring party to give notice.

Respondent's Demurrer to Intervenor's, Diane Watson, Complaint in Intervention is SUSTAINED for failure to state a cause of action in that her complaint does not indicate standing and as such, she has not stated a cause of action.
Respondent's motion to strike Intervenor's, Diane Watson, reference to her capacity as a member of the defendant board is GRANTED.
Intervenor has 14 days leave toamend and demurring party has 10 days to respond.
Demurring party togive notice.

Copies of this minute order mailed to counsel this date.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 16, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date May 16, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H COX , Deputy Clerk
M MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H CANNON & N HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al., Plaintiff

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G Hart-Hibbrig~~

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L Goldberg for intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D Fine and Harvey I Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay And Lee Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G Damus, Jorry F Halverson, Michael E Friedman, Betty-Jane Kirwan

TRIAL AO

Trial resumes frm May 13, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Harry Handler is recalled by Intervenors BUSTOP and Integration Project, resumes thestand and continues testifying.

Trial is recessed to May 17, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

Respondent's motion for order shortening time is GRANTED. Respondent's interrogatories are GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. Petitioners to answer all interrogatories save and except for that portion of each question requiring detail and each and every fact upon which contention is based.
Answers to be filed by noon, May 25, 1977 and copies also to be served on intervenors.
Intervenors to furnish all parties, and the Court, Statement of Contentions by noon, May 25, 1977.
Notice waived.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 16, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date May 17, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig~~

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, WEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 16, X 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Tomas A. Arciniega, recalled by the respondent, resumes the stand and continues testifying.

Trial is recessed to May 18, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 17, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date May 18, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk

XXXXXXXXXX Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS, Reporter

1 J. ROUVIA & E. JACKLEY (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854

Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al — Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles — Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig~~

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 17, 1977 with all present as heretofore. William J. Johnston, recalled by Intervenor, Integration Project, resumes the stand and continues testifying. Intervenor #1, Integration Project, exhibit C (Estimated Transportation Costs Report) is marked for identification. Phillip Jordan is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent. Respondent's Exhibit DDD (Progress Report) is marked for identification. Bob Rangel, recalled by the respondent, resumes the stand and continues testifying.

Trial is recessed to May 19, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

Glen Davis is ordred to return to this court on May 19, 1977 at 10:00 AM without furthr notice.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 18, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Date May 19, 1977
HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
H. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | L. CANNON & N. HARRIS, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig~~

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Interbenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes frm May 18, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Harold Glen Davis is called out of order, is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent.

Bob Rangel resmes the stand and continues testifying.

Ward Edwards is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent.

Respondent's exhibit EEE (VITA-Ward Edwards) is marked for identification.

Trial is recessed to May 20, 1977 at 11:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 19, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Date May 20, 1977

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
H. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 19, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Offer of proof in reference to the testimony of Ward Edwards is DENIED.

Trial is recessed to May 23, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 20, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date May 23, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
J. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON XXXXXXXXXX, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 20, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Motion of respondent for additional testimony re the CACSI Plan is argued.

Offer of proof in reference to the testimony of Harry Saunders and Robert Barnes re the CACSI Plan is set for hearing on May 24, 1977 at 1:30 PM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 23, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date May 24, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & XXXXXXXX , Reporter
B. JACKSON (Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

c 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Interbenor, PEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Interbenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael L. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 23, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Offer of proof in reference to the testimony of Elliot Aronson is argued and continued to May 25, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

Offer of proof in reference to the testimony of Harry Saunders and Robert B ames is DENIED.

James B. Taylor is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent.

On respondent's motion, Court orders exhibit B admitted into evidence.

Respondent's exhibits FFF (School Resources Information SheetXXXXXX Grant Elementary School) and GGG (School Resources Information Sheet Hollywood High School) are marked for identification.

Trial is recessed to May 25, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 24, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date May 25, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON and M. Harris, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindday and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 24, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Court orders the Petition of Leon E. Lofton, Jr. and Esther M. Lofton for Extraordinary Relief TRANSFERRED to Department One, forthwith, for further proceedings.

James B. Taylor resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

On respondent's motion, Court orders exhibits FFF and GGG admitted into evidence.

Trial is recessed to May 26, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

Copy of this minute order mailed to Leon E. Lofton, Jr. and Esther M. Lofton this date.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 25, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date May 26, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al Plaintiff

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles Defendant

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold C. Hart-Nibbrig~~, G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldstein for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 25, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

James B. Taylor resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Trial is recessed to May 27, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 26, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 75

Date May 27, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | N. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldstein for Intervenor, Integration Projects

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 26, 1977 with all present as heretofore. Julian Nava is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent. Respondent's exhibit HHH (Biological Data) is marked for identification. Louis Curtis Washington is called out of order and is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent. Respondent's exhibits III (Minutes and attachments of Meeting of Governing Board of January 17, 1977, Minutes and Attachments of Meeting of Governing Board of March 3, 1977 and Minutes of the meeting of the Governing Board of March 17, 1977), JJJ (Certification of and Minutes of the Board of education Meeting of February 5, 1976) and KKK (Certification of and Minutes of the Board of Education meeting of December 20, 1976) are marked for identification. Julian Nava resumes the stand and Continues testifying in behalf of the respondent. Respondent's exhibit LLL (Chart-Subcommittee Actions) is marked for identification. Trial is recessed to May 31, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 27, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Date May 31, 1977
HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff

HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
H. CANNON & N. HARRIS, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Micahel E. Friedman, Betty Jean Kirwan

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, PEST

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 27, 1977 with all present as heretofore. Julian Nava resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent. On respondent's motion, Court orders exhibits III, JJJ and KKK admitted into evidence. Respondent's offer of proof in reference to the testimony of Elizabeth Cohen is argued and GRANTD, and the petitioner may take her deposition. Julian Nava resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Trial is recessed to June 1, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 31, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date June 1, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & H. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart~~ Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, PEST

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from May 31, 1977 with all present as heretofore. Julian Nava resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent. On motion of intervenor, Diane Watson, respondent's exhibit LLL is admitted into evidence. Intervenor #2, Diane Watson's, exhibit B (Two Letters) is marked for identification. James B. Taylor is recalled by the respondent, resumes the stand and continues testifying.

Trial is recessed to June 2, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 1, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date June 2, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | J. CANNON & N. HARRIS, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig~~

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from June 1, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

James B. Taylor resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Trial ~~recessed~~ is reserved to June 3 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 2, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date June 3, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk

M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854

Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al — Plaintiff — Counsel for: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles — Defendant — Counsel for: Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, Joseph Duff, John Martinez, ~~Howard G. Hart-Nibbrig~~

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from June 2, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

James B. Taylor resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Trial is recessed to June 6, 1977 at 1:30 PM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 3, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date June 6, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward H. Nedvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fino and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, FEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from June 3, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Hearing on the four Demurrers of the petitioner is set for June 15, 1977 at 10:00 AM. Response to the Demurrers to be filed by June 10, 1977. Hearing re the Amicus Curiae Brief of Robert Farrell, Education Finance Reform Project to be heard at the conclusion of the respondent's case.

Offer of proof in reference to the testimony of Howard E. Freeman and David J. Armor to be argued.

Trial is recessed to June 7, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 6, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date June 7, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | J. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig~~

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Petzer Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, PUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from June 6, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Argument continues as to respondent's offer of proof in reference to the testimony of Howard E. Freeman and David J. Armor and said proof id REJECTED and the survey, at this time, is not admitted without ptejudice to renew it at some other stage of the proceedings.

Counsel for the petitioner, Edward M. Medvene, states the following stipulation: We will stipulate that if Miss Cohen were called she would testify as stated in the offer of proof previously filed. Without admitting any agreement with her assertions, we will waive cross-examination.

Counsel for intervenors, Integration Project and BEST join in the above stipulation.

To rest respondent's case is reserved to June 8, 1977.

Trial is recessed to June 8, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

It appearing to the Court that through inadvertance and clerical error the minute order of May 3, 1977 does not properly reflect the Court's order and is amended nunc pro tunc as of May 3, 1977 to show that exhibit YY (Curriculum VITA-Norman Miller) is admitted into evidence.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 7, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT.

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date June 8, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig~~

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Danus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from June 7, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Respondent's Motion for Mistrial is Argued and WITHDRAWN.

The written Motion for Mistrial is FILED at the request of counsel for the Board of Education, G. William Shea.

On motion of the respondent, Court orders their exhibits A, C and certain portions of volume 6 of exhibit T admitted into evidence.

Court orders, on motion of counsel for the respondent, Intervenor's #2, Diane Watson, exhibit A admitted into evidence.

On motion of Intervenor #1, Integration Project, Court orders their exhibits A and B admitted into evidence.

Respondent rests.

Oral argument is set for June 15, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

Briefs to be filed by June 13, 1977.

It appearing to the Court that through inadvertance and clerical error exhibit VV of the respondent was not admitted into evidence on April 28, 1977 and the minute order of said date is amended nunc pro tunc as of April 28, 1977 admitting exhibit VV into evidence.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 8, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date June 13, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, PEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Court's Order on Respondent's Motion for Mistrial (AO)

Respondent's Motion for Mistrial is DENIED.

Copy of this minute order mailed to counsel this date.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 13, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date June 14, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig~~, G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane E. Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Order Admitting Exhibit Into Evidence (AO)

Pursuant to phone call from counsel for the petitioner, Court orders respondent's exhibit NNN (Certification of and Minutes of Board Meeting of February 22, 1977) admitted into evidence.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 14, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date June 15, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsay and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Trial (Argument) (AO)

Trial resumes from June 8, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

On motion of counsel for Intervenor #1, Integration Project, Court orders their exhibits D (Pupil Transportation) and E (Pupil Transportation, Pupils by Grade Level, Alternative Schools) admitted into evidence. Respondent's exhibit T (Training Package) is admitted into evidence as of June 8, 1977 for a limited purpose, only.

Argument commences re petitioner's motions that Court: (1) Finds respondent's exhibit A not constitutionally valid; (2) Court appoint an expert to assist the Court to draw up a valid desegregation plan; and (3) If Court does not adopt #2 above, matter not be sent back to the Board of Education without the guidelines heretofore referred to and the Board be required to utilize the services of suggested expert. (Refer to petitioner's motion filed June 13, 1977)

Argument re Demurrers to trail hearing re petitioner's motions.

Trial is recessed to June 16, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 15, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date June 16, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk

M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & J. HARRIS , Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854

Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hartzibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Fisher Ray and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane E. Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsey and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

W. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Trial (Argument) (AO)

Argument re Petitioners' Motions

Argument continues on petitioners' motions and is continued to

June 17, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 16, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date June 17, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk

M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS, Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854

Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig~~

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane E. Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsey and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Trial (Argument) (AO)
Argument re Petitioners' Motions

Trial resumes from June 16, 1977 with all present as heretofore.

Argument continues on Petitioners' Motions, Concluded and taken under SUBMISSION.

Intervenor's, BEST, motion re Exhibit A is argued and taken under SUBMISSION.

Trial is recessed to June 21, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 17, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date June 21, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Modvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart, Wibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsey and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael E. Friedman, Betty-Jane Kirwan

Trial

Respondent's Demurrer to 1st. Amended Complaint in Intervention of BEST	OVERRULED, with 21 days to answer. Notice waived.
Respondent's Demurrer and Motion to Strike 2nd. Amended Complaint in Intervention of Diane E. Watson	Motion to Strike is DENIED. Demurrer SUSTAINED, as it fails on the ground that the Complaint fails to allege that Intervenor, Diane E. Watson, has standing, with 21 days leave to amend and 10 days to respond or otherwise plead. Notice waived.
Petitioners' Demurrer to 2nd. Amended Complaint in Intervention of BUSTOP	SUBMITTED.
Petitioners' Demurrer to 1st. Amended Complaint in Intervention of BEST	OVERRULED, with 21 days to answer. Notice waived.

Trial is CONTINUED to June 28, 1977 at 10:00 AM for Board of Education's Response to Court's Inquiries Respecting Alternate Plans.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 21, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date June 28, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
H. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Barry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

David Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenor, Diane E. Watson

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsey and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael Friedman, Betty Jean Kirwan

Trial (AO)

Petitioners' Motion to Find Respondent's Exhibit A Not Constitutionally Valid, etc

Intervenor, BEST, Motion That Proceedings be Remanded to Respondent Board to Consider Alternate Plans, etc

Above matters are CONTINUED to July 1, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

Petitioners' Demurrer to 2nd. Amended Complaint in Intervention of BUSTOP

Matter taken under submission on June 21, 1977 and Court makes its order at this time. Demurrer is OVERRULED. Petitioner has 10 days to Answer. Notice Waived.

Notice of Motion of Intervenor, Diane E. Watson, to Substitute Party Intervenors is Filed This Date With Hearing Set For July 1, 1977 at 10:00 AM. Motion is heard at this time and said Motion is GRANTED. Pursuant thereto, Dr. Robert M. Loveland and Ms. Mary Keipp are substituted in place of Diane E. Watson as Intervenors. Notice Waived.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 28, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date July 1, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
H. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Wibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors *

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsey and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Trial (AO)

Matter of respondent's exhibit A, Plan for Integration of Pupils, not being constitutionally valid is argued.

Trial is concluded.

All matters are taken under SUBMISSION.

* Robert H. Loveland and Mary Keipp

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
July 1, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M314D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD, etc., et al,

Petitioners,

vs.

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES,

Respondent.

CASE NO. C 822854

MINUTE ORDER

The mandate previously issued in 1970 by this Court to desegregate the Los Angeles Unified School District was affirmed by the California Supreme Court June 28, 1976. The response to that order was a plan for integration filed by the Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) Board in this department on March 18, 1977. A hearing was immediately commenced to determine if this plan complied with that mandate. Nearly twelve weeks of testimony was produced in its support. At the end of the testimony a motion was made by petitioners, and joined in part by intervenors Integration, Watson and B.E.S.T., requesting the plan be rejected as insufficient compliance with the mandate. This Court now grants

petitioners' motion.

The plan fails because it does not desegregate any school in the district and even were it to be found by this Court that it would desegregate schools, it would reach so few of the 231 segregated minority schools as to be wholly ineffective in desegregating this district.

This conclusion is based upon the Court's perception of the following evidence:

LAUSD pupil census as of October, 1976 -- kindergarten through twelfth grade -- was 592,931, distributed among 559 schools, of which:

435 are elementary schools

75 are junior high schools

49 are senior high schools.

The ethnic and cultural identification of these students was, as of October 1976:

WHITE:	219,359 or 37.0%
BLACK:	142,778 or 24.1%
HISPANIC:	190,363 or 32.1%
OTHER NON-WHITE MINORITY:	40,431 or 6.8%

The Board in the plan identifies as segregated schools, those schools having a minority (non-white) to white or white to minority ratio of not less than 80% to 20%. All other schools by this definition are integrated.

The segregated schools are either segregated minority or segregated white. It is the former which will be further described.

/ / / / /

Of the total segregated minority schools:

183 are elementary schools

26 are junior high schools

22 are senior high schools.

Within the segregated minority elementary schools there are:

113	schools--98% to 100%--having	101,162	minority students
24	schools--95% to 97%--having	17,797	minority students
46	schools--80% to 94%--having	34,745	minority students
TOTAL 183		153,704	

Within the segregated minority junior high schools there are:

17	schools--98% to 100%--having	31,577	minority students
5	schools--95% to 97%--having	7,595	minority students
4	schools--80% to 94%--having	6,593	minority students
TOTAL 26		45,765	

Within the segregated minority senior high schools there are:

14	schools--98% to 100%--having	25,385	minority students
4	schools--95% to 97%--having	8,395	minority students
4	schools--80% to 94%--having	10,675	minority students
TOTAL 22		44,455	

The mandatory portion of the Board's plan designates only 38 elementary segregated white and 55 elementary segregated minority schools as definitely being included in the desegregation process. Unaffected by any desegregation plan are 128 minority segregated elementary schools, of which 99 are in a 98% to 100% segregated minority classification; 12 in the 95% to 97% segregated

minority classification; and 17 in the 80% to 94% segregated minority classification. A total of 111,761* of the 153,704 students in minority elementary segregated schools remain racially isolated.

The plan vaguely envisions that there shall be at least one year of integrated learning experience for students in their high school term (high school includes seventh through twelfth grades). Other than these vague voluntary programs no requirement for desegregation is indicated. The effect of this plan leaves most of the segregated junior and senior high schools in the district in a segregated condition. The vast majority of the 111,761 minority elementary students, who are untouched by this plan, will go to 95% to 100% segregated minority junior high schools and thence to segregated minority high schools. They will not have been relieved in any manner of their racial isolation during their entire school experience.

The basic tool of the plan is the Educational Planning Unit (EPU). This device is an attractive educational tool which clusters segregated schools and allows the parents and staff of the schools comprising the EPU to determine what type of voluntary integration will be accomplished within their units. The EPU concept has been praised by the witnesses produced by the Board because of its voluntary nature and the participation given the parents and staff of the schools in choosing among the several programs offered for integration.

---

*This figure is well understated because it assumes that all 50 elementary schools in the mandatory phase are segregated minority. This is not necessarily true, but there is insufficient information to make any other assumptions.

The plan has built-in constraints which prevent any effective expansion for increased impact on the segregated minority schools. Most inhibiting of these constraints are geographical and definitional. The scope of Educational Planning Units (EPUs), is determined by both. The limitation of mandatory transportation distance determines the geographical limits of the EPU. The definition of segregated schools and integrated schools determines which schools within those geographical limits may be included in an EPU. This last definition excludes all pupils in schools defined as integrated, which are within the geographical boundary of the EPU but regardless of the exact ratio of the majority to minority pupils, whether 79-21 or 50-50.

The Board concedes that the ratio by which a school is defined to be either integrated or segregated has no articulated rationality. Thus, by arbitrary standards, large numbers of students may be included or excluded with any change of ratio defining segregated schools. With the existing definitions there are no mandatory provisions in the plan to expand the scope of the EPUs. These constraints not only operate on the mandatory provisions of the plan but limit the operation in the voluntary options given the schools participating in an EPU by limiting the number of schools in an EPU.

There is only one mandatory provision which remains as the sanction for failure to opt for a voluntary plan and it is to the mandatory provision that this order speaks, for if the EPU fails to elect a voluntary means of segregation by February 1978, the Board will require that a minimum of 50 elementary schools within the EPUs enter into the mandatory method of integration

known as Specialized Learning Centers (SLC).

The ratio of the total student ethnicity within the EPU schools will be not less than 40/60% majority/minority or minority/majority.

Nineteen elementary EPUs have been identified without definite determination of their sites.

Through the SLC, the Board contends that by bringing together youngsters of the same grade from schools comprising the EPU for part of a day and part of a year, the schools which each youngster attends as his home school will become desegregated. The SLC is planned to operate as follows:

> The kindergartners within the schools comprising the EPU are exempt from any integration.
>
> Children in grades 1 through 3 will be required to have a cultural exchange experience with children of the same grade of other schools comprising that EPU for a minimum of ten days each scholastic year. These exchanges will be sharing experiences, which the Court perceives to be in the form of field trips.
>
> At the 4th through 6th grade levels, each child in the 50 schools will participate part-time in an SLC. For two-thirds of his normal school year he will maintain his regular school schedule and curriculum at the school to which he is regularly assigned. For one-third of the school year he will report, presumably one hour early, to his regularly assigned segregated school and remain there for one

hour. During this hour, he will be given instructions in the basic skills; reading, mathematics and writing. He will then be placed on a bus and go to a facility housing the Specialized Learning Center. Upon his arrival with his home school teacher or aides, he will be met by two master teachers permanently assigned there, teaching aides, and youngsters from the same grade from the other segregated schools comprising his EPU. His curriculum while there will be limited to the social sciences, with emphasis on non-competitive, cooperative and individual learning techniques. The youngsters will have lunch together, and at 2:00 or 2:30 p.m. each student will then be placed on a bus and returned to his regularly assigned segregated school. The defined SLC plans stop at the end of the sixth grade. Thereafter, he becomes eligible, if attending the right school, to participate for one year in a cultural exchange or integrated program before graduation from senior high school. This high school program is vaguely outlined in the plan without specific guidelines.

Much time expended in these hearings was in listening to testimony praising the educational concepts of the EPUs and the SLCs as valuable, although new and novel techniques for integration. The Board's position is that these techniques are designed to alleviate the consequences of segregation and thereby desegregates schools. This view is not shared by this Court, nor by any other court in any reported cases where similar provisions

for desegregation were advanced. No witness presented by the Board made such a claim and several asserted that the plan did not desegregate. This Court has repeatedly urged that desegregation must precede or accompany integration.

This Court agrees that the respondent Board is faced with a formidable task, given the geographical size of the district, the vast numbers of students, the increasing minority population, the decreasing white population, the numbers of limited-or-non-English speaking Hispanic students (100,000), the limitations on both taxation and expenditures of money, and lastly, the Board members' own personal perceptions of their constitutional duty. But nothing this Court has heard has sustained the argument of the Board that the Board can do nothing further in relieving segregation. It is clear from the evidence that this plan, even if approved by this Court, would result in this district continuing to maintain three separate school systems; brown, black and white, each isolated from the other.

The voluntary aspects of the plan are limited by the EPUs to the schools comprising the EPUs and to an existing program called Permit With Transportation (PWT). This Court has previously noted in this order the constraints upon the voluntary aspects of the EPU component. PWT allows certain students to voluntarily bus out of their segregated school to other schools upon a space-and-transportation available basis and for so long as it helps desegregate the district. The plan envisions that the present PWT program will be increased from 9,500 users to 20,000 at the end of the academic year 1977-78 and thereafter to 30,000 at the end of the 1978-79 year. At present, the Permit With Transportation

program is used almost entirely by minority students, busing themselves out of minority segregated schools to segregated white schools. Said busing often consumes an hour to an hour-and-a-half one-way, and very seldom relieves the minority impact on the sending schools. The proposed increase in cost of this busing ($768 per annum per pupil) is more than three times the present cost of "distance and hazard" busing in the district (Less than $200 per annum per pupil). Testimony in the case indicates that there is little expectation that there will be any measurable increase in use of the PWT program by white students; that it primarily is a minority-used student program. PWT offers little hope to relieve desegregation because of the limited numbers envisioned in the plan; because it does not desegregate the sending schools; and, because of the high cost of maintaining the program. It is constitutionally suspect as a major desegregation device because it places the burden of relieving the racial isolation of the minority student upon the minority student.

The other components of the plan will not be discussed because of its two basic defects; to wit, the minimal scope in reaching the 231 segregated schools in this district, and the failure to desegregate any school that is within its limited scope.

The Court specifically finds that the plan was hastily conceived as a reaction to the implications of the CACSI plan and that no effective in-depth study was made of the effect of the plan upon segregated schools of this district, nor any cost/benefit analysis made of the plan, nor any alternative plans seriously considered.

This Court urges the Board to look realistically at

this very difficult problem with the understanding that neither it nor this Court can ignore the mandate of the State Supreme Court. There is solace, perhaps, in knowing that by the end of 1975 there were at least 1500 school districts in the United States subjected to this same trauma; that most of these desegregation efforts have been successful, although some have not. Their history teaches us that the index of a successful effort has been the resolution and good faith shown by a Board of Education and its staff in preparing and leading their community in a court ordered desegregation of its schools. It is the Court's hope that the Los Angeles School District will be as fortunate.

Therefore, and in light of the above, the Court orders the respondent Board of Education to:

1. Return to this court within 90 days hereof a plan or plans which realistically commence the desegregation of this district by no later than February 1978, and which plan or plans promise within their terms to complete such desegregation process within a reasonable period thereafter.

2. In order that this Court may ensure that it has sufficient information to evaluate any plan or plans submitted, the Board shall consider all reasonable alternative plans to the plan or plans which it shall finally submit to this Court. Such alternates shall include other plans submitted to it and plans developed internally. Both the plan or plans to be submitted and such alternates shall be either accompanied or supported by detailed findings and conclusions, including all evidentiary data; summaries of the opinions of experts' studies, both staff and outside; all statistical information; all cost and benefit studies;

all financial information; all educational benefits and detriments; and, any and all information developed either in support of its final plan or plans or used in evaluating the alternative plans.

3. In evaluating and analyzing alternative plans, the Board shall not be precluded from reexamining Exhibit "A" or the CACSI plan.

4. The Board shall present to this Court, so that it may be able to judge the reasonableness and feasibility of any plan or plans presented it, a cost and feasibility study of desegregating all of the minority elementary schools within the

a) 98 to 100% minority segregated range;

b) 90 to 100% minority segregated range; and,

c) 80 to 100% minority segregated range,

without regard to any definition of integrated schools.

The same request is made for the Junior High Schools and Senior High Schools.

This order is made for the singular purpose of obtaining the cost and feasibility of a maximum effort in order that this Court may develop a standard for rationally judging the reasonableness and feasibility of any plan submitted.

5. The respondent Board shall prepare a tentative schedule for its study and deliberations and submit this schedule to this Court within fourteen (14) days hereof.

6. In order that the work of this Court shall be better assisted in the evaluation of the resoluteness of the Board in complying with the mandate, and further to ensure that the deadlines herein are being met, and lastly, to help the Court in the ultimate evaluation of the completeness of the Board's compliance in any

plan or plans submitted, the Court intends to appoint as an officer of this Court, a Referee under Code of Civil Procedure section 639(4), to report to this Court periodically and also upon request, either in writing or orally, the efforts and work of the Board and its staff respecting compliance with this order. This officer shall be given full access to all information and proceedings of the Board and its staff concerning the respondent's compliance with this order. Upon application to this Court and on notice, he may request that this Court issue any further orders to assist him in this duty. His compensation shall be fixed and paid pursuant to further order.

7. The Board and its staff shall give to the designated representatives of the petitioners and all intervenors all reasonable access to the Board and its staff's planning process and data so that eventually this Court may be better informed.

8. The Court retains jurisdiction to make such further orders as may seem proper and just in the circumstances and to conduct such hearings as may seem necessary to better inform it and to aid it in the enforcement of the mandate.

9. Pending further hearing, nothing in this order shall be construed to inhibit the Board from instituting any efforts to voluntarily desegregate its schools.

10) Upon the filing of the plan or plans, each of the other parties herein may file its written objections or support of that plan within fourteen (14) days thereafter, which time the Court shall then set a date for a hearing thereon. The procedure for such hearing to be determined by conference of the parties held prior thereto; the time and place to be set by this Court.

11) The Court now sets Thursday, July 7, 1977, at 10:00 a.m., as the date and time to consider what the respondent's claim to be an unruled upon motion and to further consider the designation of the Referee to be appointed and the instructions to be given him in monitoring the proceedings of the respondent Board pending the submission of a plan.

DATED THIS 5th DAY OF JULY, 1977.

EGLY

DEPT. 76

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Date July 6, 1977

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig~~

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsey and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Order on July 1, 1977 Submission (Trial) (AO)

Cot

Court makes its order in accordance with the attached Minute Order.

Further hearing is set for July 7, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

Copies of said Minute Order are presented to counsel, or their representative, this date in open court.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
July 6, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date July 7, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
H. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | I. CANNON , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duffy, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig~~

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsey and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael G. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Designation of Referee to be Appointed (AO)

CONTINUED to July 8, 1977 at 10:00 AM

64

Respondent's Motion to reopen case for additional evidence regarding alternative or new desegregation plan

DENIED

Counsel stipulate Intervenors Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp have to July 15, 1977 to file their Amended Complaint.
Notice Waived.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
July 7, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date July 8, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk

H. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold C. Hart-Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsey and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael G. Friedman, Betty-Joan Kirwin

Appointment of Referee (AO)

Hearing resumes from July 7, 1977 with all present as heretofore, except, there is no appearance by counsel for Intervenor, BUSTOP.

Court makes the following order:

Monroe E. Price is appointed under section 639 (4) of the Civil Code of Procedure to perform the duties set forth in the order of Court, by minute order dated July 5, 1977. He is to report to this Court by written report in intervals of not less than one week, or sooner if requested, in performing the duties assigned him.

Trial is in recess pending the filing of another desegregation plan by the respondent.

Copy of this minute order mailed to counsel this date.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
July 8, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 20

Date JULY 21, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE RICHARD A. GADBOIS, JR. JUDGE | C. COBBS, Deputy Clerk

NONE Deputy Sheriff | NONE, Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD, etc.,

v.

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES,

Counsel for Plaintiff: FRED OKRAND

Counsel for Defendant: JERRY F. HALVERSON

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: ORDER RE EXHIBITS

Pursuant to agreement of the above-named counsel, and on order of the Court, copies are substituted for the following original exhibits:

Petitioner's 15U (Evaluation Report--EOA 1965-66); and

Petitioner's 15V (Evaluation Report--EOA Secondary Summer School, 1965).

DEPT. 20

MINUTES ENTERED
7-21-77
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date July 29, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. HARRIS , Reporter

1. (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Virgil Roberts, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Harold Hart-Nibbrig, John Martinez, Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

Arthur Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Harry Saferstein for Intervenor, BBST

Robert Damus

Referee, Monroe Price

Hearing re Referee Appointed by the Court (AO)
Argument re Petitioner's Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements

The order of July 14, 1977 re the Referee is discussed by the Court and counsel and pursuant thereto the following correction is made: STRIKE the following from page 2, paragraph 2, lines 13 through 15 - "not a violation of the Brown Act, will not subject any Board member to the criminal penalties of Government Code § 54959, and will" and including the word "be". Counsel for the respondent to prepare the corrected order. Counsel waive service of the corrected order.

The Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements filed by the petitioner is argued and Court makes the following order: said memorandum to remain filed; that this will not form a basis for execution; attorney fees and costs to be determined at a later date.

The referee to file his bill for reimbursement and said matter to be heard at a later date.

Counsel stipulate further hearing set for August 8, 1977 at 1:30 PM. Counsel waive the 10 day notice.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
July 29, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Aug. 8, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
D. armstrong Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON, Reporter
1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Virgil Roberts

Counsel for Defendant: Jerry F. Halverson, Robert G. Damus, David Peterson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

Michael Lindsey for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Harvey Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Referee-Monroe Price

(AO)

Motion of Intervenor, BEST, for Extention of Plan Submission Deadline to September 15, 1977 — DENIED

Intervenor, Integration Project, Notice for Bill of Particulars — Stated on the Record and APPROVED.

Petitioners' Motion for Order That Petitioners are Entitled to Submit Desegregation Alternatives to Respondent Subsequent to August 15, 1977 — DENIED

Matter re Reimbursement to the Referee — Pending Further order and a final determination of the party having to bear the cost of the referee, the respondent Board is ordered to pay the expenses and compensation of the referee. His rate of compensation i hereby set at $45.00 per hour. All expenses of his office not born by him and which he seeks reimbursement or advance, shall be requested to this Court upon notice to all partie before reimbursement is made. *

* Failure to object in 10 days after the request is made shall be deemed a waiver to object.

Copy of this minute order mailed to counsel through the U. S. Mail.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Aug. 8, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. EAST L

Date Sept. 1, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
D. MCKINLEY Deputy Sheriff | Y. POTTER, Reporter

3 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda

Counsel for Defendant: Harry M. Reynolds, Joseph H. Duff, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig~~

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsey and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael G. Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

Referee-Monroe Price

Petitioner's Motion for Order of Court that Respondent Prepare its Desegregation Plan Pursuant to Court-Mandated Guidelines

Hearing is set for September 16, 1977 at 9:00 AM in Department 76.
Counsel for petitioner to give notice.

Copy of this minute order mailed to counsel this date.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Sept. 1, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Sept. 16, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON , Reporter
1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Mary Ellen Gail, Lynn Pineda, Marck Rosenbaum, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig~~, G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

Peter Collisson, Michael Lindsey and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Referee-Monroe Price

(AO)

Petitioner's Motion for Order of Court That Respondent Prepare Its Desegregation Plan Pursuant to Court Mandated Guidelines

Motion is DENIED without prejudice

A Pre-Trial Conference is set for October 19, 1977 at 10:00 AM in this department.
Petitioner to give notice.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Sept. 16, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M413D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Oct. 19, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
P. STEPHENS Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al — Counsel for Plaintiff

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles — Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1

2 The resoluteness of the Board in the presentation of the Plan; and

3 Compliance with those issues and then into the merits.

Briefs for the November 1, 1977 hearing to be filed and served by October 28, 1977.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Oct. 19, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. [redacted] 76

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Date Oct. 19, 1977

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk

[redacted] Deputy Sheriff | [redacted] H. CANNON , Reporter

1 B. STEPHENS | (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854

Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Mary Ellen Gail, Lynn Pineda, Marck Rosenbaum

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Nibbig, G. William Shea, Winchester Cooley, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Michael Friedman, Betty-Jean Kirwan, Referee-Monroe Price

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

~~Peter Collisson~~, Michael Lindsey and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

(AO)

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Pre-Trial Hearing

Conference held.

With the filing October 3, 1977 of the New School plan and with the understanding that there will be additional filings, respondent, Board, rests.

Petitioners to file a motion to depose certain witnesses by noon, October 21, 1977. If a hearing is necessary for petitioners' motion, said hearing will be held on October 26, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

Hearing is set on November 1, 1977 at 10:00 AM on the following issues:

1-a Should the respondent, Board, be allowed to proceed with or be restrained from going forward with the School plan filed October 3, 1977;

1-b Intervenor's, Integration Project, Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Declaratory Judgment;

1-c Intervenor's, BEST, Motion for Court Order to Allow Board to Implement, with Appropriate Outside Supervision and Court Monitoring Proposed Integration Plan;

CONTINUED ON PAGE 2

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Oct. 19, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Date Oct. 26, 1977

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
E. JACKLEY Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene, Fred Okrand, Mary Ellen Gail, Lynn Pineda, Marck Rosenbaum

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, Ricardo Munoz, Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, ~~Harold G. Hart-Hibbrig~~, G. William Shea, ~~Winchester Cooley~~, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, ~~Michael Friedman~~, Betty-Jean Kirwan, David Peterson

Referee-Monroe Price

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Michael Lindsey and Lee G. Paul for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Petitioner's Motion to Depose Certain Witnesses — SUBMITTED. (AO)

Application of John Caughey for Leave to File Amicus Curiae Brief	GRANTED, but is limited to Segregated Schools and to be done by oral arguement or the filing of briefs.
Application of Daniel H. Willick for Leave to File Amicus Curiae Brief	GRANTED, and applicant is allowed to file, if any, additional briefs and is limited to the request for an Injunction by Integration Project, and further limited to the issue of PWT in its present form by oral arguement.
Petitioner's Motion for Order Shortening Time for Answering Interrogatories	GRANTED. Answers to be filed by November 2, 1977.

Hearing present ly set for November 1, 1977 is ADVANCED to this date and CONTINUED to November 8, 1977 at 1:30 PM.
Petitioner to give notice.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Oct. 26, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M4143D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. EAST L

Date Oct. 27, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
NONE Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter
1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Edward M. Medvene
Fred Okrand Mary Ellen Gail
Lynn Pineda Marck Rosenbaum

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff Ricardo Munoz
Harry Reynolds
Virgil Roberts
John Martinez
~~Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig~~

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

G. William Shea
Robert G. Damus
Jerry F. Halverson
Betty-Jean Kirwan
David Peterson

Referee, Monroe Price

Order on 10-26-77 Submission (AO)

Petitioner's Motion of Proposed Depositions of Various Persons Upon Oral Examination

GRANTED as to those witnesses whose testimony bears directly on the hearing of November 8, 1977. The petitioner is specifically authorized and respondent is ordered to furnish the witnesses for deposition. Said depositions to be completed by close of business November 4, 1977. Balance of depositions of the witnesses named in the motion, to be taken subsequent to the hearing of November 8, 1977. Request to take the deposition of the Board President, Howard Miller, is DENIED, without prejudice, subject to being renewed subsequent to the hearing of November 8, 1977.

Counsel for the petitioner to give notice of the Court's ruling.

Copy of this minute order mailed to all parties listed above.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Oct. 27, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Nov. 8, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
ROY RAY Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Mary Ellen Gail, Lynn Pineda, Marck Rosenbaum, Joseph Duff, Ricardo Munoz

Counsel for Defendant: Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert N. Loveland and Mary Keipp

G. William Shea, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, Betty-Jean Kirwan, David Peterson

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Referee-Monroe Price

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Thomas F. Bartman for Intervenor, BUSTOP

64

1-a Should the respondent, Board, be allowed to proceed with or be restrained from going forward with the school plan filed October 3, 1977.

SUBMITTED

1-b Intervenor's, Integration Project, Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Declaratory Judgment is argued and CONTINUED to ~~XXXX~~ November 9, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

Court to submit an order re Monroe Price being appointed as monitor.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 8, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Nov. 9, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
ROY RAY Deputy Sheriff | HERB CANNON, Reporter

2 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Mary Ellen Gail, Lynn Pineda, Marck Rosenbaum, Joseph Duff, Ricardo Munoz

Counsel for Defendant: Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

G. William Shea, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Referee-Monroe Price

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman, for Intervenor, BUSTOP

(AO)

Order of Submission of November 8th. and 9th., 1977

Intervenor's, Integration Project, motion to enjoin the respondents use of the PWT Program (Permits With Transportation) is DENIED, in so far as it prays for an immediate cessation of the PWT Program. But, because of the possibility that any expansion beyond the planned maximum figure of 20,000 students may interfere with the orderly administration and oversight of the mandate, no further recruitment or expansion of that program beyond 20,000 students for the academic year 1977-78 shall be commenced or continued until further order of Court is first obtained.

The respondent having requested of the Court certain assurances pending the hearing on Concept L (2nd. Trial Exhibit 000) are now assured that it is not the intention of this Court to interfere with the respondent's forthwith initiation of the voluntary portion of that plan prior to full examination of exhibit 000, or unless prior thereto it shall affirmatively appear that such efforts would materially interfere with the Court's oversight function in the enforcement of the mandate.

Petition of Max Schneier for appearance as Amicus Curiae and to present an alternate plan is DENIED. The position of petitioner is and has been well represented by the parties and would be of little value to the Court. Further, this Court is not soliciting alternate integration plans.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 9, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT.

Copies of this minute order mailed to above counsel and Amicus Curiae this date.

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Nov. 9, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
ROY RAY Deputy Sheriff | HERB CANNON , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Mary Ellen Gail, Lynn Pineda, Marck Rosenbaum, Joseph Duff, Ricardo Munoz

Counsel for Defendant: Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur E. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

G. William Shea, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Daferstein for Intervenor, EEST

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Referee-Monroe Price

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Thomas F. Bartman for Intervenor, BUSTOP

(AO)

Arguement continues on (1-b) Intervenor's, Integration Project, Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Declaratory Judgment and is DENIED.

The matter of Whether or Not the Board Should be Allowed to Expand the PWT Program to 20,000 is taken under SUBMISSION.

A Pre-Trial Hearing is set for November 15, 1977 at 10:00 AM. Pre-Trial Briefs to be filed by November 14, 1977.

Hearing re Implementation of a Blue Ribbon Committee is set for November 15, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

Respondent's Motion to Terminate the Deposing of Any Additional Witnesses is set for November 15, 1977 at 10:00 AM.

Petition of Max Schneier to appear as Amicus Curiae is GRANTED.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 9, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M314D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Nov. 15, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX M.J. S.I.T., Deputy Clerk
ROY RAY Deputy Sheriff | HERB CANNON , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand✓ Mary Ellen Gail Lynn Pineda✓ Marck Rosenbaum✓ Joseph Duff✓

Counsel for Defendant: Harry Reynolds Virgil Roberts✓ John Martinez Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig Ricardo Munoz

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg✓ for Intervenor, Integration Project

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey✓

Ray Fisher✓ and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

G. William Shea✓ Robert G. Damus✓ Jerry F. Halverson✓ David Peterson✓

Jack D. Fine✓ and Harvey I. Saferstein✓ for Intervenor, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman✓ for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Referee-Monroe Price

Courtroom copy

(AO)

Conference is held in chambers, unreported.

In open Court, Pre-Trial Conference proceeds, as follows:

Intervenor BUSTOP'S Motion for Mistrial is argued and denied without prejudice.

The various merits and methods of implementing a Monitoring Committee are discussed by Court and counsel and the matter is SUBMITTED.

The Court states that it will prepare a PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE ORDER. The Court further states that it will formulate questions relative to Plan 2 by November 21, 1977.

Respondent's Motion to Terminate the Deposing of Any Additional Witnesses is denied without prejudice.

Respondent's Motion for Protective Order re Depositions is denied without prejudice.

Any further discovery is to be completed by December 8, 1977.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 15, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

DEPT. 76

Date Nov. 18, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
ROY RAY Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter
1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff
Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Marck Rosenbaum

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor Integration Project

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

G. William Shea, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Referee-Monroe Price

Order re Referee (AO)
Order re Correction of Pretrial Order

The Minute Order of July 5, 1977, Item # 6 on Page 11, Appointing a Referee under section CCP 639 (4), and the Minute Order of July 14, 1977 Supplementing said Minute Order, and the Minute Order of July 8, 1977, are hereby Reconfirmed in all respects. The Referee to hold office until further order of Court.

In addition, Court orders the word "petitioner's" DELETED from line7, page 3 of the Pretrial Order of November 17, 1977.

Copyies of this minute order mailed to all counsel, and Amicus Curiae, this date.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 18, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Dec. 13, 1977 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
D. MCKINDLEY Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter
1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Mary Ellen Gail, Lynn Pineda, Marck Rosenbaum, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig, Ricardo Munoz

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

G. William Shea, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman, for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Referee-Monroe Price

Pre-Trial Conference (AO)

Court, Referee and Counsel confer in chambers.

Counsel for the petitioners motion the Court for a continuance of the trial as answers to interrogatories have not been answered. The Board indicates they will file the answers to interrogatories today and objects to the continuance. Court GRANTS petitioner's motion and orders the trial CONTINUED to January 4, 1978 at 10:00 AM in Department 76.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 13, 1977
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date JAN. 4,1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | F. M. TYLER JR. , Deputy Clerk

1. NONE Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Mary Ellen Galo, Lynn Pineda, Mark Rosenbaum, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Harry Reynolds, Virgil Roberts, John Martinez, Harold G. Hart-Nibbrig, Ricardo Munoz

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

David Grosz and Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Kaipp

Amicus Curiae Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

G. William Shea, Robert G. Damus, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Cliff Fridkis and Thomas F. Bartman, for Intervenor BUSTOP

Referee-Monroe Price

Motion of petitioner to amend Pre-Trial order and for order appointing expert witness and trail set this date. (AO)

By reason of the filing of the statement of prejudice on December 30, 1977, by Intervenor BUSTOP, these proceedings must, under the compulsion of Section 170.5 Code of Civil Procedure, be recessed until the matter is determined.

Consequently, all matters previously set for todays date must be continued for one week until January 11,1978, at 10:00 AM, in this department or until the statement of prejudice, filed under Section 170.5 Code of Civil Procedure, has been determined.

DEPT. 76

MINUTES ENTERED
1-4-78
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. EAST L

Date Jan. 18, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
D. MCKINDLEY Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter
10 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al Counsel for Plaintiff Fred Okrand

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles Counsel for Defendant G. William Shea

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Ray Fisher for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for Intervenor, BEST

Cliff Fridkis for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Referee-Monroe Price

Order Setting Matter for Hearing (AO)

Court orders hearing on Plan #2 to commence on January 23, 1978 at 10:00 AM in department 76.

Copy of this minute order mailed to counsel this date.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Jan. 18, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

76M314D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. DEpt. 76

Date Jan. 23, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
R. AUBREY Deputy Sheriff | H. CANTON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Virgil Roberts

Counsel for Defendant: Mark D. Rosenbaum, Joseph Duff

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson, Robert D. Damus

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae Robert Farrell and Education Dinance Reform Project

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Referee, Monroe Price

(AO)

Respondent's Motion to Amend Pre-Trial Order of October 19, 1977, and Pre-Trial Order of November 17, 1977 as Amended by the Court on December 29, 1977

Argued and continued to January 24, 1978 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Jan. 23, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

76M413D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Jan. 24, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
R. AUBREY Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles,

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Virgil Roberts

Counsel for Defendant: Mark D. Rosenbaum, Joseph Duff

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, In Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson, Robert D. Damus

Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Referee-Monroe Price

(AO)

Respondent's Motion to Amend Pre-Trial Order of October 19, 1977, and Pre-Trial Order of November 17, 1977 as Amended by the Court on December 29, 1977

Argued and CONTINUED to January 25, 1978 at 10:30 AM.

Intervenor's, BUSTOP, Motion for Mistrial

DENIED.

Counsel to file briefs re estimate of attorney fees by February 7, 1978.

Counsel to submit names and resumes of their experts by January 27, 1978.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Jan. 24, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

76M3140D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Jan. 25, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
E. AUBREY Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pinoda, Virgil Roberts

Counsel for Defendant: Mark F. Rosenbaum, Joseph Duff, G. William Shea, ~~Jerry F. Halverson~~, David Peterson, Robert D. Damus

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, In Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angele

Referee-Monroe Price

(AO)

Respondent's Motion to Amend Pre-Trial Order of October 19, 1977, and Pre-Trial Order of November 17, 1977 as Amended by the Court on December 29, 1977

Petitioner's Motiom that the Court Declare the Board of Education Plan for Student Integration, Submitted October 3, 1977, (Exhibit 000), to be not a Constitutionally Valid Plan for the Desegregation of the District's Schools

Matters are argued and CONTINUED to January 26, 1978 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Jan. 25, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Jan. 26, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
E. AUBREY Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & H. HARRIS, Reporter
1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Virgil Roberts, Mark F. Rosenbaum

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson, Robert D. Damus

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkin for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angele

Referee-Monroe Price

(AO)

Respondent's Motion to Amend Pre-Trial Order of October 19, 1977, and Pre-Trial Order of November 17, 1977 as Amended by the Court on December 29, 1977

Petitioner's Motion that the Court Declare the Board of Education Plan for Student Integration, Submitted October 3, 1977, (Exhibit 000), to be not a Constitutionally Valid Plan for the Desegregation of the District's Schools

Arhuement continues from January 25, 1978 and CONTINUED to January 27, 1978 at 10:00 AM.

On motion of respondent, Court allows all counsel to January 31, 1978 to file their names of experts and their resumes.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Jan. 26, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Jan. 27, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
E. AUBREY Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Virgil Roberts, Mark F. Rosenbaum, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson, Robert D. Dumas

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Kelpp

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angele

Referee-Monroe Price

(AO)

Respondent's Motion to Amend Pre-Trial Order of October 19, 1977, and Pre-Trial Order of November 17, 1977 as Amended by the Court on December 29, 1977

Petitioner's Motion that the Court Declare the Board of Education Plan for Student Integration, Submitted October 3, 1977, (Exhibit 000), to be not a Constitutionally Valid Plan for the Desegration of the District's Schools

Argument concluded.
SUBMITTED

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Jan. 27, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Feb. 1, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
XXXXXXXXX Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter
1 R. ORTEGA (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Virgil Roberts, Mark F. Rosenbaum

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson, Robert D. Damus

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, DEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Referee-Monroe Price

(AO)

6f

Definition of Segregated School

Matter is argued by counsel and CONTINUED to February 2, 1978 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Feb. 1, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Feb. 2, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
D. HOLTZENDORFF Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter

1

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson, Robert D. Damus

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, In Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Referee-Monroe Price

(AO)

Definition of Segregated School

Argument continues from February 1, 1978 and is CONTINUED to February 3, 1978 at 10:00 AM.

67

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Feb. 2, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Feb. 3, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
T. SMITH Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS, Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson, Robert D. Damus

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert H. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fino and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Referee-Monroe Price

(AO)

Definition of Segregated School

Arguments by counsel are concluded.

Hearings are RECESSED to February 9, 1978 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Feb. 3, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

FILED
FEB 7 - 1978
John L. Corcoran, County Clerk
BY HERBERT H. COX, DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD, etc., et al.,

Petitioners,

vs.

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES,

Respondent.

NO. C 822 854

MINUTE ORDER

At the conclusion of respondent's case which constituted the filing of

(a) Exhibit 000;

(b) Six accompanying explanatory volumes;

(c) A file of those minutes of the meetings of the Board of Education in which the subject of discussion was desegregation;

(d) Filing of the declaration of the President of the Board, Howard S. Miller, setting forth the Board's intentions respecting indices of segregated schools; and

/ / / /

(e) The Board's answers to 22 questions propounded by this court.

Motions were made by the petitioners, intervenor Integration Project, intervenor Loveland and Keipp and intervenor BUSTOP on varying grounds to reject the plan encompassed in those filings.

The plan, described in brief, calls in broad terms for the eventual integration of the entire district. The plan requires, commencing September 1978, that an opportunity be given some students to voluntarily participate in the desegregation in all grades (K-12) district-wide. Various devices to attract such volunteers are proposed, such as magnet schools and optional enrollment plans. Options will also be given within certain selected school groups or leagues composed of segregated minority schools and segregated white schools in grades 4 through 8 to voluntarily desegregate. While within those grades in those leagues the emphasis will be on voluntary desegregation, the plan calls for alternate mandatory assignment, failing the exercise of the voluntary options. Plan II has no other mandatory provisions in or out of the league configurations to motivate voluntary choices. However, within the schools defined as currently integrated and within the league schools at grades 4 through 8, such mandatory provisions will involve every white segregated elementary school and currently integrated school within the district and further anticipates the involvement of 64 minority segregated schools within those grades and within those leagues. Untouched by any mandatory provision, however, will be the remaining 204 minority segregated schools. The plan has no timetable bringing most of these schools or the omitted grades

into any expanded voluntary program or any alternate mandatory program, nor details of any such provisions. Further, there is no discussion as to when the ultimate goal as set by the district for complete integration will be reached. Petitioners, intervenor Integration Project and intervenor Loveland and Keipp, point out that these deficiencies are fatal to the approval of this plan. Intervenor BUSTOP argues that the present mandatory provisions that are included require that the plan be rejected because of resegregation of the district through white flight. The respondent Board and intervenor B.E.S.T. support the plan while admitting that there are omissions in specificity and ask that the Board be given time to correct those omissions after the plan has been initiated. Further, they ask that the plan be allowed to commence forthwith.

Crawford v. Board of Education, 17 C.3d 280, requires that the Board devise a plan and initiate a course of action to eliminate or alleviate segregation. The court's interpretation of this mandate requires a plan which, in its terms, is sufficiently specific so that the promise to achieve a goal of desegregation within a reasonable time can be determined by inspection of the terms of the plan itself. Patently, the plan does not comply with this standard because of the omissions of the specifics on how it is to be done and when it is to be finished. These omissions place the petitioner in the untenable position of having obtained a writ of mandate but not knowing the nature and the time of the relief. The proposed plan also places the court in the position of having to supply the missing provisions if enforcement of any order approving the plan is

required.

The court has determined, however, that these objections do not necessarily require that the plan be now rejected or that the court now assume the respondent's role in preparing a plan. The plan offers a structure which allows for major additions and modifications in accord with a timetable. The Board's broadly stated goal of complete integration is the same goal as is required by this court in the writ. The Board has taken an important initial step toward the eventual compliance with the writ of mandate by the filing of a plan for the desegregation of the middle grades which involves the vast majority of the white students within those grades either by means of the use of the definition of desegregated schools or by provision for inclusion within the league configurations. It is the court's order that it now approves the implementation by the Board of this plan, and secondly, that it will further examine the plan to remedy those omissions in the manner as set forth below. The court finds that in spite of the insufficiencies of Plan II the Board has made a beginning in the long delayed journey toward its stated goal of full racial integration of the district and has commenced compliance with this court's mandate. By reason of the above, the court now denies each of the motions of the petitioners, intervenor Integration Project, and intervenor Loveland and Keipp without prejudice to renew if the respondent has not remedied these insufficiencies or has not, in the alternative, satisfactorily explained why the mandate does not require such remedies. The time for the Board to include within its plan the amendments and modifications is set for December 31, 1978.

The motion of intervenor BUSTOP is denied. The Board, as an adjunct to Exhibit 000 (Plan II), has filed the minutes of the Board's proceedings in the adoption of Plan II and appendices to the plan which included studies of the effect of this plan and of other plans on resegregation of the district through white flight. The court at this time will not substitute its judgment in this matter for the judgment of the respondent. Resegregation, however, is a matter which should be examined by the expert witnesses when their reports are returned to this court and the witnesses examined by the court and the parties.

So that this court will be better informed of the sufficiency of any proposed amendments to the plan, the court will appoint on its own motion under Evidence Code, section 730, such experts on school integration and desegregation as it feels necessary. Such experts will examine the issues set forth below for the benefit of the court and the parties and present themselves and their reports to this court for examination respecting their conclusions.

The issues to be examined are:

(a) All amendments necessary for the plan to reach all the segregated minority schools either by inclusion in the physical desegregation plan or by some other means to eliminate or alleviate the effects of segregation. Further, such experts shall form and give opinions as to the timetable for inclusion and the means to implement such amendments.

(b) The necessity of the plan to include improved voluntary provisions and, in the alternative, mandatory provisions for grades K through 3 and grades 9 through 12 or any of them.

Further, the experts shall form an opinion and give a report thereon on the timetable for implementation and the means therefor.

(c) The necessity of the plan to have any additional provisions against resegregation by reason of white flight in the light of any proposed amendments to the plan.

(d) The rationality and application of the present definitions used by the School Board both in defining a segregated school and a currently integrated school and at the same time form and give their opinions on the various definitions and applications as proposed by the petitioners, all intervenors and the amicus curiae, Caughey.

(e) The order of priority, if any, that each issue should be examined and reported on.

(f) The time necessary to report and develop each witness's opinion as to all such issues with the understanding that they and their reports will be examined by this court and the parties in sufficient time for the Board to act by December 31, 1978. Such estimated date should be furnished this court within three weeks of such appointment.

(g) Such further studies and opinion as to such issues which may seem necessary to this court to adequately inform it and the parties.

The court intends to commence hearings forthwith on the identity and number of and the charge to such witnesses, the means of reimbursement, the forms of reports and sources from which such opinions should be formed, located and used.

By this order the court is indicating it is only approving Plan II as an initial step toward eventual desegregation of this

district. Until the court has examined the form of further proposed amendments to the plan and until the Board has been given an opportunity to act thereon by either implementing such amendments or including them in future plans with sufficient specificity to determine whether or not the Board can meet and intends to meet the mandate, any final approval of Plan II will be withheld and this court will, pending that approval, continue its jurisdiction in supervising compliance with the previously issued writ of mandate.

Dated: February 7, 1978.

EGLY, Judge of the Superior Court

DEPT. 76

Date Feb. 7, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Joseph Duff, Lynn Pineda, Virgil Roberts, Mark F. Rosenbaum

Counsel for Defendant: G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson, Robert G. Damus

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Referee-Monroe Price

(AO)

Respondent's Motion to Amend Pre-Trial Order of October 19, 1977, and Pre-Trial Order of November 17, 1977 as Amended by the Court on December 29, 1977

DENIED. (Please refer to the enclosed order of the Court)

Petitioner's Motion that the Court Declare the Board of Education Plan for Student Integration, Submitted October 3, 1977, (Exhibit 000), to be not a Constitutionally Valid Plan for Desegregation of the District's Schools

Please refer to the enclosed order of the Court.

Copies of this minute order and the order of Court mailed to counsel this date.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Feb. 7, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Feb. 8, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk

M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854

Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al — Counsel for Plaintiff

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles — Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Order for Extension of Time (AO)

Ex-Parte Motion of Intervenor, BEST, for an extension of time to file their Brief re Estimate of Attorney Fees is GRANTED. Court allows Intervenor, BEST, to February 21, 1978 to file said Briefs.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Feb. 8, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date Feb. 9, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Frod Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Virgil Roberts

Counsel for Defendant: Mark Rosenbaum, Joseph Duff, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson, Robert G. Damus

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fino and Harvey I. Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for Intervenor, PUSTOP

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, INTEGRATION PROJECT

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Referee-Monroe Price

(AO)

GF

Appointment of Expert Witnesses by the Court Pursuant to Section 730 of the Evidence Code.

Matter is discussed by the Court and counsel. Court to notify counsel by mail of his appointments.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Feb. 9, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Feb. 15, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al — Counsel for Plaintiff

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles — Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Order for Payment for Services of Second Court Reporter (AO)

Pursuant to the request of the Respondent, Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles, for a Daily Transcript of the day's proceedings, Court orders the Respondent to pay to the Los Angeles County Clerk the sum of $807.92 for the services of a second Court Reporter at $100.99 per day for the following days:

January 23, 1978;	February 1, 1978;
January 24, 1978;	February 2, 1978;
January 25, 1978;	February 3, 1978.
January 26, 1978;	
January 27, 1978;	

IT IS SO ORDERED

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
PAUL EGLY

Copies of this order mailed this date by U.S.Mail to:

Jorry F. Halverson
Los Angeles City Boad of Education
450 N. Grand Ave. Room A-215
Los Angeles, Calif. 90012

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Feb. 15, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

FEB 22 1978

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD, Etc., et al.,

Petitioners,

vs.

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES,

Respondent.

NO. C 822 854

MINUTE ORDER

Pursuant to Evidence Code, section 730, et seq., and in furtherance of this court's Minute Order of February 7, 1978 the below named persons are appointed as expert witnesses to examine and investigate all the issues set forth in the above referred to Minute Order and an additional issue set forth below.

These experts are to render their individual reports to this court upon those issues and any other issues as required by this court respecting those matters and further, if called, to testify as an expert at any hearings in this case at such time and place as will be required by this court. This court shall fix such compensation for such services as seems reasonable to this court.

The fee for such experts shall be apportioned and charged to the parties hereto as the court may later determine and may be taxed and allowed as are other costs. The initial charges of said experts, however, shall be borne by the respondent as ordered by the court subject, however, to be later apportioned as set forth above.[1]

The parties hereto are ordered to furnish to each of the witnesses such information as may be requested by the witnesses except as to privileged matters. Each witness shall have access to the court for the purpose of requiring such production. Each witness shall have the right to inquire of each of the other witnesses the materials used by those witnesses, their conclusions and the nature of the process used in coming to those conclusions as to each issue. Each witness is required to inquire independently of each of the parties, that party's contention respecting each of the issues. Each expert shall use all information and sources customarily and necessarily used by expert witnesses in arriving at an opinion as to each of these issues. Each witness shall arrive at his or her own individual opinion as to each of the issues to be investigated and examined. Such opinion shall not be an attempt to reach a consensus or compromise with the other experts' opinions or conclusions.

Upon arriving at a conclusion such opinion shall be reduced to writing in the form of a report and the filing thereof shall be held until all the other witnesses' reports are concluded

---

1. This court urges the respondent to include these anticipated fees in the general request for aid for integration purposes presently being processed by the respondent Board to the federal government.

so that they may be able to be filed simultaneously with this court. Until such filing, such reports shall remain confidential and private. Because of the importance the court attaches to these opinions it is imperative that each opinion be fully documented and supported by reference to the materials and facts forming the basis of such opinion.

It is necessary that the opinion be capable of being tested by an inspection of the report and by cross-examination at any hearing.

The court on the motion of the petitioners is adding as an additional issue to be examined by the experts the following: What effect has the Board's plan upon the requirement of the district to provide bilingual programs and what effect would any suggested amendment made by the witness have upon those programs?

The witnesses' attention is expressly directed toward prompt compliance with Issue (f). It is requested of the witnesses that Issue (e) be reported on at the same time as Issue (f).

The identity of the witnesses so appointed are:

Thomas Fraser Pettigrew	Beatriz Arias
Gary Orfield	Robert Crain
Bernard Gifford	Elwood Hain, Jr.
Reynolds Farley	Francine Rabinovitz

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 22, 1978

Paul Egly
Judge of the Superior Court

DEPT. 76

Date Feb. 22, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halvorson, David Peterson, Robert G. Damus

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for INTERVENORS, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey I. Saferstein for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, PUSTOP

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

REFEREE-Monroe Price

(AO)

Order re Appointment of Expert Witnesses Pursuant to Section 730 of the Evidence Code

Please refer to the attached MINUTE ORDER of the Court.

Counsel notified this date to pick up Court's Minute Order by the clerk.

Copies of the Court's Minute Order mailed to all Amicus Curiae.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Feb. 22, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. EAST L

Date: May 12, 1978

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	M. MITCHELL	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON	Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)	

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff

Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. Motions (Continued from page 2)

Intervenor's, BUSTOP, Oral Motion for Order to File Transcript of March 11, 1978 Proceedings

Intervenor to file transcript as an exhibit or comply with section 201 of the Court Rules

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 12, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 9/75
B-227

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. EAST L

Date: May 12, 1978

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	M. MITCHELL	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON	Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)	

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al — Counsel for Plaintiff

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles — Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. Motions (Continued from Page 1)

Motion of Intervenor, INTEGRATION PROJECT, for the Amendment of the Pre-Trial Order to Add the Additional Issue of a Metropolitan Plan to be Reported On by all the Experts to this Court	DENIED.
Petitioner's Motion for Court Implementation of a Monitoring Committee	OFF CALENDAR subject to being reset.
Motion of Intervenor, BUSTOP, for an Order Clarifying the Court's Minute Order of February 7, 1978	DENIED.
Motion of Intervenor, BUSTOP, for Orders: 1) Disqualifying Appointed Experts Crain, Pettigrew and Orfield	DENIED.
and 2, Limiting Communications With Appointed Experts by Anyone Connected With This Litigation	DENIED.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 12, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT.

76C76E - 9/75
B-227

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. EAST L

Date: May 12, 1978

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	M. MITCHELL	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON	Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)	

1

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Virgil Roberts

Counsel for Defendant: Mark Rosenbaum, Joseph Duff, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson, Robert G. Damus

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for INTERVENORS, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey Saferstein for Intervenor, BEST

Carol Lavender, Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per. and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

REFEREE-Monroe Price

(AO)

Court's Motion to Confirm Members of the Monitoring Committee

Court to prepare a draft for the charge to the monitoring committee by May 15, 1978 and counsel to reply by May 22, 1978.

Motion by Intervenor, INTEGRATION PROJECT, for Attorney Fees

Applications for Attorney Fees to be filed by June 2, 1977. Hearing is set for June 23, 1978 at 10:00 in department EAST L.

Motion of Intervenor, INTEGRATION PROJECT, for Amendment of the Pre-Trial Order to Add An Additional Asia-American Expert

The names of Doctor Chong Kook Park, Helen Brown and Doris Wong are submitted to the Court. Court takes matter under SUBMISSION.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 12, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. EAST L

Date May 24, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter
1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Virgil Roberts

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles Counsel for Defendant: Mark Rosenbaum, Joseph Duff, G. William Shea, ~~Jerry F. Halverson~~ David Peterson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher and Robert Stern for INTERVENORS, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine and Harvey Saferstein for INTERVENOR, BEST

Carol Lavender, Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Intervenor's, BUSTOP, Objection to the Appointment of Certain Nominees

Objections of BUSTOP to the nominees Daniel Garcia and Gertrude Hatter are DENIED. It appears that Harvey Saferstein is not a partner of Munger, Tolles and Rickerhauser. Mrs. Hatter is not Disqualified by reason of CCP 641.

Copies of this minute order mailed to counsel and Amicus Curiae this date.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 24, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. EAST L

Date May 26, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter

2 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854

Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al — Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles — Counsel for Defendant: G. William Shea

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine for Intervenor, BEST

Carol Lavender, Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Nunc Pro Tunc Minute Order (AO)

It appearing to the Court that through inadvertance and clerical error the minute order of May 12, 1978 does not properly reflect the Court's order and is amended nunc pro tunc as of May 12, 1978 to ADD "Without Prejudice to Raising it at the Appropriate Time" as follows:

Motion of Intervenor, BUSTOP, for Orders:

1) Disqualifying Appointed Experts Crain, Pettigrew and Orfield — DENIED, "Without Prejudice to Raising it at the Appropriate Time".

Copies of this minute order mailed to counsel this date.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 26, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. EAST L

Date May 26, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter
1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al Counsel for Plaintiff Fred Okrand

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles Counsel for Defendant G. William Shea

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Carol Lavender, Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, nd Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

REFEREE-Monroe Price

~~XXTXXXXNXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~ (AO)

Order on 5-12-78 Submission

Motion of INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT, for Amendment of the Pre-Trial Order to Add an Additional Asia-American Expert DENIED.

Copies of this minute order mailed to counsel this date.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 26, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

76M314D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. EAST L

Date May 31, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | HERBERT CANNON, Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al Plaintiff

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles Defendant

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Virgil Roberts

Counsel for Defendant: Joseph Duff, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Carol Lavender, Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

REFEREE-Monroe Price

(AO)

Petitioner's Motion for Further Ofder of Compliance by Respondent With July 5, 1977 Minute Order

Motion is argued and SUBMITTED.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 31, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

76M314D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. EAST L

Date June 23, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON , Reporter
1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Marck Rosenbaum, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Carol Lavender, Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

REFEREE-Monroe Price

(AO)

Petitioners' Motion for Interim Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs and Disbursements — SUBMITTED.

Petitioners' Application for Interim Award of Additional Attorney Fees, costs and Disbursements — SUBMITTED.

Intervenors' Loveland and Keipps Motion for Attorney Fees for Counsel — SUBMITTED.

Intervenor's, BEST, Motion for Attorney Fees — SUBMITTED.

Intervenor's, BUSTOP, Motion for Order Shortening Time for Responses to Interrogatories and Requests for Admissions — CONTINUED to June 26, 1978 at 1:30 PM.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 23, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT.

76M514D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. EAST L

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Date June 29, 1978

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk

M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854

Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al — Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles — Counsel for Defendant: G. William Shea

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Cliff Fridkis Arthur Goldberg Raymonf Fisher Jack D. Fine
John Caughey, in Pro-Per Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per
H. Elizabeth Harris

Order re Filing of Briefs (AO)

Failing a stipulation prior to July 6, 1978 at 9:00 AM, oral motions will be entertained by this Court in behalf of any counsel, or party, on that date and time respecting the time to be granted the responding parties to the Preliminary Injunction for the filing of reply briefs and to the moving party for any further briefs in support of the Injunction.

Copies of this minute order mailed to counsel this date.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 29, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date July 19, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | J. Hensgen, Deputy Clerk

C. Stamey Deputy Sheriff | H. Cannon, Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854

Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris For AMICUS CURIAE Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine INTERVENOR, BEST

Carol Lavender, Thomas F. Bartman & Cliff Fridkis For INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

AMICUS CURIAE John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg For INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, In Pro Per and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

REFEREE-Monroe Price

IntervenorBustop's Motion for Order Staying Implementation of Plan 2

Angument of motion is commenced.

Continued to July 20, 1978, 10:00 a.m., in this department.

Exhibit PPP (Ethnic Survey) shall be admitted into evidence if proof of service is filed.

DEPT. 76

MINUTES ENTERED
7/19/78
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date July 20, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | J. Hensgen, Deputy Clerk
C. Stamey Deputy Sheriff | H. Cannon, Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854

Mary Ellen Crawford, etc., et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris For AMICUS CURIAE Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine INTERVENOR, BEST

Carol Lavender, Thomas F. Bartman & Cliff Fridkis For INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

AMICUS CURIAE John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg For INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, In Pro Per and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Intervenor Bustop's Motion for Order Staying Implemention of Plan 2

Motion argued.

Court orders Brief by Bustop re: 14th Amendment rights, filed no later than July 26, 1978

Closing Briefs to be filed on or before August 2, 1978, at which time the matter will stand submitted.

DEPT. 76

MINUTES ENTERED
7/20/78
COUNTY CLERK

76M314D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 76

Date July 28, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | J. Hensgen , Deputy Clerk
A. Mitchell Deputy Sheriff | None , Reporter
2 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822 854

MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD, etc., et al
vs
BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Mark D. Rosenbaum, Mary Ellen Gale

Counsel for Defendant: Lynn Pineda, Edward M. Medvene, William G. Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine for Intervenor, Best

Carol Lavender, Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

ARTHUR L. GOLDBERG For INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick in Pro-Per and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

REFEREE-Monroe Price

(AO)

Petitioner's Motion for further Order of Compliance by Respondent with July 5, 1977 Minute Order

Motion Denied.

Copies of minute order mailed to counsel this date.

DEPT. 76

MINUTES ENTERED
7/28/78
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Aug. 2, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | NONE , Reporter
1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

£ 822 854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al Plaintiff
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles Defendant

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff
Counsel for Defendant: Mark D. Rosenbaum, William G. Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, ~~David Peterson~~

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

Jack D. Fine for Intervenor, BEST

Carol Lavender, Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, Integration Project

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Referee, Monroe Price

64

Order to File Document (AO)

There having been furnished to this Court by the respondent on July 28, 1978, Appendix VII, Administrative Details and Certain Adjustments in the Implementation of Plan 2, It Is Hereby Ordered Filed With Exhibit 000. (Plan 2)

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Aug. 2, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. 76

Date Sept. 1, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX, Deputy Clerk
M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | NONE, Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, et al, etc.

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff Fred Okrand

Counsel for Defendant G. William Shea

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Arthur L. Goldberg Raymond C. Fisher Cliff Fridkis Jack D. Fine

Referee-Monroe Price

Order re Additional Appointments to the Monitoring Committee (AO)

Because of the apparent urgency to have in place a full Monitoring Committee, and at the request of Referee, Monroe Price, Gordon Davidson is removed by reason of his resignation from the Monitoring Committee.

The following persons are added by the reason of the same Request:

Judy James, Rose Mary Nastasia and Julia Nathanson.

Such appointments are subject to objections by the parties hereto.

Time for objections to be filed within one (1) week from date herein. If no objections are filed, or if filed, and not sustained, said appointments to be confirmed until further order of Court.

Pendding the filing of any objections, of hearing thereon, said newly appointed committee members are authorized to act in the capacity to which appointed.

Copies of this minute order and copies of letter dated August 21, 1978 from the Court Referee mailed to counsel this date.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Sept. 1, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT.

Date Sept. 1, 1978 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk

M. MITCHELL Deputy Sheriff | KOHR , Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854

Mary Ellen Crawford, et al, etc. Counsel for Plaintiff Fred Grand

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles Counsel for Defendant G. William Shea

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Arthur L. Goldberg Raymond C. Fisher Cliff Fridkis Jack D. Fine

Referee-Monroe Price

Order re Additional Appointments to the Monitoring Committee (AO)

Because of the apparent urgency to have in place a full Monitoring Committee, and at the request of Referee, Monroe Price, Gordon Davidson is removed by reason of his resignation from the Monitoring Committee.

The following persons are added by the reason of the same Request:

Judy James, Rose Mary Nastasia and Julia Nathanson.

Such appointments are subject to objections by the parties hereto.

Time for objections to be filed within one (1) week from date herein. If no objections are filed, or if filed, and not sustained, said appointment to be confirmed until further order of Court.

Pending the filing of any objections, of hearing thereon, said newly appointed committee members are authorized to act in the capacity to which appointed.

Copies of this minute order and copies of letter dated August 21, 1978 from the Court Referee mailed to counsel this date.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Sept. 1, 1978
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75 MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 3

Date May 8, 1979 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON , Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854

Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher, for INTERVENORS, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Hartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Arthur L. Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Saniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Pre-Trial Conference (AO)

64

Court and counsel confer in chambers.

No orders are made at this time.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 8, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

76M414D2 - 4/75

MINUTE ORDER

DEPT. 3

Date May 11, 1979 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX , Deputy Clerk
M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff | D. RIGBY , Reporter

4 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Marck Rosenbaum, G. William Shea, ~~Jerry F. Halverson~~ David Peterson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Arthur L. Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Order re Deposition (AO)

60

The deposition of Phil Jordan is ordered reset for 9:30 AM on Tuesday, May 15, 1979 at the offices of the Board of Education. If Phil Jordan cannot appear at his deposition, his counsel, David Peterson, is ordered to submit to the Court a Doctor's Affidavit as to his health.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 11, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date May 30, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON	Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)	

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Marck Rosenbaum, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson, Antonio Rodriguez

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for Intervenors, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Reform Project

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for Intervenor, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for Intervenor, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey & Arthur L. Goldberg for Intervenor, INTEGRATION PROJECT

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

AO

Respondent's Motion for Continuance of Trial Date (June 4, 1979)

GRANTED, in that the trial date of June 4, 1979 is VACATED at this time and a Pre-Trial Hearing is set for June 25, 1979 at 10:00 AM.
See attached order of the Court.

76C76E - 9/75
B-227

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
May 30, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date June 8, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE HERBERTH. COX DEPUTY CLERK _____

HONORABLE _____ JUDGE PRO TEM _____

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff H. CANNON Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Marck Rosenbaum, Antonio Rodriguez, G. William Shea, Jerry P. Halverson, David Peterson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher, for INTERVENOR, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. Mckinsey and Arthur L. Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

Amicus Curiae, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

REFEREE-Monroe Price

(AO)

Motion of Intervenor, Integration Project, for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint in Intervention

Motion is argued and taken under SUBMISSION by the Court.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 8, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT.

76C76E - 9/75
8-227

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: June 21, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM	
	NONE	Deputy Sheriff	NONE

DEPUTY CLERK _____

_____

Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

2

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark D. Rosenbaum, Antonio Rodriguez, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher, for INTERVENOR, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur L. Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Minute Order on Respondent's Motion re Racially Isolated Minority Schools (AO)

The respondent, School Board, has by the attached, requested an approval of the listed programs and expenditures. Such programs are facially consistent with positions of the previously Court approved plan and within the aims of the mandate issued in this case. Because the execution of these programs and examination of their compliance, reasonableness, and feasibility, and any objections thereto, are subjects of pending hearings, any imprimatur of specific and complete Court approval is not given in advance, herein. However, the subject matter and the avowed object of the expenditures appear consistent with conduct complying with the mandate.

Copies of this minute order, and attachments thereto, are mailed to counsel this date.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 21, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: June 25, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM

M. WAKER Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark D. Rosenbaum, Antonio Rodriguez, Harry M. Reynolds, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson, Judd Jordan

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Jack B. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur L. Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents od East Los Angeles

Lawrence B. Trygstad

REFEREE-Monroe Price

(AO)

Pre-Trial Conferende — CONTINUED to July 2, 1979 at 10:00 AM

Motion of UTLA to Intervene — Counsel to file Amended Complaint of Intervention by July 16, 1979. Notice Waived.

Petitioner's Motion for Attorney's Fees — SUBMITTED.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 25, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: June 28, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM	
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	NONE

DEPUTY CLERK _____

Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark D. Rosenbaum, Antonio Rodriguez, Harry M. Reynolds

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur L. Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson, Judd Jordan

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Lawrence B. Trygstad

REFEREE-Monroe Price

(AO)

Order on June 8, 1979 Submission

Motion of Intervenor, Integration Project, for Leave to File Second Amended Com-Plaint in Intervention

Motion of Integration Project to Amend their Complaint to add the State of California as an additional party and to require this Court to consider a Metropolitan Integration Plan as a remedy in this case is DENIED, without prejudice, for the reasons set forth in the Court's Minute Order of May 11, 1979.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
June 28, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: July 2, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX
DEPUTY CLERK			
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM	
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON
Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)			

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark D. Rosenbaum, Antonio Rodriguez

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur L. Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson, Judd Jordan

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Lawrence B. Trygstad

REFEREE-Monroe Price

(AO)

Pre-Trial Conference

Conference held and CONTINUED to July 3, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

MINUTES ENTERED
July 2, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT.

76C76E - 5/74

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: July 3, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM	
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON

DEPUTY CLERK _____

_____

Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark D. Rosenbaum, Antonio Rodriguez

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Robert M. Loveland and Mary Keipp

N. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur L. Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Lawrence B. Trygstad

REFEREE, Monroe Price

(AO)

Pre-Trial Conference

Conference held and CONTINUED to July 5, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
July 3, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: July 5, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM	
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON

DEPUTY CLERK _____

_____

Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark D. Rosenbaum, Antonio Rodriguez, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

N. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur L. Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per- and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Lawrence B. Trygstad

REFEREE-Monroe Price

(AO)

Pre-Trial Conference

Conference held and CONTINUED to July 6, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

MINUTES ENTERED
July 5, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT.

76C76E - 5/74

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: July 6, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM	
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON

DEPUTY CLERK _____

Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark D. Rosenbaum, Antonio Rodriguez

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

N. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur L. Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Lawrence B. Trygstad

REFEREE-Monroe Price

(AO)

Pre-Trial Conference

Matter taken under SUBMISSION.

MINUTES ENTERED
July 6, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: July 23, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY, JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK
HONORABLE	JUDGE PRO TEM		
	M. WALKER, Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON	Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark D. Rosenbaum, Antonio Rodriguez

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur L. Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David Peterson

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Lawrence B. Trugstad

REFEREE-Monroe Price

(AO)

Petitioners' Motion to Enjoin the Reassignment of Minority Students to Segregated Ninth Grade Classes

CONTINUED to July 24, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

MINUTES ENTERED
July 23, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: July 24, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON	Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)	

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark D. Rosenbaum, Antonio Rodriguez

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Authur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

G. William Shea, Jeffy F. Halverson, David Peterson

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Lawrence B. Trygstad

REFEREE-Monroe Price

(AO)

Petitioners' Motion to Enjoin the Reassignment of Minority Students to Segregated Ninth Grade Classes

Matter to be SUBMITTED as of Friday, July 27, 1979

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
July 24, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: July 31, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	A. CANNON	Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)	

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark D. Rosenbaum, Antonio Rodriguez, G. William Shea, ~~Jerry F. Halverson~~, David Peterson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Lawrence B. Trygstad for Plaintiffs' in Intervention UTLA

REFEREE-Monroe Price

(AO)

Respondent's Motion for Authorization to Proceed With Portions of Plan 2

OFF CALENDAR

Motion of Plaintiffs' in Intervention, UTLA, for Leave to Intervene

DENIED.
Moving party to give notice.

76C76E - 5'74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
July 31, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: Oct. 10, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM	
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	N. HARRIS Reporter

1

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark D. Rosenbaum, Antonio Rodriguez, William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David T. Peterson, Peter W. James

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Lawrence B. Trugstad for INTERVENOR, UTLA

REFEREE-Monroe Price

(AO)

Motion of Intervenor, Integration Project, to Find the Respondent, Board of Education, Recalcitrant

Court finds the motion to be premature and DENIES said motion, without prejudice, and it may be renewed at the conclusion of the evidence.
Notice Waived.

Respondent's oral motion for a one week continuance of the trial is argued and GRANTED. The respondent is ordered to furnish the Court and the parties the results of the Ethnic and Racial Surveys by the close of day Tuesday, October 16, 1979, the Plan by Wednesday, October 17, 1979 and a Pre-Trial Conference will be held on Friday, October 19, 1979 at 10:00 AM. Monday, October 22, 1979 at 10:00 AM Trial will commence.

The Reporter, Nancy Harris, is ordered by the Court to prepare a Transcript of the statements made by counsel, David Peterson. Matter is ordered expedited.

MINUTES ENTERED
Oct. 10, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

Hamilton, Rabinovitz & Szanton, Inc.
POLICY AND MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS

3345 WILSHIRE BLVD.
SUITE 402
LOS ANGELES, CA 90010
(213) 387-2333

October 18, 1979

Honorable Paul Egly
Judge of the Superior Court
of the County of Los Angeles
Department 3
111 North Hill Street
Los Angeles, CA. 90012

Dear Judge Egly:

We are in the final stages of computer simulation of the seventeen variations of desegregation plans that were included in our original assignment. This permits final establishment of costs of expert services required (beyond our fee, which remains unchanged). It also raises questions about the nature of the publication and presentation of the Report, and provision for follow-up work if you feel that such work would be useful. This letter transmits for your consideration cost estimates for these items as well.

With respect to the initial assignment, there are three categories of additional cost, which total $31,560. These are shown in the attached proposed amendment to the original project budget. The first element reflects additional time put in by the simulation team, in part because of increased complexity involved in the final form of the task, and in part because of special reprogramming needs that have developed in order to keep simulation of several of the variations within the core memory capacity of the District's computer.

The second element is a contingency item that may or may not be needed. Even with the aforementioned reprogramming, we are still taxing the outer limits of the District's machine and may at any time be required to shift one or more of the simulations to a larger computer. We have arranged with The Rand Corporation to make such a shift when and if needed. The funds shown (or whatever portion of them is needed) will be used to pay for the Rand computer time if it proves necessary. We will, of course, try to avoid this cost if it can be avoided without fatal damage to the utility of the simulations.

The third element covers the preparation of tables, slides and charts. The number of variations now included in the simulations makes presentation of the results in comprehensible form an extremely difficult task. We are using the services

of a graphic designer to assist us in preparing tables suitable to communicating simulation results clearly. Graphic services, including both design and production, can be provided at a total cost of $7,160.

These elements add up to the $31,560 (of which $10,800 is a contingency item) that is necessary to complete our present work. Once again, these are actual costs that involve no fee or other revenue to us.

Also attached is a tentative budget for presentation of simulation results. This budget totals $25,255. The first item in it is straightforward, covering four days of appearances for members of the simulation team other than the co-directors. Our existing fee already covers four days of appearances to present the simulation results, and no additional change is contemplated if our presentation remains within these bounds. We do, however, believe that it would be useful to have other members of the team present in the courtroom during our presentation to provide information on technical issues that may arise.

Beyond this item it is extremely difficult to predict what the cost of responding to questions put by the court and the parties may be, particularly if they require variations on the simulations. The simulations will provide data on a large number of variables about which there is considerable discussion and debate. We have set parameters and estimated variables on the basis of the best data model techniques available. Nevertheless, the court and the parties may want to introduce new models or different assumptions. We are fully prepared to vary most of the elements in the simulations at the request of the court. Indeed, the cost of testing different assumptions, and the time required for reprogramming and reprocessing, is relatively small now that the initial investment in the construction of the simulations has been made.

We have budgeted two kinds of expenses for this form of response. One item is the cost of providing a member of the simulation team as an observer/participant for the period during which the hearings are conducted. This person would catalogue requests for information, determine if the requisite data are already available and, if so, arrange for the result that needs to be provided.

The other item is an estimate of the cost of producing new variations. Obviously, this cost is extremely difficult to estimate in advance since it depends on the number and the types of requests that are made. We estimate that each full variation will cost approximately $2,000 and have budgeted five such cycles

at a cost of $10,000. Once again, however, the cost of producing new variations will depend on what is requested, and we really have little basis at present to indicate how great the volume of such requests may be.

The final item in the projected budget concerns publication of the Report. The original budget already covers the preparation of five copies of the Report. However, it is possible that a much larger number of Reports may be desired by the parties and the court. We have therefore included an item covering the cost of printing, in the available time, 250 copies of the Report and the printer's estimate of the cost of producing 500 copies, 1000 copies, and additional increments of 100 copies. We will need to be instructed as to what to do with regard to printing Reports beyond the five copies originally contemplated.

The projected budget for presentation and variations, including printing, totals $25,255. Again, however, this budget could change radically if markedly longer presentation time, numbers of variations, or numbers of printed reports are desired.

We hope these estimates present you with the materials you need to make decisions with respect to the current project and pursuit of the next phase. If further information is needed, we will be happy to provide it.

Sincerely,

Francine F Rabinovitz

Francine F. Rabinovitz
Vice President

FFR:sef
Attachments: 2

BUDGET AMENDMENT

	Current	Additional	Total
Consultants	$41,850	$13,600	$55,450
Contingency Computer Time	10,700	10,800	21,500
Graphics	-0-	7,160	7,160
Total New Request		31,560	
PROJECT TOTAL	$139,650	$31,560	$171,210

PROJECTED BUDGET FOR PRESENTATION AND VARIATIONS

Team Presentations	$ 3,600
Court Observer	8,415
Professional Services (5 variations)	10,000
Total	$22,015
Printing (250 copies)*	3,240
TOTAL	$25,255

* The prices for additional copies are as follows:

500 copies - $5,122
1000 copies - $8,886
additional increments of 100 - $626

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: Oct. 19, 1979

HONORABLE	PHL EGLY JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK
HONORABLE	JUDGE PRO TEM		
	M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff	NONE	Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark D. Rosenbaum, Antonio Rodriguez, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David T. Peterson, Peter W. James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Lawrence B. Trygstad for INTERVENOR, UTLA

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Pre-Trial Conference (AO)

Conference held.
Trial to commence October 22,1979 at 10:00 AM.

Court further orders as follows:

Pursuant to receipt of the attached letter, it is ordered that the sum of $36,015.00 be paid forthwith by the respondent to United Way for and in behalf of Hamilton, Rabinovitz & Szanton, Inc., Policy and Management Consultants, 3345 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 402, Los Angeles, California, 90010, to be paid to them by United Way upon receipt of vouchers showing the expenditures, or need for expenditures, for the sums for consultants, graphics, team presentations and court observer. The balance of the items, to wit, contingency computer time and professiona Services (5 variations) will be paid by respondent in the same manner when such services are utilized by the Court and parties.

Copies of this minute order mailed to counsel for the respondent and to Hamilton, Rabinovitz & Szanton, Inc.

MINUTES ENTERED
Oct. 19, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT.

76C76E - 5/74

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: October 22, 1979

HONORABLE	PAL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	M. WAKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON & N. HARRIS	Reporter	

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Antonio Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David T. Peterson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Prp-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Lawrence B. Trygstad for INTERVENOR, UTLA

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Cause is called for trial.

Respondent's Motion for continuance is DENIED.

Counsel for respondent and petitioner make opening statements.

Court's exhibits II-1 and II-2 (Racialand Ethnic Enrollments) are admitted into evidence.

Trial is CONTINUED to October 23, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

A transcript of this trial, including copies for all parties who orders same, is ordered by the Court to be prepared by the Court Reporters, daily.

MINUTES ENTERED
Oct. 22, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: October 23, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	M. WAKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON & N. HARRIS	Reporter	

1

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Antonio Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, Jerry F. Halverson, David T. Peterson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for Intervenor, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Lawrence B. Trygstad for INTERVENOR, UTLA

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from October 22, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

Counsel for BUSTOP AND INTEGRATION PROJECT make their opening statements.

Trial is RECESSED to October 24, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED

COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: Oct. 25, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al Counsel for Plaintiff

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. Trial (CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1)

on Preliminary Report of October 5, 1979), II-34 (Simulated Enrollment of CM Junior High Schools in Pairs/Clusters With Grade 9 Included in Mandatory Student Reassignment Based on October 5, 1979 Enrollment Survey), II-35 (Simulated Enrollment at OW Junior High Schools in Pairs/Clusters With Grade 9 Included in Mandatory Student Reassignment Based on October 5, 1979 Enrollment Survey), II-36 (Senior High Schools With Combined Minority Enrollments Greater than 70%-10/5/79 Preliminary Data) are marked for identification. CORRECTION-Exhibit II-36 is admitted into evidence. Court's exhibits II-37 (Senior High Schools With 30%-70% Other White Enrollment-10/5/79 Preliminary Date), II-38 (Senior High Schools With Other White Enrollment Greater Than 70% With and Without PWT-10/5/79 Preliminary Report), II-39 (Senior High Schools With Other White Enrollment Greater Than 70%-10/5/79 Preliminary Data), II-55 (Map of Simulation of High School Pairings), II-56 (Map of OW Senior High Schools 70.1% and Over in 1966), II-44 (Triads With Grade Center Programs-1978 and 1979 Enrollment) are admitted into evidence. Court's Exhibit II-42 (Number of OW Students Available for Desegregation at 50%-50% OW/CM Ratio in Schools Which OWE Integrated Status to PWT Program-Total School Enrollment), II-45 (Comparative Data - Data OW Sending and CM Receiving Schools, Elementary Pairs/Clusters, Participating Grades), II-33 (Grade K-3 District Enrollment Percentage, 1976-1979), II-23-a (District-Wide Enrollment Data, 1976-1979), II-24 (Comparison of District Enrollment, October 1976 to October 1977), II-25 (Comparison of District Enrollment, October 1977 to October 1978), II-26-b (Comparison of District Enrollment, October 1976 to October 1979) and II-27-a (Comparison of District Enrollment, October 1978 to October 1979) are admitted into evidence.

Trial is RECESSED to October 29, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

MINUTES ENTERED
Oct. 25, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT.

76C76E - 5/74

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: Oct. 25, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff | M. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Rarrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Lawrence B. Trygstad for INTERVENOR, UTLA

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from October 24, 1979 with allpresent as heretodore.

George T. Edmiston resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Court's exhibit II-31 (Preliminary Enrollment Report, Participating Grades in Pairs, Clusters, and Mid-Sites) is marked for identification only. Court's exhibits II-40-b (OW Schools in Pairs/Clusters in Descending Order of Percent of OW Participation in Participating Grades Compared to Percent of Total OW Enrollment in 1977), II-52 (CM Schools in Pairs/Clusters in Descending Order of Percent of OW Participation in Participating Grades Compared to Percent of Total OW Enrollment in 1977), II-17 (Enrollment Comparison Participating Grades), II-32 (Number of OW Students Available for Desegregation at 50%-50% Ratio from Currently Integrated Elementary Schools Reporting 50%-59.9% OW and 60%-70% OW Enrollment on Preliminary Report of October 5, 1977) are admitted into evidence. CORRECTION-Exhibit II-32, only, is marked for identification, only. Court's exhibits II-32-b (Number of OW Students Available for Desegregation at 50%-50% Ratio from Currently Integrated Schools Reporting 50%-59.9% OW and 60%-70% OW Enrollment

CONTINUED ON PAGE 2

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Oct. 25, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: Oct. 26, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	NONE	Deputy Sheriff	NONE	Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)	

2

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford,etc, et al — Counsel for Plaintiff

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles — Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. Nunc Pro Tunc Order (AO)

It appearing to the Court that through inadvertance and clerical error the minute order of October 22, 1979 does not properly reflect the Cort's order and is amended nunc pro tunc as of October 22, 1979 by ADDING the following:

"It Is Further Ordered that the respondent, Board of Education, pay for the original and copies of the daily transcript of each day's hearing with each of the parties reimbursing the respondent, Board of Education, for their copy of the daily transcript."

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Oct. 26, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: Oct. 26, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK _____

HONORABLE _____ JUDGE PRO TEM | _____

NONE Deputy Sheriff | NONE Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al — Counsel for Plaintiff

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles — Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. Nunc Pro Tunc Order (AO)

It appearing to the Court that through inadvertance and clerical error the minute order of October 25, 1979 does not properly reflect the Court's Order and is amended nunc pro tunc as of October 25, 1979 as follows:

Referring to exhibits II-40-b, II-52, II-17, II- 36, II-37, II-38 and II-39, after the words Admitted into Evidence, ADD the following- "for all pursposes except to show that the respondent relied upon this exhibit as a bais for its resolution of September 24, 1979";

Referring to exhibit II-42, after the words Admitted into Evidence, ADD the following-Counsel stipulate that the calculations therein, are true and correct and the statistical information therein, forming the basis for the calculations, is true and correst, but, that the attrition rate shown is not admitted for failure of foundation";

Referring to exhibit II-45, after the words Admitted into Evidence, ADD the following-"except as to travel time".

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Oct. 26, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: Oct. 29, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK
HONORABLE	JUDGE PRO TEM		
	M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff	d. CANNON & N. HARRIS	Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff

Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. Trial (CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1)

II-62 (Information Profile: Elementary and Junior High Enrollment as of October, 1977), II-63 (Information Profile: Schools With 30% -50% OW Enrollment as of October, 1977), II-60 (Historical Racial-Ethnic Data-Elementary Schools (Including Midsites) 1966-1978), II-61 (Historical Racial-Ethnic Data - (Junior & Senior High Schools-(1966-1978) and II-64 (School Enrollment Survey, September 20, 1979) are admitted into evidence.

On respondent's motion, Court orders exhibit II-31 admitted into evidence, and exhibit II-28 is removed and exhibit II-28-a is admitted into evidence in its place.

Court's exhibits II-377 (Doctor Ferraro's Noticed Motion Presented on September 24, 1979), II-394 (Magnets-Minority Student Enrollment), II-383 (Student Enrollment Report) and II-395 (Reduction in Minority Student Enrollment Due to Board Mandatory Plan, Percentage Minority) are admitted into evidence.

Court's exhibits II-334 (Chart of Magnets Minority Student Enrollment) and II-384 (Schools and Grades With Between 50-59% White Enrollment, October, 1979) are marked for identification, only.

Trial is RECESSED to October 30, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

MINUTES ENTERED
Oct. 29, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: Oct. 29, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK _____

HONORABLE _____ JUDGE PRO TEM _____

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff L. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff, Virgil Roberts

Counsel for Defendant: Mark Rosenbaum, Anthont Rodriguez, Harold Hart-NIBBRIG, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Jack Di Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Lawrence B. Trygstad for INTERVENOR, UTLA and Janis Gordon Referee-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from October 25, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

George T. Edmiston resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Referring to exhibits II-40-b, II-52, II-17, II-36, II-37, II-38 and II-39, Court finds an accurate foundation has been laid.

On respondent's motion, Court orders exhibits II-32 and II-32-b admitted into evidence.

Corrected copies of exhibit II-22-a and II-23-a are admitted into evidence and said exhibits admitted into evidence on October 24, 1979 and October 25, 1979, respectively, are withdrawn. Further, exhibit II-26-c, replaces exhibit II-26-b, exhibit II-27-b replaces exhibit II-27-a, and exhibit II=33-a replaces exhibit II-33.

Court's exhibits II-29 (District Racial and Ethnic Enrollment Percentage, 1966-1979, Grades K-12), II-30 (October, 1979 Enrollment in Grades 1-3, in Schools Participating in Pairs, Clusters and Midsites),

CONTINUED ON PAGE 2

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Oct. 29, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: Oct. 30, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK _____

HONORABLE _____ JUDGE PRO TEM | _____

M. WAKER Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff

Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. Trial (CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1)

Petitioner's Demurrer to First Amended Complaint of Intervenor, UTLA

SUSTAINED, for failure to state a cause of action, with 10 days leave to amend.
Petitioner to give notice.

UTLA is allowed to remain and participate in the trial.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Oct. 30, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPT. 3

Date: Oct. 30, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff | A. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

Amicus Curiae, John Caughey

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Lawrence B. Tygstad and Janis Gordon for INTERVENOR, UTLA

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from October 29, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

George T. Edmiston resumes the stand and his cross-examination continues.

On ~~xxxxxxxxxxx~~ petitioner's motion, Court orders exhibit II-384 admitted into evidence.

Court's exhibits II-385 (Schools and Grades With 60% White Enrollment, October, 1979, K-3, Pairs & Clusters), II-386 (Schools and Grades With 60% White Enrollment, October, 1979, K-3, Pairs & Clusters), II-387 (Schools and Grades With 60% White Enrollment, October, 1979, K-3, Pairs and Clusters), II-388 (Schools and Grades With 60% White Enrollment, October, 1979, Junior Highs & 9th. Grade), II-389 (Schools and Grades With 60% Enrollment, October, 1979, K-4 Midsite Senders), II-390 (Schools and Grades With 60% White Enrollment, October, 1979, High Schools) and II-391 (Schools and Grades With Between 50% and 59% White Enrollment) are admitted into evidence. Court's exhibit II-392 (Schools and Grades With Greater Than 60% White Enrollment, October 1979 (Elementary Schools))

CONTINUED ON PAGE 2

MINUTES ENTERED
Oct. 30, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPT. 3

Date: October 31, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM |

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, C. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Janis Gordon for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from October 30, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

George T. Edmiston resumes the stand and his cross-examination continues.

Court's exhibits II-68 (Totals From Petitioner's Exhibits #384-392) and II-66 (Comparison of Enrollment Percentages at Current Integrated Schools, 1966, 1976, 1978, 1979) are admitted into evidence.

Max Marney is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent. Court's exhibits II-71 (Route Map of Miles and Time to Certain Schools), II-72 (Route Map of Miles and Time to Certain Schools) and II-70 (Route Sheet #4248) are admitted into evidence. Court's exhibit II-18 (Pair/Cluster and Mid-Site One-Way Travel Time Summary, First Pick-Up to Arrival at Schools, 1978-1979) is marked for identification, only.

Court has divided the parties into sides and finds the interest of the Board and BUSTOP are sufficiently aligned so as to change the order of proof, and the Pre-Trial Order is hereby amended in the following respects: The Board shall proceed in support of the plan, and to be followed by BUSTOP; The next order of proof following that will be Petitioners, Project Integration, BEST and UTLA.

Trial is RECESSED to November 1, 1979 at 10:00 AM. DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Oct. 31, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 5/74

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: Nov. 1, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
2	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON & N. HARRIS	Reporter	(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al — Counsel for Plaintiff

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles — Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. Nunc Pro Tunc Order (AO)

It appearing to the Court that through inadvertance and clerical error the minute of October 22, 1979 does not properly reflect the Court's order and is amended Nunc Pro Tunc as of October 22, 1979 to ADD the following:

In addition, It Is Further Ordered that the Board of Education pay for the services of the Second Court Reporter her fees in the amount of $111.58 per day, with the parties ordering a daily transcript reimbursing the Board their share of the above amount.

Copies of this minute order mailed to all counsel this date.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 1, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: Nov. 1, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON & N. HARRIS	Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)	

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al — Counsel for Plaintiff

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles — Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. Trial (CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1) (AO)

Robert Crain is sworn and testifies, in behalf of the respondent.

Court's exhibits II-75 (Permit Information, October 15, 1979), II-75-a (Summary-Permits Issued to Attend One of the 127 Currently Integrated Elementary Schools, 1978-1979), II-76 (Inter-Office Correspondence-Los Angeles City Schools-Subject: Opportunity Transfers Information) and II-77 (Inter-Office Correspondence-Los Angeles City Schools-Subject: Student Medical Waiver Information) are admitted into evidence.

Trial is RECESSED to November 2, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 1, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: November 1, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON & N. HARRIS	Reporter	

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

M. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Janis Gordon for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from October 31, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

Max Barney resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

On respondent's motion, Court orders exhibit II-18 admitted into evidence.

Court's exhibits II-401 (Table X-A, Schools in Pairs/Clusters/Mid-Sites in Which First Transportation Pick-Up Precedes the Scheduled Start of Classes at Receiving School by 45 Minutes), II-402 (Table X-B, Schools in Pairs/Clusters/Mid-Sites in Which First Transportation Pick-Up Precedes the Scheduled Start of Classes at Receiving School by 60 Minutes), II-403 (Table X-C, Schools in Pairs/Clusters/Mid-Sites in which First Transportation Pick-Up Precedes the Scheduled Start of Classes at Receiving Schools by 75 Minutes), and II-404 (Table X-D, Schools in Pairs/Clusters/Mid-Sites in Which First Transportation Pick-Up Precedes the Scheduled Start of Classes at Receiving School by 90 Minutes) are admitted into evidence. Court's exhibit II-405 (Route Sheet No. 4410) is marked for identification, only.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 2

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 1, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: Nov. 2, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY, JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK
HONORABLE	JUDGE PRO TEM		
M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON & N. HARRIS	Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Janis Gordon for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from November 1, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

Counsel for Integration Project states on the record that they have no funds to pay for the daily transcripts of this trial.

Robert Crain resumes the stand and his cross-examination continues.

Court's exhibit II-314 (Chapter 2-Transfers, Board Rule) is admitted into evidence.

Court's exhibits II-307 (Bulletin No. 32 (Rev.) May 1, 1978), II-308 (Reference List No. 12, May 1, 1978), II-309 (Reference List No. 11, May 1, 1978), II-315 (Inter-Office Correspondence, Los Angeles City Schools-Subject: Permit Policy, Los Angeles Unified School District), II-322 (Permit Policy Reference List Categories and Procedures)and II-326 (Bulletin No. 32 (Rev.) April 23, 1979) are marked for identification, only.

Trial is RECESSED to November 5, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 2, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT.

76C76E - 5/74

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: Nov. 5, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK _____

HONORABLE _____ JUDGE PRO TEM _____

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff H. CANNON & J. BRAMAN Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C.822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al Counsel for Plaintiff

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. Trial (CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1) (AO)

vs Students Leaving District (October 1977 to October 1978)), II-102-b (Breakdown of White Cohort Loss in Pairs, Clusters and Midsites: Estimated Students Remaining vs Students Leavinf District), II-103 (White Cohort Loss Rates in Elementary Pairs, Clusters and Midsites in Second Year of Plan, October 1978 to October 1979), II-104 (White Enrollment and Cohort Loss Rates in Elementary Pairs, Clusters and Midsites, October 1978 to October, 1979), II-105 (Projected and Actual Desegregation of Minority Schools in Pairs, and Clusters), II-106 (Rates of Non-Attendance for Reassigned White Elementary Students by Ethnicity of Receiving School), II-107 (Percent of Reassigned White Students Not Attending Receiver Schools in Fall, 1978 by Ethnicity of Receiver), II-108 (Factors Affecting White Non-Attendance Rates at Minority Receiving Schools), II-109 (White Non-Attendance Rates at Receiver Schools Adjusted for Distance and Achievement Level) and II-110 (Expected Non-Attendance Rates for Elementary Receiver Schools at Varying Distances and With Varying Achievement Levels (Model I, Period 1 Pairing, 0% Asians) are marked for identification, only.

Trial is RECESSED to November 6, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 5, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: November 5, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK
HONORABLE	JUDGE PRO TEM		
	M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff	J. BRAMAN H. CANNON & ~~XXXXXXXXX~~	Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Janis Gordon for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from November 2, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

Robert Crain resumes the stand and his cross-examination continues.

Court's exhibit II-315-a is admitted into evidence in place of II-315.

Court's exhibits II-397 (Chapter 2-Transfers, Board Rule) and II-398 (Reference List No. 13, May 23, 1978) are admitted into evidence.

On Petitioner's motion, Court orders exhibits II-307, II-308, II-309, II-322 and II-326 admitted into evidence.

David James Armor is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent.

Court's exhibit II-100 (Resume-David J. Armor) is admitted into evidence.

Court's exhibits II-101 (White Cohort Loss Rates in First Year of Plan, October 1977 to October, 1978), II-102 (White Enrollment and Cohort Loss Rates-Fall 1977-1978), II-102-a (Breakdown of White Cohort Loss in Pairs, Clusters, and Midsites: Estimated Students Remaining

CONTINUED ON PAGE 2

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 5, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: Nov. 6, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK _____

HONORABLE _____ JUDGE PRO TEM | _____

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff | M. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

M. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Janis Gordon for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for Intervenor, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trialresumes from November 5,1979 with allpresent asheretofore.

Motion of petitioners and Intervenor, INTEGRATION PROJECT, to exclude and strike the testimony of the witness, David James Armor, is DENIED.

David James Armor resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

On respondent's motion, Cort orders exhibits II-101, II-102, II-102-a, II-102-b, II-103, II-104 and ii-105 admitted into evidence.

Court's exhibit II-111 (White Nonattendance Rates by Achievement Level and Distance of Receiving Schools) is marked for identification, only.

Trial is RECESSED to November 7, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 6, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPT. 3

Date: Nov. 7, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff | M. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Janis Gordon for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from November 6, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

David James Armor resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Respondent moves the Court to admit exhibits II-106, II-107, II-108, II-109, II-110 and II-111 into evidence. Intervenor, Integration Project, and the petitioners, object. Court reserves ruling on the objections and admits said exhibits into evidence pending the conclusion of the testimony of David James Armor.

Court's exhibits II-112 (Projected and Actual White Loss Rates, 1967-1982, With and Without Mandatory Desegregation) and II-113 (Desegregation Indices for LAUSD, 1966 to 1978) are maked for identification only.

Court's exhibits II-114 (1979 Enrollment by Type of School) and II=115 (Projection of LAUSD Enrollments and Desegregation Levels Under Two Mandatory Plans) are marked for identification, only.

Trial is RECESSED to November 8, 1979 at 10:00 AM, DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
NOV 7 1979
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 5/74

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: Nov. 8, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodeiguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Janis Gordon for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from November 7,1979 with all present as heretofore.

David James Armor resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Court's exhibits II-116 (Actual and Projected White Loss Rates, 1977 to 1982, With and Without Mandatory Desegregation) and II-117 (Comparison of Projected Desegregation Levels Under Alternative Voluntary and Mandatory Plans) are marked for identification, only.

Court's exhibit II-118 (Actual and Projected White Loss Rates With and Without Mandatory Desegregation) is marked for identification, only.

Respondent motions the Court to admit exhibits II-112, II-113, II-114, II-115, II-116, II-117 and II-118 into evidence. Court reserves ruling to November 13, 1979, for the receipt of Points and Authorities from counsel for the respondent, and arguement.

Cross-examination of the witness, at this time, will include exhibits II-106 through II-111, only.

Trial is RECESSED to November 15, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 8, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 5/74

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: Nov. 9, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	NONE	Deputy Sheriff	NONE	Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)	

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff

Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. Nunc Pro Tunc Order (AO)

It appearing to the Court that the minute order of October 25, 1979, through inadvertance and clerical error, does not properly reflect the Court's order and is amended nunc pro tunc as of October 25, 1979 to ADD the following:

Court's exhibit 28 (District Racial and Ethnic Enrollment Percentage, 1966-1979, Grades K-6) is admitted into evidence.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 9, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: Nov. 15, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON & N. HARRIS	Reporter	

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Janis Gordon for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from November 8, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

David James Armor resumes the stand and his examination by the respondent continues.

Trial is RECESSED to November 16, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

76C76E - 5'74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 15, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: Nov. 16, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK
HONORABLE	JUDGE PRO TEM		
	NONE Deputy Sheriff	NONE	Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)

2

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al — Counsel for Plaintiff

VS

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles — Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. Nunc Pro Tunc Order

It appearing to the Court that through inadvertance and clerical error the minute order of November 15, 1979 does not properly reflect the Court's order and is amended nunc pro tunc as of November 15, 1979 to ADD the following:

Petitioner's objection on the basis of foundation as to exhibit II-107 is argued by counsel and ruling by the Cart is reserved. Respondent's application for Modification of Court's orders dated February 7, 1978 and May 30, 1979 is argued and ordered filed by the Court. Briefs to be filed by counsel on the applicability of Robbins or upon the timeliness of the application or any other issue which is raised in the application. Orders of Fevruary 7, 1978 and May 30, 1979 to remain in full force and effect pending further hearing. Re the Hamilton-Rabinovitz Simulations, counsel for Integration Project, and any interested party, to file their requests for additional rum s by December 10, 1979.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 16, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 5 '74

DEPT.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: Nov. 16, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON & N. HARRIS	Reporter	

1

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

M. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab, for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from November 15, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

David James Armor resumes the stand and his cross-examination continues

Trial is RECESSED to November 19, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 26, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: Nov. 19, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON & N. HARRIS	Reporter	

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Eichard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from November 16, 1979 with all present as heretofore. Motions by petitioners, and Intervenors, Integration Project and Bustop, to strike portions of the testimony of David James Armor is argued and CONTINUED to November 20, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 19, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: Nov. 20, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Barton and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from November 19, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

Arguement on Motion to Strike Portions of the testimony of David James Armor continues.

Motion is DENIED by the Court.

On respondent's motion, Cort orders exhibits II-112 through II-118 admitted into evidence.

David James Armor resumes the stand and his cross-examination continues

Trial isRECESSED to November 21, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 20, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. #

Date: Nov. 21, 1979

HONORABLE	PAULE EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON & N. HARRIS	Reporter	

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Barton and Cliff Fridis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from November 20, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

David James Armor resumes the stand and his cross-examination continues.

Court VACATES his order admitting exhibit II-118 into evidence.

Trial is RECESSED to November 26, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 21, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT.

76C76E - 5/74

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: Nov. 26, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	J. CANNON & N. HARRIS	Reporter	

1

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Barton and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (A0)

Trial resumes from November 21, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

David James Armor resumes the stand and his cross-examination continues.

Court's exhibit II-306 (Factors Used in Analyzing Non-Attendance Rates) is admitted into evidence.

Trial is RECESSED to November 27, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 26, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT.

76C76E - 5/74

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: Nov. 27, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON & N. HARRIS	Reporter	

1

(Parties and Counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Barton and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from November 26, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

David James Armor resumes the stand and his cross-examination continues.

George C. Shannon is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent.

Court's exhibits II-120 (Permits With Transportation Program Statistical Report Sending Schools, 1977-1978), II-121 (Permits With Transportation Program Statistical Report Receiving Schools, 1977-1978), II-122 (Permits With Transportation Program Statistical Report Sending Schools 1978-1979), II-123 (Permits With Transportation Program Statistical Report Receiving Schools, 1978-1979) and II-125 (Returnees and Opportunity Transfers) are maked for identification, only.

Trial is RECESSED to November 28, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 27, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPT. 3

Date: Nov. 28, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARKIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff

Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. Trial (CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1)

The following exhibits are authentic Board of Education documents and are admitted into evidence with the right of counsel to object to the factual and relevency of said documents: II-316, II-317, II-318, II-320, II-321, II-325, II-327, II-382 and II-502.

Court's exhibits II-397 (Permits With Transportation (PWT) Number of Students Expected, September, 1972), II-398 (Permtis With Transportation (PWT) Statistical Information, Number of Students, 1972-73), II-399 (Permits With Transportation (PWT) Statistical Information Number of Students Enrolled, 1974-75), II-501 (Permits With Transportation (PWT) Statistical Information Number of Students Enrolled, 1973-74) and II-503 (Permits With Transportation (PWT) Statistical Information Number of Students Enrolled, 1975-1976) are admitted into evidence.

Trial is RECESSED to November 29, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 28, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: Nov. 28, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM	
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENOR, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda B. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from November 27, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

George C. Shannon resumes the stand and his examination continues.

On respondent's motion, Court orders exhibits II-120 through II-123 and II-125 admitted into evidence.

Court's exhibits II-316 (Applications Accepted, Permits With Transportation Program, 1977-1978), II-317 (Permits With Transportation Program, 1976-77 Enrollment), II-318 (Two Pages from the "School Observer"), II-320 (Revised PWT Program Projection for 1977-78), II-321 (PWT Other Way Program), II-325 (Phase V-Revised Plan), II-327 (Permits With Transportation (PWT) Statistical Information Number of Students Enrolled, 1976-1977), II-382 (Distribution of Permits With Transportation Program Plan for 1973-74) and II-502 (Permits With Transportation, An Overview - Staff Presentation to Board, January, 1976) are marked for identification, only.

CONTINUED on page 2

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 28, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 5'74

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: Nov. 29, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK _____

HONORABLE _____ JUDGE PRO TEM _____

M. WAKER Deputy Sheriff H. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from November 28, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

George C. Shannon resumes the stand and his cross-examination continues

Exhibits II-397 and II-398, admitted into evidence on November 28, 1978 are ordered renumbered by the Court to read II-504 and II-505, respectively.

Pursuant to stipulation of counsel, Cort orders exhibits II-126 (Permits With Transportation, PWT, New Schools, New Friends) and II-311 (Questions and Answers About PWT) admitted into evidence.

Trial is RECESSED to December 3, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Nov. 29, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPT. 3

Date: Dec. 3, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK _____

HONORABLE _____ JUDGE PRO TEM _____

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff H. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, C. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

I. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from November 29,1979 with all present as heretofore.

George C. Shannon resumes the stand and his cross-examination continues.

Court's exhibit II-127 (1979-80 PWT Kindergarten Enrollment) is admitted into evidence. Court's exhibit II-128 (4 Copies of PWT Newsletters) is admitted into evidence. Cort's exhibit II-506 (Factors Contributing to the Success of the Program at PWT Receiving Schools) is marked for identification, only. Cort's exhibits II-509 (Letter dated September 3, 1975), II-510 (Itemized Response to Monitoring Committee Report on PWT), II-511 (Evaluation Mini-Report 91), II-310 (CIS Becoming RIS (OW) Without PWT), II-507 (Permits With Transportation Office Bulletin No. 1) and II-508 (Inter-Office Correspondence Dated: January 12, 1973) are admitted into evidence. Court's exhibit II-129 (Evaluation Mini-Report 51) is admitted into evidence.

Trial is RECESSED to December 4, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 3, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: Dec. 4, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff | J. BRAMAN H. CANNON & XXXXXXXX Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

M. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from December 3, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

William Layne is sworn and testifies in behalf of the respondent.

Court's exhibit II-140 (Map-Magnet Programs) is admitted into evidence. Court's exhibits II-53 (Map-O/W % of Elementary Schools-1979 R & E Resident Enrollment With P.W.T.), II-135 (Analysis of Enrollment for West Valley CRSO and II-132 (Analysis of the Magnet Applications for Fall-1979) are admitted into evidence. Court's exhibits II-131 (Magnet School Program-October, 1978) and II-141 (Final Report on the Evaluation of the Emergency School Aid Act Program-Magnet School Planning in the Los Angeles Unified School District, Los Angeles, California) are marked for identification, only.

Trial is RECESSED to December 5, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 4, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT.

76C76E - 5/74

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES · DEPT. 3

Date: Dec. 5, 1979

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM	
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON & N. HARRIS

DEPUTY CLERK _____

Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Kibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Danial H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from December 4, 1979 with all present as heretofore.

John Michael Ross is called out of order by the respondent and is sworn and testifies.

Court's exhibits II-81 (Resumes of Dr. J. Michael Ross) is admitted into evidence.

Court's exhibits II-82 (White Flight Survey Results, 1978-79 School Year, CIS-Grades 3-7 in 1977, PCM Grades 3-7 in 1977), II-83 (White Flight Survey Results, 1978-79 School Year, CIS grades K-2 in 1977, PCM Grades K-2 in 1977), II-84 (Enrollment Patterns, First Year of Plan, 1977-1978, White Loss Rates Without Replacements) and II-85 (Replacement Survey Data) are marked for identification, only.

As to the Alternative Writ of Prohibition and Mandate and Petition Regarding Attorney Fees filed by the Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles with a return date of December 21, 1979, Petitioners to file Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law by December 10, 1979 and Respondent to Respond by December 20, 1979.

Trial is RECESSED to December 6, 1979 at 10:00 AM.

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 5, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 5/74

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: Dec. 6, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | R HART DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff | A. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Reipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from December 5, 1979.

John Michael Ross resumes testimony for respondent, out-of-order and later testifies for Intervenor BUSTOP.

Court's exhibit II-85 is amended by interlineation as follows: at item 2 at the end of the line, add "(N=157)". Court's exhibits II-82 through and including II-85, previously marked for identification are now admitted in evidence.

Conference is held in chambers, on the record.

Trial is recessed to December 7, 1979 at 10 AM this Dept.

CORRECTED NUNC PRO TUNC PER MINUTE ORDER 12-19-79

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT. 3

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 6, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT.** LA 3

Date: DECEMBER 07, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | R HART DEPUTY CLERK _____

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM | _____

M WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H CANNON & N HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD, ET AL

VS

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand ✓, Lynn Pineda ✓, Joseph Duff ✓

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Ros enbaum ✓, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson ✓, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James ✓, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygs=tad ✓ and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman ✓ and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey ✓ and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, Intergration Project

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in pro per, and attorney for PWT (Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

TRIAL (CIVIL AO)

Trial resumes from December 6, 1979.

John Michael Ross resumes testimony for respondent and INTERVENOR, BUSTOP, out-of-order.

The Court orders that the deposition of Mr. Ross taken 12-4-79, be filed.

After proceedings in chambers (Court Reporter and counsel pres ent), the Court s tates that It has examined the witness (Mr. Ross) re certain extra judicial statements and finds that Mr. Ross's testimony would not be affected by such statements and Mr. Ross agrees.

Trial is r ecessed to December 10, 1979 at 10 A.M. this Dept.

CORRECTED NUNC PRO TUNC PER MINUTE ORDER 12-19-79

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT. 3

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 7, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. LA 3

Date: DECEMBER 10, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | R HART DEPUTY CLERK _____

HONORABLE _____ JUDGE PRO TEM | _____

M WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H CANNON AND N HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD, ET AL

VS

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, Integration Project

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro Per, and attorney for PWT Parents Of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

TRIAL (COURT) (CIVIL AO)

Trial resumes from December 7, 1979.

John Michael Ross resumes testimony for respondent , out-of-order.

Trial is re cessed to December 11, 1979 at 10 A.M. this Dept.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT. 3

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 10, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. LA 3

Date DEC.11, 1979 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | R HART , Deputy Clerk
M WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H CANNON & N HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD, ET AL

vs

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand ✓, Lynn Pineda ✓, Joseph Duff ✓

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum ✓, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig

~~NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS~~ COUNSEL CONT'D

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad ✓ and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman ✓ and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey ✓ and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR Integration Project

G. William Shea ✓, David T. Peterson ✓, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James ✓, Mike Johnson

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro per, and attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: TRIAL (COURT) (CIVIL AO)

Trial resumes from December 10, 1979.

William Layne, previously sworn, resumes testimony for respondent.

The following listed Court's exhibits, offered by respondent, are admitted in evidence: II-79(Permits with transportation racial and ethnic Summary 1979-80), II-136(booklet, 1979-80 Magnet School Programs Choices), II-136A(booklet, Spanish version of exhibit 136) and II-136B(booklet, Magnet School programs for 1978-79-Choices for your child's future).

Trial is recessed to December 12, 1979 at 10 A.M. this Dept.

DEPT. LA 3

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 11, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. LA 3

Date DEC. 12, 1979 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | R HART , Deputy Clerk

M WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H CANNON AND N HARRIS , Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854

MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD, et al

vs

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: COUNSEL CON'TD

Kay Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR Integr ation Project

David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

AMICUS CURIAE, DANIEL H. Willick, in Pro Per, and attorney for PWT Parents Of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: TRIAL (COURT) (CIVIL AO)

Trial resumes from December 11, 1979.

William Layne resumes testimony for respondent.

The following listed Court's exhibits, offered by petitioner, are admitted in evidence: 349(Application for enrollment in Magnet Schools/Programs for fall 1978)& 512(Magnet Schools by Space Available for 1978-79).

Trial is recea=sed to December 13, 1979 10 AM this Dept.

DEPT. LA 3

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 12, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. La 3

Date DEC.13, 1979 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | R HART , Deputy Clerk
M WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H CANNON & N HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD, ET AL

VS

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand ✓, Lynn Pineda ✓, Joseph Duff ✓

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum ✓, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson ✓, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James ✓, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS COUNSEL CONT'D

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman ✓ and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR BUSTOP

Bre nda P. McKinsey ✓ and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR Inte gration Project

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro per and attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Proce

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: TRIAL (COURT) (CIVIL AO)

Trial resumes from December 12, 1979.

William Layne resumes testimony for respondent.

Court's exhibit ~~514~~ 513, offered by petitioner, (form letter by Sherman Oaks Center for Enriched Studies re Acceptance of Child) is admitted in evidence.

Trial is recessed to December 17, 1979 at 10 A.M. this Dept.

CORRECTED NUNC PRO TUNC ORDER 12-18-79.

DEPT. LA 3

MINUTES ENTERED
DEC. 13, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. LA 3

Date 12-17-79 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | R HART , Deputy Clerk
J JONES Deputy Sheriff | H CANNON & N HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C822854
Crawford, & al — Counsel for Plaintiff

vs

Board of Education — Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1

91(Wilshire Complex Matriculation options and regular permits), 92(Wilshire Community Educational Complex, General Assembly By-Laws), 86(Chart-Resident enrollment-pairs,clusters & P.W.T. returned to resident schools-1979) and 86A, 86B, 86C(each an overlay contained on the large chart Resident enrollment-1979 educational complexes, P.W.T., Magnet prog., respectively).

Trial is recessed to December 18, 1979 at 10 AM this Dept.

DEPT. LA 3

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 17, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. LA 3

Date 12-17-79 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | R HART , Deputy Clerk
J JONES Deputy Sheriff | H CANNON & N HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C822854
MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD ET AL

VS

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand ✓, Lynn Pineda ✓, Joseph Duff ✓

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum ✓, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig

~~NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS~~ COUNSEL CONT'D

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman ✓ and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey ✓ and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, Integration Project

G. William Shea
David T. Peterson ✓
Herry F. Halverson
Peter James ✓
Mike Johnson

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro Per and attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: TRIAL (Court) (CIVIL AO)

Trial resumes from December 13, 1979.

IN CHAMBERS WITH all counsel and the Clerk (Court Reporter is not present: Petitioner and INTERVENOR Integration Project are given an additional day to file responses to Proposition I Brief, which date is 12-19-79. Respondent to prepare order ordering payment for phases 1 and 2 as set forth in the Hamilton, Rabinovitz letter of 12-13-79 and respondent's letter of 12-14-79. The Court is not now ordering preparation of phases 3 and 4 as set forth in those letters, but will upon appropriate motion brought by any party, take under advisement preparations of phases 3 and 4. The Court further orders respondent to deposit sums necessary to Rabinovitz et al.

IN OPEN COURT: William Layne resumes testimony for respondent. Walker Carlton, called by respondent, is sworn as a witness. Court's exhibit 93(parent options survey-summary by area and school) is admitted in evidence. The following listed Court's exhibits are marked for identification only: II-87(The Educational Complex),87A(Carson Complex*resident enrollment without PWT, pairs, clusters or midsites, based on student enrollment report, 10-5-79), 88(Establishing a Wilshire Community Educational Complex), 89(The Educational Complex-a typical configuration),90(Instructions for handling Wilshire Community Educational Complex matriculation option applications for school year 1979-80),

SEE PAGE 2

DEPT. LA 3

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 17, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. La 3

Date 12-18-79 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | R HART , Deputy Clerk
J JONES Deputy Sheriff | H CANNON & N HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C822854
MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD, ET AL

VS

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand ✓, Lynn Pineda ✓, Joseph Duff ✓

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum ✓, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS X COUNSEL CONT'D

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

M. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis ✓ for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey ✓ and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, Integration Project

G. William Shea
David T. Peterson ✓
Jerry F. Halverson
Peter James ✓
Mike Johnson

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro Per and attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: TRIAL (COURT) (CIVIL AO)

Trial resumes from December 17, 1979.

Walker Carlton, previously sworn, commences testimony for respondent. Court's e xhibits 86, 86A,86B,86C,87,87A,88,89,90,91 and 92, previously marked for identification, are now admitted in evidence. Further, exhibit 87 is designated as supplement to and becomes a part of the NOVEMBER 16 SUBMISSION.

A hearing on Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law re attorney's f ees will be held December 21, 1979 at 10 A.M.; such will not include the amount of the fees or the financial necessity of petitioner to receive these.

Court's exhibit 330(booklet, a Basis for planning 1975-80) is marked for identification only.

Trial is recessed to December 19, 1979 at 10 AM this Dept.

DEPT. LA 3

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 18, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. La 3

Date 12-19-79 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | R HART , Deputy Clerk
M WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H CANNON & N HARRIS , Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C822854
MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD ET AL

VS

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig

~~NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS~~ COUNSEL CONT'D

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for Amicus Curiae, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, Integration Project

G. William Shea
David T. Peterson
Jerry F. Halverson
Peter James
Mike Johnson

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro per and attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: COURT TRIAL (CIVIL AO)

Trial resumes from December 18, 1979.

Walker Carlton resumes testimony for respondent.

Court's exhibit II-94(booklet, Contributing Schools Report-Feeder pattern) is admitted in evidence.

Trial is recessed to December 20, 1979 at 10 AM this Dept.

DEPT. La 3

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec 19 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. LA 3

Date: December 19, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | R HART DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM

-- Deputy Sheriff | NONE Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)

1A.

C822854
MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD, ET AL — Counsel for Plaintiff

VS — Counsel for Defendant

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. NUNC PRO TUNC ORDER CORRECTING PREVIOUS MINUTE ORDERS

It appearing through inadvertance and clerical error, the following listed minute orders do not properly reflect the orders of the Court; IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that said minute orders be corrected nunc pro tunc as of their respective dates, as follows:

12-6-79 At the second paragraph, add a period after the words "out-of-order.", and delete the remainder of that sentence.

12-7-79 At the second paragraph, delete only the words "and I INTERVENOR, BUSTOP,"

12-13-79 At the third paragraph, change the exhibit #514 to read "513".

In all other respects the above stated minute orders are to remain the same.

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 19, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. LA 3

76C76E - 5 '74

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. LA 3

Date: DECEMBER 21, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | R HART DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM |

M WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H CANNON & N HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C822854
MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD, ET AL

VS

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand ✓, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff ✓

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig

~~NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS~~ COUNSEL CONT'D

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad ✓ and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman ✓ and Cliff Fridkis ✓ for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey ✓ and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, Integration Project

G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James ✓, Mike Johnson, Judd L. Jordan ✓ AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in pro per and attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1. Demurrer of Petitioners to Second Amended Complaint in Intervention of United Teachers, Los Angeles
2. Hearing re Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law re Petitioners' request for Attorney fees
3. Trial (Court) (Civil AO)

1. Demurrer argued. Demurrer sustained per points and authorities. Thirty days leave to amend.
2. Matter is argued and TAKEN UNDER SUBMISSION.
3. Trial continued to December 27, 1979 at 10 AM this Dept.

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 21, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

DEPT. LA 3

76C76E - 5'74

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: DEC. 27, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | R HART DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM |

M WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H CANNON & N HARRIS Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD ET AL

VS

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. COUNSEL CONT'D

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Kelpp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, JTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, Integration Project

G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in pro per and attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: TRIAL (NJ) (CIVIL AO)

Trial resumes from December 21, 1979.

Court and counsel confer in chambers, on the record (The Clerk is not present).

Walker Carlton resumes testimony for respondent. Theopolis D. Kimbrough is sworn and testifies for respondent.

Court's exhibit 160(Map-Urban School Crisis in 6 cities area-Administrative area 2) is admitted in evidence. Court's exhibits 161(Chart-Urban School Crisis in 6 cities area-administrative area 2), 170(group of small maps and charts-Urban School Crisis in six cities area-administrative area 2) and 172(Schools Reporting Short/Double Sessions 1st School month 1979) are marked for identification only. Intervenor, Integration Project, objects to the words "Urban School Crisis..." as contained in the above mentioned exhibits.

Trial is recessed to December 28, 1979 at 10 A.M. this Dept.

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 28, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT. 3

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: DEC. 28, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | R HART DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM |

M WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H CANNON & N HARRIS Reporter
(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
CRAWFORD

Counsel for Plaintiff

VS

Counsel for Defendant

BOARD OF EDUCATION

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1

II-170(group of small maps and charts-urban school crisis in six cities area, administrative area 2), II-171(group of small maps & charts-urban school crisis in metropolitan Los Angeles, Board of Education District 3), II-175(booklet, Acreage Report), II-177(over-crowded schools, over & under capacity-elementary schools-plus classroo: II-178(overcrowded s chools-over & under capacity), II-179(available capacity various junior high schools) and 179C(large map-area ethnicity & travel times). Exhibits II-161 and II-172, having previously been marked for identification, are now admitted in evidence. Court's exhibit 176(Tabulation of L. A. City schools and grades showing schools according to classification; schools according to enrollment; and enrollment by grades for the first school month 1979-80) is marked for identification only. Exhibits 177 and 178 are subject to substitution of alphabetized copies. Exhibits 170 and 171 are modified by removing the last page. In response to the objection of Integration Project, the Board states it will remove the words "urban crisis" and "overcrowded" from the respective exhibits.

Trial is recessed to January 2, 1980 at 10 A.M. this Dept.

DEPT. 3

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 28, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: DEC. 28, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | R HART DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM

M WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD, ET AL

VS

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig

~~NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS~~ COUNSEL CONT'D

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Kelpp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, Integration Project

G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in pro per and attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: TRIAL (MJ) (CIVIL AO)

Trial resumes from December 27, 1979.

Theopolis D. Kimbrough resumes testimony for respondent.

The following listed Court's exhibits are marked for identification and admitted in evidence: II-161(Large chart-Urban School Crisis in 6 cities area, Administrative Area 2), II-162(large chart-Urban Crisis in 6 cities area, administrative area 2), II-162A(large chart-portable classroom relief, 5 year capital outlay), II-163(large map-Urban crisis metropolitan L.A., Board of Education District 3), II-164(large graph Urban School crisis in metropolitan L.A., Board of Education District 3), II-165(large chart-Urban school crisis in metropolitan L.A., Board of Education District 3), II-165A(large chart-Portable classroom relief 5 year capital outlay), II-166(large map-New elementary schools study Board of Education District 3), II-167(large map-New elementary schools study 6 cities administrative area 2), II-167A(large map-New Junior High Schools study-6 cities administrative area 2), II-168(large map-Secondary schools study, Board of education District 3), II-168A(large chart-Urban school crisis in metropolitan L.A., Board of Education District 3), II-169(large map-overcrowded schools, over & under capacity),

SEE PAGE 2

MINUTES ENTERED
Dec. 28, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: JAN 2, 1980

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | S J ABAULESE DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE JUDGE PRO TEM

M WALKER Deputy Sheriff | A CANNON N HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854

MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD, ET AL

VS

BOARD OF EDUCATION, ET AL

Counsel for Plaintiff

Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. TRIAL N/J AO

TRIAL IS RESUMED FROM DECEMBER 28, 1979, WITH ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL PRESENT AS HERETOFORE.

THEOPOLIS D AIMBROUGH PREVIOUSLY SWORN TESTIFIES FURTHER FOR THE RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS, BOARD OF EDUCATION EXHIBITS NUMBERS 177 AND 178 HERETOFORE ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE ON DECEMBER 28, 1979 ARE WITHDRAWN AND REPLACED BY NEW NUMBER 177(SCHOOLS AVAILABLE CAPACITY) AND 178(SCHOOLS AVAILABLE CAPACITY) WHICH ARE ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE THIS DATE.

RESPONDENTS, BOARD OF EDUCATION EXHIBITS NUMBERS 169A(MAP) AND 178A(SCHOOLS AVAILABLE CAPACITY) ARE ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE.

BUSTOPS EXHIBIT NUMBER 408(MAP, OVERCROWDED SCHOOLS) IS ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE.

TRIAL IS CONTINUED TO JANUARY 3, 1980 AT 10:00 AM IN THIS DEPARTMENT.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
1-2-80
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: 1/3/80

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | M. CHAVARELA // J. BENNETT DEPUTY CLERK

HONORABLE _____ JUDGE PRO TEM | _____

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff | H. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if preser

C822854

MARY ELLEN CRAWFORD, ET AL
VS
BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig

COUNSEL CONT'D

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

Lawrence B. Trygstad for Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

G. William Shea
David T. Peterson
Jerry F. Halverson
Peter James
Mike Johnson
AMICUS CURIAE D. H. Willick, in pro per and Attoryne for PWT Parents of East L.A.

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, R. Farrell and Education Finance Project

T. F. Bartman and C. Fridkis for INTERV. BUSTOP

AMICUS CURIAE John Caughey

B. P. Mckinsey and A. Goldberg for INTERV. Integr. Proj.

J. D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

NATUREOF PROCEEDINGS: TRIAL (NJ) (CIVIL-AO)

Trial resumes from 1/2/80.

Theopolis D. Kimbrough resumes testimony for respondent. BUSTOPS EXHIBITS NUMBERS 409(PHOTOCOPY OF ENROLLMENT AREA 5) and 341(3 PAGE PHOTOCOPY OF RELIEF FOR OVERCROWDED SCHOOLS--ENROLLMENT CEILINGS) are admitted in evidence.

Conference is held in chambers with the Court, all counsel and with Theopolis D. Kimbrough re proposed stipulation.

Trial is continued to January 4, 1980 at 9:30AM in this department.

MINUTES ENTERED
JANUARY 3, 1980
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT. 3

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: January 4, 1980

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE | Mary Ellen Stipe DEPUTY CLERK _____

HONORABLE _____ JUDGE PRO TEM | _____

#1 M. Walker Deputy Sheriff | N. Harris & H. Cannon Reporter (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C-822854

Mary Ellen Crawford, et al

vs

Board of Education, et al

Counsel for Plaintiff

Counsel for Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS. NJ Trial AO

Trial resumes from January 3, 1980 with the following present: Thomas F. Bartman, Bustop Intervenor, Brenda P. McKinsey for Intervenor Integration Project, Mark Rosenbaum, ACLU, Fred Okrand and Joseph Duff for petitioners and David T. Peterson and Peter James for Respondent Board of Education.

Theopolis D. Kimbrough continues to testify.

The following exhibits are marked for identification: Bustop No. 409 (List of schools in Area 5), Petitioner's 515 (Short and Double Session school report) and Respondent's 190 (Summary of District-Wide Capital Outlay Program, 2-15-79) and 191 (Addendum #1 thereto).

The court and counsel confer in chambers. The hearing is adjourned to Tuesday, January 8, 1980 at 10:00 A.M.

76C76E - 5'74

DEPT. 3

MINUTES ENTERED
1-4-80
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: Jan. 8, 1979

HONORABLE PAUL EGLY JUDGE HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK _____

HONORABLE _____ JUDGE PRO TEM _____

M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff H. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosembaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from January 4, 1980 with all pressent as heretofore. Theopolis D. Kimbrough resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the defendant.

Court's exhibits II-73 (Resolution, January 7, ~~1980~~ 1980) and II-172-A (Schools Reporting Short/Double Sessions 1st. School Month 1979) are admitted into evidence.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Jan. 8, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date: Jan. 9, 1980

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM	
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al

vs

Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from January 8, 1980 with all present as heretofore.

Cross-examination of Theopolis D. Kimbrough continues.

Trial RECESSED to January 10, 1980 at 10:00 AM.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Jan. 9, 1980
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **DEPT. 3**

Date Jan. 10, 1980

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX	DEPUTY CLERK
HONORABLE	JUDGE PRO TEM		
	M. WALKER Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON & N. HARRIS	Reporter

1 (Parties and counsel checked if present)

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, Mark Rosenbaum, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

H. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caugney

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

BRENDA P. MCKINSEY and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from January 9, 1980 with all present as heretofore. Theopolis D. Kimbrough resumes the stand and his cross-examination continues. Robert Searle is sworn and testifies in behalf of responde

Trial is RECESSED to January 11, 1980 at 10:00 AM.

76C76E - 5/74

DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Jan. 10, 1979
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 3

Date: Jan. 15, 1980

HONORABLE	PAUL EGLY	JUDGE	HERBERT H. COX DEPUTY CLERK
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM	
	M. WALKER	Deputy Sheriff	H. CANNON & N. HARRIS Reporter

(Parties and counsel checked if present)

1

C 822854
Mary Ellen Crawford, etc, et al
vs
Board of Education of the City of Los Angeles

Counsel for Plaintiff: Fred Okrand, Lynn Pineda, Joseph Duff

Counsel for Defendant: Virgil Roberts, Mark Rosenbaum, Anthony Rodriguez, Harold Hart-Nibbrig, G. William Shea, David T. Peterson, Jerry F. Halverson, Peter James, Mike Johnson

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS.

Ray Fisher for INTERVENORS, Mary Keipp and Robert M. Loveland

J. Elizabeth Harris for AMICUS CURIAE, Robert Farrell and Education Finance Project

AMICUS CURIAE, John Caughey

Lawrence B. Trygstad and Richard Schwab for INTERVENOR, UTLA

Thomas F. Bartman and Cliff Fridkis for INTERVENOR, BUSTOP

Brenda P. McKinsey and Arthur Goldberg for INTERVENOR, INTEGRATION PROJECT

AMICUS CURIAE, Daniel H. Willick, in Pro-Per, and Attorney for PWT Parents of East Los Angeles

Jack D. Fine for INTERVENOR, BEST

REFEREE-Monroe Price

Trial (AO)

Trial resumes from January 10, 1980 with all present as heretofore.

Robert Searle resumes the stand and continues testifying in behalf of the respondent.

Court's exhibits II-180-A (Comparative Summary-Substitute Requests, by Field Service Center, 1975-76 to 1978-79), II-182 (South Field Service Center-Teacher Attrition, Fall, '78-Summary), II-183 (Agreement Betwe the Los Angeles Unified School District and United Teachers/Los Angele Relating to the Urban Classroom Teacher Program), II-184 (Criteria for the Selection of Schools to Participate in the Urban Classroom Teacher Program), II-185 (Educationally Impacted Schools-School Year 1979-198C (Neiboring Schools Indicated)), II-186 (Urban Classroom Teacher Progr Information Requested), II-187 (Urban Classroom Teacher Program, Sta fing Summary), II-180-B (Comparative Summary-Substitute Requests, by Field Service Center, 1st, 2nd, 3rd School Month, 1979-80), II-188, Comparison of Staffing in EIS Locations, 10/78 vs 10/79) and II-189 (Teacher Integration Compliance Status, LAUSD-February , 1976 Through October, 1979) are admitted into evidence. Court's exhibit II-181 Contract Report-Certificated Assignment Section, September 22, 1978) is marked for identification only.

Trial is RECESSED to January 14, 1980 at 10:00 AM. DEPT.

MINUTES ENTERED
Jan 15 1980
COUNTY CLERK

76C76E - 5/74