

RECEIVED
BY MAIL

JUN 22 2009

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MO
ST. LOUIS

UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

JOHN R. VAN ORDEN; ROBERT
HOOD; MIKE McCORD;
JOSEPH MILLER; MACON
BAKER; CHANCE TYREE;
WALTER RITCHEY; DAVID
BROWN; ANOTHNY AMONETTE;
RICHARD TYSON; WADE TURPIN
Sr. Pro se PLAINTIFFS;

CASE No. _____
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

V.

HEALTH LINK CORPORATION;
A WELL POINT CORPORATION;
HAROLD MYERS;
JUDY SUMPTER;
ALAN BLAKE ;
KEITH SCHAFER;
JEREMIAH NIXON;
DEFENDANTS.

PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

COMES NOW PLAINTIFFS' JOHN R. VAN ORDEN
PRO se, et al., AND PRAYS THIS COURT TO ACCEPT
THIS COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF BASED ON
THE VIOLATION OF THEIR UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL
RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS.

A. PARTIES

1. PLAINTIFF JOHN R. VAN ORDEN IS AN INDIVIDUAL
WHO IS A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI, AND IS ALSO

A CIVILLY COMMITTED RESIDENT OF THE MISSOURI Sexual OFFENDER TREATMENT CENTER (MSOTC) SINCE October 29, 2005,

2. PLAINTIFF ROBERT L. HOOD IS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI, AND IS ALSO A CIVILLY COMMITTED RESIDENT OF THE MISSOURI Sexual OFFENDER TREATMENT CENTER (MSOTC) SINCE JULY 2ND, 2004,

3. PLAINTIFF MIKE MCCORD IS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI, AND IS ALSO A CIVILLY COMMITTED RESIDENT OF THE MISSOURI Sexual OFFENDER TREATMENT CENTER (MSOTC) SINCE JANUARY 13, 2006,

4. PLAINTIFF JOSEPH MILLER IS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI, AND IS ALSO A PRETRIAL DETAINEE RESIDENT OF THE MISSOURI Sexual OFFENDER TREATMENT CENTER (MSOTC) SINCE DECEMBER 6, 2006,

5. PLAINTIFF MACON BAKER IS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A PRETRIAL DETAINEE RESIDENT OF THE MISSOURI Sexual OFFENDER TREATMENT CENTER (MSOTC) SINCE DECEMBER 18, 2007,

6. PLAINTIFF CHANCE TYREE IS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI, AND IS ALSO A CIVILLY COMMITTED RESIDENT OF THE MISSOURI SEXUAL OFFENDER TREATMENT CENTER (MSOTC) SINCE APRIL 23, 2005,

7. PLAINTIFF WALTER RITCHEY IS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI, AND IS ALSO A CIVILLY COMMITTED RESIDENT OF THE MISSOURI SEXUAL OFFENDER TREATMENT CENTER (MSOTC) SINCE MAY 24, 2006,

8. PLAINTIFF DAVID BROWN IS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI, AND IS ALSO A PRETRIAL DETAINEE RESIDENT OF THE MISSOURI SEXUAL OFFENDER TREATMENT CENTER (MSOTC) SINCE NOVEMBER 17, 2008,

9. PLAINTIFF ANOTHNY AMONETTE IS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI, AND IS ALSO A CIVILLY COMMITTED RESIDENT OF THE MISSOURI SEXUAL OFFENDER TREATMENT CENTER (MSOTC) SINCE MAY 17, 2000,

10. PLAINTIFF RICHARD TYSON IS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI, AND IS ALSO A CIVILLY COMMITTED RESIDENT OF THE MISSOURI SEXUAL OFFENDER TREATMENT CENTER (MSOTC) SINCE SEPTEMBER 3, 2004,

11. PLAINTIFF WADE TURPIN IS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI, AND IS ALSO A CIVILLY COMMITTED RESIDENT OF THE MISSOURI SEXUAL OFFENDER TREATMENT CENTER (MSOTC) SINCE SEPTEMBER 26, 2001,

12. DEFENDANT HEALTH LINK IS A CORPORATION THAT IS INCORPORATED AND CAPITALIZED SUBSIDIARY OF WELL POINT, INC.. DEFENDANT HAS ITS PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS (HEADQUARTERS) IN St. LOUIS, MISSOURI. AND MAY BE SERVED WITH PROCESS BY SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT (NAME AND ADDRESS UNKNOWN TO PLAINTIFF).

13. DEFENDANT, WELL POINT, INC., IS A CORPORATION UNABLE TO BE FURTHER IDENTIFIED BY PLAINTIFF OTHER THAN BEING HEALTH-LINK'S PARENT COMPANY. PLAINTIFF HOPES FOR MORE INFORMATION REGARDING THE CONNECTION AND DEGREE OF LIABILITY OF EACH CORPORATION TO BECOME AVAILABLE THROUGH DISCOVERY AND/OR ORDER OF THE COURT.

14. DEFENDANT HAROLD MYERS IS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND IS EMPLOYED AS THE REIMBURSEMENT OFFICER OF THE COMPANY NAMED HEALTH-LINK, WHICH ARE ALSO NAMED AS A DEFENDANT IN THIS SUIT. DEFENDANT MYERS IS BEING SUED BOTH IN HIS INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITIES

AND MAY BE SERVED PROCESS AT THE HEALTH-LINK HEADQUARTERS IN St. Louis, Mo. (ADDRESS UNKNOWN TO PLAINTIFF).

15. DEFENDANT SUMPTER, IS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND IS EMPLOYED AS A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE COMPANY NAMED HEALTH-LINK, WHICH ARE ALSO NAMED AS A DEFENDANT IN THIS SUIT. DEFENDANT SUMPTER IS BEING SUED BOTH IN HER INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AND MAY BE SERVED PROCESS AT THE HEALTH-LINK HEADQUARTERS IN St. Louis, Mo. (ADDRESS UNKNOWN TO PLAINTIFF).

16. DEFENDANT ALAN BLAKE, IS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND IS EMPLOYED BY DMH AS THE CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER OF MSOTC, DEFENDANT BLAKE MAY BE SERVED WITH PROCESS AT 1016 WEST Columbia, FARMINGTON, MISSOURI. 63640. DEFENDANT BLAKE IS BEING SUED IN BOTH HIS INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITIES.

17. DEFENDANT KEITH SCHAFER IS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND IS EMPLOYED AS THE DIRECTOR OF THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH. DEFENDANT IS BEING SUED IN BOTH HIS INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AND MAY BE SERVED WITH PROCESS AT 1706 EAST ELM ST., JEFFERSON CITY, Mo.

18. DEFENDANT JEREMIAH NIXON IS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND IS NOW THE GOVERNOR OF THAT STATE. DEFENDANT NIXON CAN BE SERVED WITH PROCESS AT THE MISSOURI STATE CAPITOL, JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI. DEFENDANT IS BEING SUED IN BOTH HIS INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI.

B. JURISDICTION

19. THE COURT HAS JURISDICTION OVER THE LAWSUIT BECAUSE THE ACTION ARISES UNDER AMENDMENTS ONE OF THE FIRST, FOURTH, FIFTH, SIXTH, EIGHTH, AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS RIGHTS TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. FEDERAL- QUESTION JURISDICTION MAY BE BASED ON A CIVIL ~~XXXXXX~~ ACTION ALLEGING VIOLATIONS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. (SEE BIVENS V. SIX UNKNOWN NAMED AGENTS, 403 US 388, 396-97, 91 S. Ct. 1999, 2004-05 (1971))

C. VENUE

20. VENUE IS PROPER IN THIS DISTRICT UNDER 28 USC §1391(b)(2) IN THAT A SUBSTANTIAL PART OF THE EVENTS OR OMISSIONS GIVING RISE TO THE CLAIM OCCURRED IN THIS DISTRICT. ALTHOUGH HEALTH LINK IS INCORPORATED IN THE

STATE OF ILLINOIS, ITS HEADQUARTERS ARE LOCATED IN ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI, AND THE CITIZENSHIP OF THE NAMED DEFENDANTS IS THE STATE OF MISSOURI.

D. CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

21. All CONDITIONS PRECEDENT HAVE NOT BEEN PERFORMED OR HAVE OCCURRED IN THAT SERVICE HAS NOT BEEN PROVIDED TO DEFENDANTS.

E. FACTS

22. AT VARIOUS TIMES SPECIFIC TO EACH PLAINTIFF, WHICH TIMES AND DATES ARE BEING GATHERED, DEFENDANT'S MYERS AND SUMPTER ENTERED THIS FACILITY- MSOTC- AND ASKED EACH PLAINTIFF TO SIGN IDENTICAL DOCUMENTS, WHICH PLAINTIFFS' CHARACTERIZE AS CONTRACTS, THAT ALLOW THE COLLECTION OF FUNDS FROM PLAINTIFFS' TO BE PAYED DIRECTLY TO THE SOUTHEAST MISSOURI MENTAL HEALTH CENTER, 1010 WEST COLUMBIA, FARMINGTON, MO. 63640, AND APPLIED TO THE COST OF THEIR "CARE AND TREATMENT".

23. PLAINTIFFS' ALLEGE THAT DEFENDANTS' ALAN BLAKE, KEITH SCHAFER, AND JEREMIAH NIXON HAVE BEEN AND ARE AWARE OF THIS PAST AND CONTINUING BEHAVIOR AND HAVE AT A MINIMUM GIVEN IT THEIR TACIT APPROVAL.

24. On or About August of 2008 PLAINTIFFS' VANORDEN AND RITCHEY BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT THE ISSUE OF SIGNING THESE DOCUMENTS WITHOUT BENEFIT OF THEIR COUNSEL'S REVIEW, AND DURING A MEETING WITH DEFENDANTS' MYERS & SUMPTER RAISED THIS ISSUE AND ASKED THESE DEFENDANTS' FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT HEALTH LINK, WHICH DEFENDANTS' REFUSED TO ANSWER, PLAINTIFF AT THIS POINT, DECIDED TO SIGN THESE PAPERS, HOWEVER, PLAINTIFF VANORDEN WAS ADVISED BY DEFENDANTS' MYERS AND SUMPTER TO WRITE DEFENDANT ALAN BLAKE.

25. PLAINTIFF VANORDEN FOLLOWED THE ADVICE TO CONTACT DEFENDANT ALAN BLAKE, PLAINTIFF VANORDEN RECEIVED A RESPONSE FROM DEFENDANT BLAKE, THAT WAS PRIMARILY FOCUSED ON "MEDICAID, MEDICARE AND INSURANCE OPTIONS" (SEE EXHIBIT 'A').

26. THEN, PLAINTIFF VANOREN WROTE AND TRIED TO CALL THE PARKHILLS SAINT FRANCIS FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION OFFICE, 140 STAPLES DRIVE, PARKHILLS, MO. 63601, ASKING FOR INFORMATION, AS PER THE SUGGESTION OF DEFENDANT ALAN BLAKE, PLAINTIFF VANORDEN RECEIVED NO ANSWERS BY MAIL AND HIS PHONE CALLS WERE REFUSED.

27. On or ABOUT SEPTEMBER, 2008 PLAINTIFF VANORDEN RECEIVED A BILL OF \$9,750⁰⁰ FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH, PLAINTIFF VANORDEN THEN SHARED THIS RESPONSE WITH OTHER PLAINTIFFS' WHO HAD RECEIVED SIMILAR BILLS AND OFFERED TO FOLLOW UP WITH AN INQUIRY CHanneled THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MISSOURI MENTAL HEALTH CENTER, 1010 WEST COLUMBIA STREET, FARMINGTON, MO. 63640-2997, ACCOUNTS DEPARTMENT.

28. PLAINTIFF VANORDEN SUBSEQUENTLY WROTE TO THE ACCOUNTING OFFICE OF THE SOUTHEAST MISSOURI MENTAL HEALTH CENTER TO ASK ABOUT SPECIFIC DISTRIBUTION OF THE FUNDS TRYING TO BE COLLECTED BY DEFENDANTS MYERS AND MS. SUMPTER.

29. PLAINTIFF VANORDEN RECEIVED AS A RESPONSE EXHIBIT 'B' - A LETTER FROM MS. THELMA McMANUS, ACCOUNTANT I, WHO IDENTIFIES HERSELF AS THE ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE SUPERVISOR.

30. IN THIS LETTER MS. McMANUS, CERTIFIES THAT ANY MONEY COLLECTED FROM PLAINTIFFS DIRECTLY OR EVEN AFTER THEIR DEATH, WOULD BE USED SPECIFICALLY FOR "ROOM AND BOARD" - NO MENTION, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY WAS REGARDING "CARE AND TREATMENT" OF PLAINTIFFS'.

31. IN DECEMBER, PLAINTIFF VANORDEN CALLED HIS PUBLIC DEFENDER, MR. TIM BURDICK AND TOLD HIM ABOUT HEALTH LINK AND HOW THEY CONDUCTED THEIR ACTIONS WITHOUT PLAINTIFFS' ATTORNEYS' KNOWLEDGE. AT THIS TIME ATTORNEY TIM BURDICK INFORMED PLAINTIFF VANORDEN HE WOULD HAVE TO INFORM MR. EMMETT QUEENER WHO IS THE APPELLATE PUBLIC DEFENDER BECAUSE BURDICK WAS MOVING ON TO THE KANSAS FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE. MR. BURDICK DID MAIL IN TO PLAINTIFF VANORDEN THE DOCUMENTS OF HEALTH LINK, AND JACOBI, (EXHIBITS 'C', 'D' AND 'E')

32. PLAINTIFF VANORDEN THEN CONTACTED PUBLIC DEFENDER EMMETT QUEENER AT HIS OFFICE AND EXPLAINED THE EVENTS AND ACTIONS OF DEFENDANTS HAROLD MYERS AND SUMPTER OF HEALTH LINK. MR. QUEENER WAS COMPLETELY

UNAWARE OF THESE ACTIONS AND WANTED TO KNOW ALL INFORMATION THAT PLAINTIFF VANORDEN HAD ON THESE EVENTS.

33. AFTER MAILING MR. QUEENER THE DOCUMENTS AND ON HIS REVIEW OF THESE DOCUMENTS, P.D. ATTORNEY MR. QUEENER CONFIRMED THAT NONE OF HIS OR ANY OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S FOR THE S.V.P. DETAINEES IN THE STATE OF MISSOURI, HAD ANY KNOWLEDGE AT ALL THAT MSOTC WAS HAVING RESIDENTS SIGN DOCUMENTS THAT GAVE THE DEFENDANTS MONEY BY SIGNING ONE SHEET OF PAPER THAT AUTHORIZES DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH TO COLLECT WITHOUT OUR PLAINTIFFS' PUBLIC DEFENDERS', THE S.V.P. TRIAL JUDGES', OR ANY OF THE PLAINTIFFS' JUROR'S KNOWLEDGE OR KNOWING ANY KINDS OF INFORMATION THAT WOULD EFFECT THESE PLAINTIFFS' IN THESE CASES.

34. AND THEN PLAINTIFF VANORDEN MET WITH THE OTHER PLAINTIFFS' NAMED IN THIS CASE AND ASKED PLAINTIFF VANORDEN TO HELP THEM, FURTHER SHED LIGHT ON THE SUBJECTS OF SIGNING OR NOT SIGNING THE PAPERWORK WHICH APPEARED TO THEM TO BE A CONTRACT, AND THE RECEIVING OF BILLS FOR SUCH LARGE AMOUNTS. SEE PLAINTIFF ROBERT HOODS CONTRACT FROM DEFENDANTS' HAROLD MYERS WHO REPRESENT HEALTHLINK - A WELLPOINT COMPANY, EXHIBIT 'F' AND EXHIBIT 'G' PLAINTIFF VANORDEN'S BILL 1-2,

35. DEFENDANTS' HAVE INDIVIDUALLY AND COLLECTIVELY SUBJECTED PLAINTIFFS TO ACTIONS WHICH VIOLATED THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT IN THAT THEY HAVE ATTEMPTED TO COERCE PLAINTIFF INTO SIGNING A BINDING CONTRACT WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF

COUNSEL'S ADVICE;

PLAINTIFFS GROUNDS #1

A.) IN THE COURSE OF THESE EVENTS, DEFENDANTS USED TACTICS THAT ARE, MINIMALLY, IMMORAL AND ALSO CROSS THE LINE OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT RELATIONSHIP AS WELL AS MAKING THE CONTRACT VOID TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY WERE SIGNED WITHOUT TRUE FULLY INFORMED CONSENT.

B.) THE FACT THAT THESE CONTRACTS ARE BROUGHT TO PLAINTIFF'S BY PEOPLE WHO REFUSE TO FULLY IDENTIFY THEMSELVES, PROVIDE INFORMATION ABOUT THEIR EMPLOYER (WELLPOINT/HEALTH LINK) OR FULLY EXPLAIN THE SEQUENCE OF EVENTS WHICH CONTROLS THE PATH AND DESTINATION OF THE FUNDS IN DISPUTE, CONSTITUTES VALIDATION OF THE SIGNIFICANT QUESTIONS OF WHAT IS REALLY GOING ON WITH THESE FUNDS.

C.) PLAINTIFFS' ALLEGE THAT THE COLLECTION OF THESE FUNDS FROM PERSONS WHO ARE INVOLUNTARILY COMMITTED, AND AGAINST THEIR WILL, VIOLATES BOTH DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW AS PROVIDED FOR IN THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT.

D.)

DEFENDANTS

HEALTH LINK CORPORATION; - A WELLPOINT CORPORATION; HAROLD MYERS; JUDY SUMPTER

ALAN BLAKE, KEITH SCHAFER, JEREMIAH NIXON, DEFENDANTS'
HAVE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS' EIGHTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS BY
DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS OR OMISSIONS,

FACT'S AND EVENT'S BY DEFENDANTS' MYERS
AND SUMPTER, WHO ARE REPRESENTATIVES FOR
HEALTH LINK - A WELLPOINT CORPORATION, WITH
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH,
PLAINTIFFS' ALLEDGE

36. DEFENDANTS' INTERVIEW WITH EACH PLAINTIFF

A.) PLAINTIFF VANORDEN, DEFENDANTS MYERS' AND SUMPTER - ARE ALLOWED TO COME INSIDE MSOTC [TO COME INSIDE TREATMENT CENTER TO WHAT EVER WARD THEY WISH - UN-ESCORTED], THESE DEFENDANTS' ARE ALLOWED TO QUESTION OR INQUIRE BACK GROUND OR PERSONAL INFORMATION., THESE DEFENDANTS' TELL EACH PLAINTIFF THAT DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT DEFENDANTS WILL BILL THE PLAINTIFF FOR "ROOM AND BOARD" NOT "TREATMENT," AND IF PLAINTIFF DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT AFTER OUR RELEASE - WHAT EVER MONEY (ies) I AM ENTITLED TO, THEY OR THE HEALTHLINK - A WELLPOINT COMPANY, THEY REPRESENT, WILL TAKE IT A WAY FROM PLAINTIFF IN A COURT OF LAW, SO, YOU (PLAINTIFF), HAD BEST JUST SIGN THE DOTTED LINE AND GET IT OVER WITH,!

SEE: EXHIBIT 'B' Page 10.

B.) PLAINTIFF VAN ORDEN ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT.

C.) PLAINTIFF VAN ORDEN ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT.

D.) PLAINTIFF VAN ORDEN ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUAL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS

INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', In other word, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT.

E.) PLAINTIFF VANORDEN ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', In other word, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT.

F.) PLAINTIFF VANORDEN ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', In other word, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT.

37. PLAINTIFF HOOD, DEFENDANTS' MYERS AND SUMPTER - ARE ALLOWED TO COME INSIDE MSOTC [TO COME INSIDE TREATMENT CENTER TO WHATEVER WARD THEY WISH - UN-ESCORTED]., THESE DEFENDANTS ARE ALLOWED TO QUESTION OR INQUIRE BACK GROUND OR PERSONAL INFORMATION., THESE DEFENDANTS TELL EACH PLAINTIFF THAT DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT DEFENDANTS WILL BILL THE PLAINTIFF FOR "ROOM AND BOARD" see (DMH's) (LETTER TO PLAINTIFF VANORDEN, Exhibit 'B' PAGE 10,) NOT "TREATMENT," AND IF PLAINTIFF DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT AFTER OUR RELEASE - WHATEVER MONEY(ies) I AM ENTITLED TO, THEY OR THE HEALTHLINK - A WELLPOINT COMPANY, THEY REPRESENT, WILL TAKE IT A WAY FROM PLAINTIFF IN A COURT OF LAW, SO, YOU (PLAINTIFF), HAD BEST JUST SIGN THE DOTTED LINE AND GET IT OVER WITH,!

A.) PLAINTIFF Hood ALLEGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', In other word, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

B.) PLAINTIFF Hood ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', In other word, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT.

C.) PLAINTIFF Hood ALLEGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', In other word, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY

(17)

FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL
AUTHORITY TO INFLICT

D.) PLAINTIFF HOOD ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER
VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER
THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF
PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE
'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE
WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT,
ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO
LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

E.) PLAINTIFF HOOD ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON
VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER
THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF
PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE
'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE
WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT,
ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO
LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

38. PLAINTIFF MCCORD, DEFENDANTS MYERS AND SUMPTER - ARE ALLOWED TO COME INSIDE MSOTC [TO COME INSIDE TREATMENT CENTER TO WHAT EVER WARD THEY WISH - UN - ESCORTED], THESE DEFENDANTS ARE ALLOWED TO QUESTION OR INQUIRE BACK GROUND OR PERSONAL INFORMATION, THESE DEFENDANTS TELL EACH PLAINTIFF THAT DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT DEFENDANTS WILL BILL THE PLAINTIFF FOR "ROOM AND BOARD" see (DMH's) (LETTER TO PLAINTIFF VANORDEN, Exhibit B PAGE ID,) NOT "TREATMENT," AND IF PLAINTIFF DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT AFTER OUR RELEASE - WHAT EVER MONEY(ies) I AM ENTITLED TO, THEY OR THE HEALTH LINK - A WELLPOINT COMPANY, THEY REPRESENT, WILL TAKE IT A WAY FROM PLAINTIFF IN A COURT OF LAW, SO, YOU (PLAINTIFF), HAD BEST JUST SIGN THE DOTTED LINE AND GET IT OVER WITH,!

- A.) PLAINTIFF McCORD ALLEGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,
- B.) PLAINTIFF McCORD ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,
- C.) PLAINTIFF McCORD ALLEGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

D.) PLAINTIFF MCCORD ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY,' IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

E.) PLAINTIFF MCCORD ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY,' IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

39. PLAINTIFF MILLER, DEFENDANTS' MYERS AND SUMPTER - ARE ALLOWED TO COME INSIDE MSOTC [TO COME INSIDE TREATMENT CENTER TO WHAT EVER WARD THEY WISH - UN-ESCORTED], THESE DEFENDANTS' ARE ALLOWED TO QUESTION OR INQUIRE BACK GROUND OR PERSONAL INFORMATION, THESE DEFENDANTS TELL EACH PLAINTIFF THE DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT DEFENDANTS WILL BILL THE PLAINTIFF FOR "ROOM AND BOARD" See (DMH'S) (LETTER TO PLAINTIFF VAN ORDEN, Exhibit 'B' PAGE 10,) NOT "TREATMENT", AND IF PLAINTIFF DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT AFTER OUR RELEASE - WHAT EVER Money(ies) I AM ENTITLED TO, THEY OR THE HEALTH LINK - A WELLPOINT COMPANY, THEY REPRESENT, WILL TAKE IT A WAY FROM PLAINTIFF IN A COURT OF LAW, So, You (PLAINTIFF), HAD BEST JUST SIGN THE DOTTED LINE AND GET IT OVER WITH,!

- A.) PLAINTIFF MILLER ALLEGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLECTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY,' IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLECT,
- B.) PLAINTIFF MILLER ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLECTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY,' IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLECT,
- C.) PLAINTIFF MILLER ALLEGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLECTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY,' IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT,

Also STRICTLY FORBIDS Punishment which there is no lawful authority to inflict,

- D.) PLAINTIFF MILLER ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLECTION OF Punishment that are CRUEL And unusual, the phrase 'CRUEL And unusual' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', In other word, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, Also STRICTLY FORBIDS Punishment which there is no lawful authority to inflict,
- E.) PLAINTIFF MILLER ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLECTION OF Punishment that are CRUEL And unusual, the phrase 'CRUEL And unusual' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', In other word, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, Also STRICTLY FORBIDS Punishment which there is no lawful authority to inflict,.

40. PLAINTIFF BAKER, DEFENDANTS' MYERS

And SUMPTER - ARE ALLOWED TO COME INSIDE MSOTC
 [TO COME INSIDE TREATMENT CENTER TO WHAT EVER
 WARD THEY WISH - UN - ESCORTED], THESE DEFENDANTS'
 ARE ALLOWED TO QUESTION OR INQUIRE BACK GROUND
 OR PERSONAL INFORMATION., THESE DEFENDANTS TELL
 EACH PLAINTIFF THAT DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS -
 THAT DEFENDANTS WILL BILL THE PLAINTIFF FOR
 "ROOM AND BOARD" See (DMH'S) (LETTER TO PLAINTIFF
 VAN ORDEN, Exhibit 'B' PAGE 10,) NOT "TREATMENT," AND
 IF PLAINTIFF DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT
 AFTER OUR RELEASE - WHAT EVER MONEY (ies) I AM
 ENTITLED TO, THEY OR THE HEALTHLINK - A WELLPOINT
 COMPANY, THEY REPRESENT, WILL TAKE IT A WAY
 FROM PLAINTIFF IN A COURT OF LAW, SO, YOU
 (PLAINTIFF), HAD BEST JUST SIGN THE DOTTED LINE
 AND GET IT OVER WITH,!

- A.) PLAINTIFF BAKER ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLECTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUEITY', In other word, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,
- B.) PLAINTIFF BAKER ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLECTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUEITY', In other word, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,
- C.) PLAINTIFF BAKER ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLECTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUEITY', In other word, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT, (26)

D.) PLAINTIFF BAKER ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY,' IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

E.) PLAINTIFF BAKER ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY,' IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,.

41. PLAINTIFF TYREE, DEFENDANTS MYERS And SUMPTER - ARE Allowed TO Come INSIDE MSOTC [TO Come INSIDE TREATMENT CENTER TO WHAT EVER WARD THEY WISH-un-ESCORTED], THESE DEFENDANTS' ARE Allowed TO question OR Inquire BACK Ground OR PERSONAL INFORMATION., THESE DEFENDANTS TELL EACH PLAINTIFF THAT DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT DEFENDANTS WILL BILL THE PLAINTIFF FOR "ROOM AND BOARD" See (DMH's) (LETTER TO PLAINTIFF VAN ORDEN, Exhibit B PAGE 10), NOT "TREATMENT," And IF PLAINTIFF DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT AFTER OUR RELEASE - WHAT EVER MONEY(ies) I AM ENTITLED TO, THEY OR THE HEALTHLINK - A WELL POINT COMPANY, THE REPRESENT, WILL TAKE IT A WAY FROM PLAINTIFF IN A COURT OF LAW, SO, YOU (PLAINTIFF), HAD BEST JUST SIGN THE Dotted Line And GET IT OVER WITH,!

- A.) PLAINTIFF TYREE ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT.
- B.) PLAINTIFF TYREE ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT.
- C.) PLAINTIFF TYREE ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO
- (29)

LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT.

D.) PLAINTIFF TYREE ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY,' IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT.

E.) PLAINTIFF TYREE ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY,' IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT.

42. PLAINTIFF RITCHEY, DEFENDANTS' MYERS AND SUMPTER-
 ARE ALLOWED TO COME INSIDE MSOTC [TO COME INSIDE TREATMENT
 CENTER TO WHAT EVER WARD THEY WISH-UN-ESCORTED]. THESE
 DEFENDANTS 'ARE ALLOWED TO QUESTION OR INQUIRE BACK GROUND
 OR PERSONAL INFORMATION. THESE DEFENDANTS TELL EACH
 PLAINTIFF THAT DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS- THAT
 DEFENDANTS WILL BILL THE PLAINTIFF FOR "ROOM AND BOARD"
 See (DMH's) (LETTER TO PLAINTIFF VANORDEN, Exhibit 'B' PAGE 10,
 NOT "TREATMENT", AND IF PLAINTIFF DOES NOT SIGN THEIR
 CONTRACTS- THAT AFTER OUR RELEASE- WHAT EVER MONEY (ie
 I AM ENTITLED TO, THEY OR THE HEALTHLINK-AWELL POINT
 COMPANY, THE REPRESENT, WILL TAKE IT A WAY FROM
 PLAINTIFF IN A COURT OF LAW, SO, YOU (PLAINTIFF),
 HAD BEST JUST SIGN THE DOTTED LINE AND GET IT OVER
 WITH!

See Exhibit 'H'

OF MR. RITCHEY BILL, AFTER Page (33)

- A.) PLAINTIFF RITCHEY ALLEGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,
- B.) PLAINTIFF RITCHEY ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT.
- C.) PLAINTIFF RITCHEY ALLEGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT,
- (32)

ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

D.) PLAINTIFF RITCHEY ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY,' INOTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

E.) PLAINTIFF RITCHEY ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY,' INOTHER WORD, THETH 8 AMENDMENT ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

Department of Mental Health STATEMENT

Consumer Name Walter William Ritchey
Account Number 931154

Send check or money order to:

ATTN: Accounts Receivable 573-218-6819
Missouri Sexual Offender Treatment Center
1016 West Columbia
Farmington MO 63640

AMOUNT DUE: **\$57,200.00**

AMOUNT PAID: _____

Statement Date 2/28/2009

Walter William Ritchey
1016 W Columbia St
Farmington

MO 63640

Due Upon Receipt

Please detach and return top portion with your payment.

931154

Consumer Name Walter William Ritchey

Statement Date: 2/28/2009

Missouri Sexual Offender Treatment Center
is a facility operated by Missouri Department of Mental Health

NOT FOR COMMERCIAL USE

Dates	Description	Adjustments	Charges	Payments	Balance
	Beginning Balance				\$49,075.00
Feb 2009	CPS State Op Inpatient		\$8,125.00		
	Ending Balance				\$57,200.00

Please be advised that the amount billed on this statement for services, by the Department of Mental Health, may not reflect the actual cost incurred by the facility to provide these services to you. Most consumers receiving services will be billed according to a "Standard Means Test," which reduces the amount billable to the consumer according to the consumer's ability to pay. If the amount paid under the Standard Means Test is less than the actual cost to the DMH for the services provided, Section 473.398 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri authorizes the Department of Mental Health to recover the remainder of these unpaid costs from the estate of the consumer after the consumer's death. Accordingly, the amount billed in this statement is not intended to and does not preclude DMH from recovering the balance of its costs from the consumer's estate. If you have questions regarding the amount of your bill and/or the cost of the treatment provided, please contact the Accounting Office of the DMH facility providing the treatment services.

Due to the implementation of a new customer information and billing system, the Department of Mental Health had suspended the issuance of billing statements. The development of the new system has now progressed to a point where we are able to resume this function. From this month forward, you will be receiving monthly statements reflecting the amount owed for services provided by the Department of Mental Health. Reminder letters will also be sent in future months to accounts with past due amounts.

Exhibit 'H'

43. PLAINTIFF BROWN, DEFENDANTS' MYERS AND SUMPTER - ARE ALLOWED TO COME INSIDE MSOTC [TO COME INSIDE TREATMENT CENTER TO WHAT EVER WARD THEY WISH-UN-ESCORTED], THESE DEFENDANTS' ARE ALLOWED TO QUESTION OR INQUIRE BACK GROUND OR PERSONAL INFORMATION., THESE DEFENDANTS TELL EACH PLAINTIFF THAT DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS- THAT DEFENDANTS WILL BILL THE PLAINTIFF FOR "ROOM AND BOARD" See (DMH'S) (LETTER TO PLAINTIFF VAN ORDEN, Exhibit B PAGE 10.) NOT "TREATMENT", AND IF PLAINTIFF DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS- THE AFTER OUR RELEASE - WHAT EVER MONEY(ies) I AM ENTITLED TO, THEY OR THE HEALTHLINK-A WELL POINT COMPANY, THE REPRESENT, WILL TAKE IT A WAY FROM PLAINTIFF IN A COURT OF LAW, SO, YOU (PLAINTIFF), HAD BEST JUST SIGN THE DOTTED LINE AND GET IT OVER WITH!

- A.) PLAINTIFF Brown ALLEGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,
- B.) PLAINTIFF Brown ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHNGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,
- C.) PLAINTIFF Brown ALLEGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHNGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

D.) PLAINTIFF BROWN ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

E.) PLAINTIFF BROWN ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,



STATE OF MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
NOTICE OF COST

Client Keep This Copy

The charges and cost for DAVID BROWN, Case No. 4097235, a client of
637 - Missouri Sexual Offender Treatment Cent, receiving care and treatment
at 637 - Missouri Sexual Offender Treatment Cent have been determined to be:

\$ \$0.00 *full chg* per month for care and/or treatment effective
09/02/2008. The actual cost per month varies according to the services
provided.

OR \$ _____ per month for treatment effective / / .
The actual cost per month is \$ _____.

Client or Responsible Party is required to provide insurance information.

Failure to release this information will result in the charges to be assessed at actual cost.

Insurance companies will be billed the actual cost of the service(s) provided.

The charges were determined by application of the STANDARD MEANS TEST (Section 630.210, RSMo. and 9 CSR 10-31.011). The cost is the Department of Mental Health's actual cost of providing the services or its contract cost for purchasing the service. The department's cost is recomputed annually. The charge is redetermined annually or at any time it is known that changes have occurred in the financial ability of the client (or the person responsible for the client) to pay.

The difference between the cost of care and treatment and the amounts received in payment may be a claim upon the client's estate at death by the Department of Mental Health (Section 473.398, RSMo.).

If you have questions about the cost of care or the amount being charged, contact the facility issuing this notice.

SIGNATURE OF CLIENT OR FINANCIALLY RESPONSIBLE PERSON X	WITNESS <i>[Signature]</i>	DATE
OR The client or financially responsible person refused to sign this notice in my presence:	WITNESS <i>[Signature]</i>	DATE <u>1/7/9</u>
OR This notice was sent by mail on	DATE <i>[Signature]</i>	SIGNATURE

Exhibit 'I'



STATE OF MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
CONSENT AND AUTHORIZATION

**Client Keep this
Copy**

On this 5 day of 9 2008,
I, DAVID BROWN hereby give my consent and authorize the
637 - Missouri Sexual Offender Treatment Cent to do the following:

To release my medical information to the extent necessary to establish my eligibility to an entitlement, or to establish my claim to public or private health insurance coverage. (Examples: Social Security Administration, Railroad Retirement, Veterans Administration, Civil Service Commission, CHAMPUS, CHAMPVA, Missouri Department of Social Services, Blue Cross/Blue Shield, etc.)

To release my financial information to the extent necessary to establish my eligibility for public assistance from Social Security Administration, Veterans Administration and the Missouri Department of Social Services.

To assign my private health insurance benefits and authorize my health insurer(s) to pay by benefits direct to the above-named facility of the Missouri Department of Mental Health.

I understand that any monies paid to the Department of Mental Health as a result of this authorization shall be applied to the cost of my care and treatment.

I understand that I may revoke this consent and authorization by the giving of written notice to the above-named facility of the Missouri Department of Mental Health.

PATIENT'S SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE AND RELATIONSHIPS OF RESPONSIBLE PARTY

I request that payment of authorized Medicare benefits be made on my behalf to the above-named facility for any services furnished me by the Southeast Missouri Mental Health Center. I authorize any holder of medical information about me to release to the Health Care Financing Administration and its agents any information needed to determine these benefits or the benefits payable for related services.

I request payment of authorized Medigap benefits be made to this provider and also authorize any holder of medical information about me to release to the above-named Medigap insurer any information needed to determine benefits payable for services from this provider.

Exhibit 'I'

NAME OF MEDIGAP INSURER

MEDIGAP POLICY NUMBER

HIC NUMBER

PATIENT'S SIGNATURE

DATE SIGNED

SIGNATURE OF RESPONSIBLE PARTY

RELATIONSHIP TO PATIENT

EXAMPLE



STATE OF MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
CONSENT AND AUTHORIZATION

**Client Keep this
Copy**

On this 5 day of 9 2007,
I, MICHAEL GODDARD hereby give my consent and authorize the

Mo Sexual Offender Treatment Center to do the following:

1. To release my medical information to the extent necessary to establish my eligibility to an entitlement, or to establish my claim to public or private health insurance coverage. (Examples: Social Security Administration, Railroad Retirement, Veterans Administration, Civil Service Commission, CHAMPUS, CHAMPVA, Missouri Department of Social Services, Blue Cross/Blue Shield, etc.)
2. To release my financial information to the extent necessary to establish my eligibility for public assistance from Social Security Administration, Veterans Administration and the Missouri Department of Social Services.
3. * To assign my private health insurance benefits and authorize my health insurer(s) to pay by benefits direct to the above-named facility of the Missouri Department of Mental Health.
4. I understand that any monies paid to the Department of Mental Health as a result of this authorization shall be applied to the cost of my care and treatment.
5. I understand that I may revoke this consent and authorization by the giving of written notice to the above-named facility of the Missouri Department of Mental Health.

PATIENT'S SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE AND RELATIONSHIPS OF RESPONSIBLE PARTY

I request that payment of authorized Medicare benefits be made on my behalf to the above-named facility for any services furnished me by the Southeast Missouri Mental Health Center. I authorize any holder of medical information about me to release to the Health Care Financing Administration and its agents any information needed to determine these benefits or the benefits payable for related services.

I request payment of authorized Medigap benefits be made to this provider and also authorize any holder of medical information about me to release to the above-named Medigap insurer any information needed to determine benefits payable for services from this provider.

Exhibit 'I'

FOR "EXAMPLE"

NAME OF MEDIGAP INSURER

MEDIGAP POLICY NUMBER

HIC NUMBER

PATIENT'S SIGNATURE

DATE SIGNED

SIGNATURE OF RESPONSIBLE PARTY

RELATIONSHIP TO PATIENT

44. PLAINTIFF AMONETTE, DEFENDANTS MYERS AND SUMPTER - ARE Allowed to Come Inside MSOTC [TO COME INSIDE TREATMENT CENTER TO WHAT EVER WARD THEY WISH - UN-ESCORTED], THESE DEFENDANTS ARE Allowed to question OR Inquire BACK Ground OR PERSONAL InFORMATION., THESE DEFENDANTS TELL EACH PLAINTIFF THAT DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT DEFENDANTS WILL BILL THE PLAINTIFF FOR "Room and Board" See (DMH's) (LETTER TO PLAINTIFF VANORDEN, Exhibit 'B' page 10) NOT "TREATMENT," And IF PLAINTIFF DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT AFTER OUR RELEASE - WHAT EVER MONEY (ies) I AM ENTITLED TO, THEY OR THE HEALTHLINK - A WELL POINT COMPANY, THE REPRESENT, WILL TAKE IT A WAY FROM PLAINTIFF IN A COURT OF LAW, So, You (PLAINTIFF), Had Best Just SIGN The Dotted Line And GET IT OVER WITH,!

- A.) PLAINTIFF AMONETTE ALLEGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY,' IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,
- B.) PLAINTIFF AMONETTE ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY,' IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,
- C.) PLAINTIFF AMONETTE ALLEGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY,' IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

D.) PLAINTIFF AMONETTE ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTRE CRUELTY,' INOTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

E.) PLAINTIFF AMONETTE ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTRE CRUELTY,' INOTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

45. PLAINTIFF TYSON, DEFENDANTS' MYERS AND SUMPTER - ARE ALLOWED TO COME INSIDE MSOTC [TO COME INSIDE TREATMENT CENTER TO WHAT EVER WARD THEY WISH - UN-ESCORTED]., THESE DEFENDANTS' ARE ALLOWED TO QUESTION OR INQUIRE BACK GROUND OR PERSONAL INFORMATION., THESE DEFENDANTS TELL EACH PLAINTIFF THAT DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT DEFENDANTS WILL BILL THE PLAINTIFF FOR "ROOM AND BOARD" See (DMH'S) (LETTER TO PLAINTIFF VANORDEN, Exhibit 'B' PAGE 10), NOT "TREATMENT," AND IF PLAINTIFF DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT AFTER OUR RELEASE - WHAT EVER MONEY (ies) I AM ENTITLED TO, THEY OR THE HEALTHLINK - A WELL POINT COMPANY, THE REPRESENT, WILL TAKE IT A WAY FROM PLAINTIFF IN A COURT OF LAW, SO, YOU (PLAINTIFF), HAD BEST JUST SIGN THE DOTTED LINE AND GET IT OVER WITH,!

- A.) PLAINTIFF TYSON ALLEGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,
- B.) PLAINTIFF TYSON ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY' IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,
- C.) PLAINTIFF TYSON ALLEGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE
- (41)

WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY' In other word, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

D.) PLAINTIFF TYSON ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', In other word, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

E.) PLAINTIFF TYSON ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', In other word, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

46. PLAINTIFF TURPIN, DEFENDANT'S MYERS And SUMPTER - ARE Allowed To Come Inside MSOTC [To Come Inside TREATMENT CENTER TO WHAT EVER WARD THEY WISH - UN-ESCORTED], THESE DEFENDANTS' ARE Allowed To QUESTION OR INQUIRE BACK Ground OR PERSONAL INFORMATION, THESE DEFENDANTS TELL EACH PLAINTIFF THAT DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT DEFENDANTS WILL BILL THE PLAINTIFF FOR "ROOM And BOARD" See (DMH's) (LETTER TO PLAINTIFF VANORDEN, Exhibit B PAGE 10,) NOT "TREATMENT," And IF PLAINTIFF DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT AFTER OUR RELEASE - WHAT EVER Money(ies) I AM ENTITLED TO, THEY OR THE HEALTHLINK-AWELL POINT COMPANY THE REPRESENT, WILL TAKE IT A WAY FROM PLAINTIFF IN A COURT OF LAW, SO, You (PLAINTIFF), HAD BEST JUST SIGN THE Dotted Line And Get IT OVER WITH,!

- A.) PLAINTIFF TURPIN ALLEGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLECTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,
- B.) PLAINTIFF TURPIN ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLECTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUSUAL', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,
- C.) PLAINTIFF TURPIN ALLEGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLECTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUSUAL', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO
- (44)

LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

D.) PLAINTIFF TURPIN ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT, ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

E.) PLAINTIFF TURPIN ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHT AMENDMENT, THAT FORBIDS INFLICTION OF PUNISHMENT THAT ARE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, THE PHRASE 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL' IS TREATED AS INTERCHANGABLE WITH 'EXTREM CRUELTY', IN OTHER WORD, THE 8TH AMENDMENT ALSO STRICTLY FORBIDS PUNISHMENT WHICH THERE IS NO LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO INFLICT,

PLAINTIFFS' GROUNDS #2

47. DEFENDANTS' HAVE INDIVIDUALLY AND COLLECTIVELY SUBJECTED PLAINTIFFS TO ACTIONS WHICH VIOLATED THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS SET-FORTH, IN THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, IN THAT THEY HAVE ATTEMPTED TO COERCE PLAINTIFF INTO SIGNING A BINDING CONTRACT WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF COUNSEL'S ADVICE;

A.) IN THE COURSE OF THESE EVENTS, DEFENDANTS' USED TACTICS THAT ARE, MINIMALLY, IMMORAL AND ALSO CROSS THE LINE OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT RELATIONSHIP AS WELL AS MAKING CONTRACT VOID TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY WERE SIGNED WITHOUT TRUE FULLY INFORMED CONSENT,

B.) THE FACT THAT THESE CONTRACTS ARE BROUGHT TO PLAINTIFFS BY PEOPLE WHO REFUSE TO FULLY IDENTIFY THEMSELVES, PROVIDE INFORMATION ABOUT THEIR EMPLOYER (WELL POINT/HEALTH LINK) OR FULLY EXPLAIN THE SEQUENCE OF EVENTS WHICH CONTROLS THE PATH AND DESTINATION OF THE FUNDS IN DISPUTE, CONSTITUTES VALIDATION OF THE SIGNIFICANT QUESTIONS OF WHAT IS REALLY GOING ON WITH THESE FUNDS,

C.) PLAINTIFFS' ALLEGE THAT THE COLLECTION OF THESE

Funds FROM PERSONS WHO ARE INVOLUNTARILY COMMITTED, AND AGAINST THEIR WILL, VIOLATES BOTH DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW AS PROVIDED FOR IN THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.

D.) DEFENDANTS'

HEALTH LINK CORPORATION; - A WELLPOINT CORPORATION; HAROLD MYERS; JUDY SUMPTER ALAN BLAKE, KEITH SCHAFER, JEREMIAH NIXON, DEFENDANTS' HAVE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS' FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS BY DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS OR OMISSIONS,

48. FACTS AND EVENTS BY DEFENDANTS' MYERS AND SUMPTER, WHO ARE REPRESENTATIVES FOR HEALTH LINK - A WELLPOINT CORPORATION, WITH THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH, PLAINTIFFS' ALLEDGE
DEFENDANTS' INTERVIEW WITH EACH PLAINTIFF

PLAINTIFF VAN ORDEN, DEFENDANTS MYERS AND SUMPTER - ARE ALLOWED TO COME INSIDE MSOTC [TO COME INSIDE TREATMENT CENTER TO
(47)

WHAT EVER WARD THEY WISH-UN-ESCORTED],
THESE DEFENDANTS' ARE Allowed To QUESTION OR
INQUIRE BACK Ground OR PERSONAL INFORMATION,
THESE DEFENDANTS' TELL EACH PLAINTIFF THAT
DOES NOT SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT DEFENDANTS
WILL BILL THE PLAINTIFF FOR "ROOM AND BOARD"
NOT "TREATMENT", And IF PLAINTIFF DOES NOT
SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS - THAT AFTER OUR RELEASE -
WHAT EVER Money(ies) I AM ENTITLED TO, THEY
OR THE HEALTHLINK - A WELLPOINT COMPANY, THEY
REPRESENT, WILL TAKE IT A WAY FROM PLAINTIFF
In A COURT OF LAW, SO, You (PLAINTIFF), Had
Best Just SIGN THE Dotted Line And Get IT over
WITH,!

PLAINTIFF VAN ORDEN

- A.) PLAINTIFF VANORDEN ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- B.) PLAINTIFF VANORDEN ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- C.) PLAINTIFF VANORDEN ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

- D.) PLAINTIFF VANORDEN ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- E.) PLAINTIFF VANORDEN ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

PLAINTIFF HOOD

- A.) PLAINTIFF HOOD ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- B.) PLAINTIFF HOOD ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- C.) PLAINTIFF HOOD ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

D.) PLAINTIFF HOOD ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

E.) PLAINTIFF HOOD ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

PLAINTIFF McCORD

- A.) PLAINTIFF McCORD ALLEGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- B.) PLAINTIFF McCORD ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- C.) PLAINTIFF McCORD ALLEGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

D.) PLAINTIFF McCORD ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

E.) PLAINTIFF McCORD ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

PLAINTIFF MILLER

- A.) PLAINTIFF MILLER ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- B.) PLAINTIFF MILLER ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES
- C.) PLAINTIFF MILLER ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- D.) PLAINTIFF MILLER ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER
- (55)

THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND
EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES
CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE,
LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF
AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

E.) PLAINTIFF MILLER ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON
VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER
THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND
EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES
CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE,
LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF
AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

PLAINTIFF BAKER

- A.) PLAINTIFF BAKER ALLEGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- B.) PLAINTIFF BAKER ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- C.) PLAINTIFF BAKER ALLEGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

D.) PLAINTIFF BAKER ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

E.) PLAINTIFF BAKER ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

PLAINTIFF TYREE

- A.) PLAINTIFF TYREE ALLEGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- B.) PLAINTIFF TYREE ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- C.) PLAINTIFF TYREE ALLEGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

D.) PLAINTIFF TYREE ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

E.) PLAINTIFF TYREE ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

PLAINTIFF RITCHEY

- A.) PLAINTIFF RITCHEY ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTHEENTH AMENDMENT, And Due Process And Equal PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, And PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- B.) PLAINTIFF RITCHEY ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTHEENTH AMENDMENT, And Due Process And Equal PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, And PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- C.) PLAINTIFF RITCHEY ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTHEENTH AMENDMENT, And Due Process And Equal PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, And PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

- D.) PLAINTIFF RITCHEY ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- E.) PLAINTIFF RITCHEY ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

PLAINTIFF BROWN

- A.) PLAINTIFF BROWN ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- B.) PLAINTIFF BROWN ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- C.) PLAINTIFF BROWN ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

D.) PLAINTIFF BROWN ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

E.) PLAINTIFF BROWN ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

PLAINTIFF AMONETTE

- A.) PLAINTIFF AMONETTE ALLEGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- B.) PLAINTIFF AMONETTE ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- C. PLAINTIFF AMONETTE ALLEGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

D.) PLAINTIFF AMONETTE ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDANT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

E.) PLAINTIFF AMONETTE ALLEDGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDANT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

PLAINTIFF TYSON

- A.) PLAINTIFF TYSON ALLEGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDANT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- B.) PLAINTIFF TYSON ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDANT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- C.) PLAINTIFF TYSON ALLEGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDANT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

D.) PLAINTIFF TYSON ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SCHAFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDANT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

E.) PLAINTIFF TYSON ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDANT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

PLAINTIFF TURPIN

- A.) PLAINTIFF TURPIN ALLEGES, DEFENDANT MYERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDANT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- B.) PLAINTIFF TURPIN ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SUMPTER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDANT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- C.) PLAINTIFF TURPIN ALLEGES, DEFENDANT BLAKE VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDANT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,

- D.) PLAINTIFF TURPIN ALLEGES, DEFENDANT SCHFER VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDANT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS,
- E.) PLAINTIFF TURPIN ALLEGES, DEFENDANT NIXON VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDANT, AND DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS CITIZENS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FROM ILLEGAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

49. AS A DIRECT AND PROXIMATE RESULT OF DEFENDANTS' CONDUCT, PLAINTIFFS HAVE SUFFERED AND CONTINUE TO SUFFER DISTRESS, HUMILIATION, PAIN AND A LOSS OF LIBERTY. PLAINTIFFS HAVE BEEN AND CONTINUE TO BE IRREPARABLY HARMED BY THESE INJURIES AND PLAINTIFFS HAVE NO ADEQUATE REMEDY AT LAW FROM DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL CONDUCT.

50. WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFFS' JOHN R. VAN ORDEN, ROBERT HOOD, MIKE MCCORD, JOSEPH MILLER, MACON BAKER, CHANCE TYREE, WALTER RITCHEY, DAVID BROWN, ANOTHNY AMONETTE, RICHARD TYSON, WADE TURPIN PRAY THAT THE COURT ENTER THE FOLLOWING ORDERS AND RELIEF :

A.) A DECLARTORY JUDGMENT THAT THE CONDUCT, CONDITIONS, AND PROSECUTOR FOR THE STATE OF MISSOURI, AND PLAINTIFFS' MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER, AND THE JUDGES' AND THE JURORS TO BE TOLD ABOUT THESE FACTS AND ISSUES TO BE EXPLAINED ALL THROUGH EACH AND EVERY PLAINTIFFS' "LIFE TIME COMMITMENT TRIAL" TO "THE MISSOURI SEXUAL OFFENDER TREATMENT CENTER" (MSOTC) FOR TREATMENT DESCRIBED IN THE § RSMO. 632.480 TO 632.513 OF THE SVP ACT., COUNT I + 2

OF GROUNDS IN THIS COMPLAINT OF VIOLATES OF CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT THAT IS A VIOLATION OF THE EIGHT AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT OF DUE PROCESS CLAUSES OF THE MISSOURI AND UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION;

B.) A PERMANENT INJUNCTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS, THEIR OFFICERS, AGENTS, SERVANTS, EMPLOYEES, AND ATTORNEYS, AND UPON ALL THOSE PERSONS IN ACTIVE CONCERT OR PARTICIPATION WITH THEM WHO RECEIVE ACTUAL NOTICE OF THE INJUNCTION BY PERSONAL SERVICE OR OTHERWISE REQUIRING THEM TO SUBMIT AND IMPLEMENT A PLAN CORRECTING THE CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY DEFICIENCIES ALLEGED IN THIS COMPLAINT;

C.) A CONSTANT, TO CONSENT TO DESIGNATE AS SPECIAL MASTER COURT ORDERING DEFENDANTS' TO REPORT ANNUALLY EVENTS OF EACH YEAR TO THE COURTS OF AFFIDAVIT REPORTS TO ALL PLAINTIFFS' AND TO COME INTO MSOTC, GO OVER ALL DOCUMENTS OF PLAINTIFFS' BY MSOTC, STAFF, AND TALK TO ALL PLAINTIFFS' THEM SELVES TO MAKE SURE DEFENDANT ARE CONFORMING TO COURT ORDERS BY THE FEDERAL COURTS'.

D.) An ORDER A NEW TRIAL FOR ALL PLAINTIFFS' WITH NEW Federal Court APOINTED Attorneys, And DISPOSITION And REVESED All PLAINTIFFS BACK TO Probable Cause HEARING'S OF PRETRIAL Detainees, And Overtrune All SVP COMMITMENTED RESIDENTS FOR REMANDED CIVILLY COMMITMENT PRETRIAL Detainee STATUTES REQUIRING DEFENDANTS TO Submit And IMPIEMENT A PLAN CORRECTING THE CONSTITUTIONAL And STATUTORY DEFICIENCIES ALLEGED IN THIS COMPLAINT;

E.) A COURT ORDER AWARDING OF All Attorney's Fees TO BE PAID OUT OF THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH (DMH) FUNDS IS Adequately Supported by Its Finding THAT DEFENDANTS' HAD ACTED IN BAD FAITH, And does not Violate THE ELEVENTH AMENDMENT., THE AWARD SERVED THE SAME PURPOSE AS A Remedial Fine Imposed For Civil Contempt, And Vindicated THE DEFENDANTS' Attorneys' And THE COURT'S Authority Over A Recalcitrant Litigant THERE BEING NO REASON TO DISTINGUISH THE AWARD FROM Any other Penalty Imposed TO ENFORCE A Prospective Injunction, THE ELEVENTH AMENDMENT'S Substantive Protections do NOT PREVENT THE AWARD AGAINST THE DEPARTMENTS OF MENTAL HEALTH OFFICERS

IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES, AND THE FACT THAT THE ORDER DIRECTED THE AWARD to be PAID OUT OF DEPARTMENT FUNDS RATHER THAN being ASSESSED AGAINST DEFENDANTS IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES, DOES NOT CONSTITUTE REVERSIBLE ERROR, U.S. SUPREME COURT HUTTO V. FINNEY, 437 U.S. 678 (1978) 437 U.S. 678, HUTTO ET AL. V. FINNEY ET AL. CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT No. 76-1660. ARGUED FEBRUARY 21, 1978, DECIDED JUNE 23, 1978 Pp. 689-693.,

F.) AN THE CIVIL RIGHTS ATTORNEY'S FEES AWARDS ACT OF 1976, WHICH PROVIDES THAT "[i]n ANY ACTION" TO ENFORCE CERTAIN CIVIL RIGHTS LAWS (INCLUDING THE LAW UNDER WHICH THIS ACTION WAS BROUGHT), FEDERAL COURTS [437 U.S. 678, 679] MAY AWARD PREVAILING PARTIES REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES "AS PART OF THE COSTS," SUPPORTS THE ADDITIONAL AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES BY THE COURT OF APPEALS. Pp. 693-700.

G.) AN AWARD OF COMPENSATORY AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES AGAINST DEFENDANTS HEALTH LINK CORPORATION, A WELLPOINT CORPORATION; HAROLD MYERS; JUDY SUMPTER; ALAN BLAKE; KEITH SCHAFER; ('74)

And JEREMIAH NIXON; DEFENDANTS'. PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 1983 in An Amount To be DETERMINED AT TRIAL;

H.) AN AWARD OF REASONABLE ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 1988 AND/OR 42 U.S.C. § 2000cc; and

I.) SUCH OTHER AND FURTHER RELIEF AS THIS COURT DEEMS JUST AND EQUITABLE.

J.) PLAINTIFFS' JOHN R. VANORDEN; ROBERT HOOD; MIKE MCCORD; JOSEPH MILLER; MACON BAKER; CHANCE TYREE; WALTER RITCHEY; DAVID BROWN; ANOTHNY AMONETTE; RICHARD TYSON; WADE TURPIN; V. HEALTH LINK CORPORATION; AWEEL-POINT CORPORTION; HAROLD MYERS; JUDY SUMPTER; ALAN BLAKE; KEITH SCHAFER; JEREMIAH NIXON; DEFENDANTS';



RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY

John R. Van Orden

John R. Van Orden

6, 18, 2009

MSOTC,

1016 WEST Columbia STREET

FARMINGTON, Mo. 63640

Phone 573-218-7094

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

John R. Van Orden, John R. VAN ORDEN

Robert Hood - Robert Hood

Michael McCord MICHAEL MCCORD

Joseph Miller Joseph Miller

Walter Ritchey Walter Ritchey

David Brown DAVID BROWN

Richard Tyson Richard Tyson

Amone the Anthony Amorette, Anthony

Wade Turpin WADE A. TURPIN SR.

Chance Tyree Chance Tyree

ALL PLAINTIFFS' AT THIS

TIME ARE AT THIS ADDRESS:

Robert Hood; MIKE MCCORD;

JOSEPH MILLER; MACON BAKER;

CHANCE TYREE; WALTER RITCHY;

DAVID BROWN; ANOTHNY AMONETTE;

RICHARD TYSON; WADE TURPIN

MSOTC,


1016 West Columbia STREET

FARMINGTON, MO. 63640

Phone 573-218-7094

Angela May Rowley
Notary Public Notary Seal
State of Missouri County of St. Francois
My Commission Expires 05/01/2011
Commission #07481450

STAMPED on

Signed by 

6/18/09

(76)