



February 6, 2017

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
1010 Fifth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104

Robert W. Ferguson,
Attorney General, Washington State
Office of the Attorney General
800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
Seattle, WA 98104

Lori Swanson
Attorney General, Minnesota
Office of the Attorney General
445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1100
St. Paul, MN 55101

Noel J. Francisco
Acting Solicitor General
Civil Division, Room 7241
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20530

RE: State of Washington & State of Minnesota v. Trump, Case No. 17-35105
Pivotal Software, Inc.'s Joinder Letter in Lieu of Amicus Brief

To the Court and Counsel:

I write on behalf of Pivotal Software, Inc. pursuant to the Circuit Advisory Committee Note to Rule 29-1 to express Pivotal's support for and joinder in the factual statements and arguments submitted to the Court in the February 5, 2017 Motion for Leave to File Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as Amicus Curiae in Support of Appellees, and the Brief attached thereto (ECF No. 19).

Pivotal Software, Inc. is a leading technology company and its operations are affected by the Executive Order issued on January 27, 2017, entitled "Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States." As set forth in the amicus brief, the Order represents a significant departure from the principles of fairness and predictability that have governed the immigration system of the United States for more than fifty years—and the Order inflicts significant harm on American business, innovation, and growth as a result. The Order makes it more difficult and expensive for U.S. companies to recruit, hire, and retain some of the world's best employees. It disrupts ongoing business operations. And it threatens companies' ability to attract talent, business, and investment to the United States.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Andrew M. Cohen

Andrew M. Cohen
SVP, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary

9th Circuit Case Number(s)

NOTE: To secure your input, you should print the filled-in form to PDF (File > Print > *PDF Printer/Creator*).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

When All Case Participants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on (date) .

I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

Signature (use "s/" format)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

When Not All Case Participants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on (date) .

Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the appellate CM/ECF system.

I further certify that some of the participants in the case are not registered CM/ECF users. I have mailed the foregoing document by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, or have dispatched it to a third party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

Signature (use "s/" format)

MAYER • BROWN

Mayer Brown LLP
1999 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006-1101

Main Tel (202) 263-3000
Main Fax (202) 263-3300
www.mayerbrown.com

Andrew J. Pincus
Direct Tel (202) 263-3220
Direct Fax (202) 263- 5220
apincus@mayerbrown.com

February 6, 2017

BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: *Washington v. Trump*, No. 17-35105

Dear Ms. Dwyer,

The companies listed below join the proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as *Amici Curiae* in Support of Appellees (Dkt. No. 19-1), filed February 5, 2017 (starting with number 97, because our filing yesterday inadvertently listed one *amicus* twice):

97. Adobe Systems Incorporated
98. Affirm, Inc.
99. Ampush LLC
100. Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.
101. Bungie, Inc.
102. Casper Sleep Inc.
103. Cavium, Inc.
104. Chegg, Inc.
105. ClassPass Inc.

106. Coursera
107. EquityZen Inc.
108. Evernote
109. Gusto
110. Handy Technologies, Inc.
111. HP Inc.
112. IAC/InterActiveCorp
113. Linden Lab
114. Managed By Q Inc.
115. MobileIron
116. New Relic, Inc.
117. Pandora Media, Inc.
118. Planet Labs Inc.
119. RPX Corporation
120. Shift Technologies, Inc.
121. Slack Technologies, Inc.
122. SpaceX
123. Tesla, Inc.
124. TripAdvisor, Inc.
125. Udacity, Inc.
126. Zendesk, Inc.
127. Zenefits

Amici provide the corporate disclosures required by Fed. R. App. P. 26.1 and 29(c) in the attached Exhibit A. A copy of this letter will be served on all counsel of record.

Sincerely,

/s/ Andrew J. Pincus

cc: counsel of record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 6th day of February 2017, I served the foregoing letter regarding additional *amici curiae* via the Court's ECF system upon all counsel.

Dated: February 6, 2017

/s/ Andrew J. Pincus
Andrew J. Pincus
MAYER BROWN LLP

EXHIBIT A

CORPORATE DISCLOSURES FOR *AMICI CURIAE*

97. Adobe Systems Incorporated has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
98. Affirm, Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
99. Ampush LLC has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
100. Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
101. Bungie, Inc. has no parent corporation and the following publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock: Microsoft Corporation.
102. Casper Sleep Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
103. Cavium, Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
104. Chegg, Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
105. ClassPass Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
106. Coursera has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
107. EquityZen Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
108. Evernote Corporation has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

109. ZenPayroll, Inc., dba Gusto has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
110. Handy Technologies, Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
111. HP Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
112. IAC/InterActiveCorp has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
113. Linden Research, Inc. d/b/a Linden Lab has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
114. Managed By Q Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
115. MobileIron, Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
116. New Relic, Inc. has no parent corporation and the following publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock: investment funds affiliated with T Rowe Price.
117. Pandora Media, Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
118. Planet Labs Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
119. RPX Corporation has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
120. Shift Technologies, Inc. (“Shift”) has no parent corporation and the following publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock: investment funds affiliated with Goldman Sachs Group Inc.

121. Slack Technologies, Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
122. Space Exploration Technologies Corp. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock
123. Tesla, Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
124. TripAdvisor, Inc. has no parent corporation and the following publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock: Liberty TripAdvisor Holdings, Inc.
125. Udacity, Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
126. Zendesk, Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
127. Zenefits has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

February 6, 2017

BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: *Washington v. Trump*, No. 17-35105


Dear Ms. Dwyer,

Groupon, Inc. hereby joins the proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as Amici Curiae in Support of Appellees (Dkt. No. 19-1), filed February 5, 2017.

As required by Fed. R. App. P. 26.1 and 29(c), Groupon, Inc. states that it has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

A copy of this letter will be served on all counsel of record.

Sincerely,


A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Brian W. Wade", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

cc: counsel of record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 6th day of February 2017, I served the foregoing letter regarding additional *amici curiae* via the Court's ECF system upon all counsel.

Dated: February 6, 2017

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Brian Wanda", with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Postmates Inc.
425 Market Street, 8th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105



POSTMATES

February 7, 2017

BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

RE: Washington v. Trump, Case No. 17-35105

Dear Ms. Dwyer:

Postmates Inc. hereby joins the proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as Amici Curiae in Support of Appellees (Dkt. No. 19-1), filed February 5, 2017.

As required by Fed. R. App. P. 26.1 and 29(c), Postmates Inc. states that it has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

A copy of this letter will be served on all counsel of record.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert Rieders

Robert H. Rieders, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary

9th Circuit Case Number(s)

NOTE: To secure your input, you should print the filled-in form to PDF (File > Print > *PDF Printer/Creator*).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

When All Case Participants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on (date) .

I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

Signature (use "s/" format)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

When Not All Case Participants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on (date) .

Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the appellate CM/ECF system.

I further certify that some of the participants in the case are not registered CM/ECF users. I have mailed the foregoing document by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, or have dispatched it to a third party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

Signature (use "s/" format)

February 7, 2017



BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: *Washington v. Trump*, No. 17-35105

Dear Ms. Dwyer,

Fitbit, Inc. hereby joins the proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as Amici Curiae in Support of Appellees (Dkt. No. 19-1), filed February 5, 2017.

As required by Fed. R. App. P. 26.1 and 29(c), Fitbit, Inc. states that it has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

A copy of this letter will be served on all counsel of record.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:
A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Andy Missan".
E8CF67FD2AF646C...

Andy Missan
Executive Vice President and General Counsel

cc: counsel of record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 7th day of February 2017, I served the foregoing letter regarding additional *amici curiae* via the Court's ECF system upon all counsel.

Dated: February 7, 2017

/s/ Andy Missan
Andy Missan



February 7, 2017

BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: *Washington v. Trump*, No.17-35105

Dear Ms. Dwyer,

Molecule Software, Inc. hereby joins the proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as Amici Curiae in Support of Appellees (Dkt. No. 19-1), filed February 5, 2017.

As required by Fed. R. App. P. 26.1 and 29(c), Molecule Software, Inc. states that it has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

A copy of this letter will be served on all counsel of record.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Sameer Solja", written over a horizontal line.

Sameer Solja


cc: counsel of record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 7th day of February 2017, I served the foregoing letter regarding additional *amici curiae* via the Court's ECF system upon all counsel.

Dated: February 7, 2017

/s/ Sameer Soleja
Sameer Soleja



795 Folsom Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA, 94107

mblum@quantcast.com

1 (415) 777-1516

quantcast.com

February 7, 2017

BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: *Washington v. Trump*, No. 17-35105

Dear Ms. Dwyer,

Quantcast Corp. hereby joins the proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as Amici Curiae in Support of Appellees (Dkt. No. 19-1), filed February 5, 2017.

As required by Fed. R. App. P. 26.1 and 29(c), Quantcast Corp. states that it has no parent company and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

A copy of this letter will be served on all counsel of record.

Sincerely,

Michael Blum
SVP Business & Legal Affairs

cc: counsel of record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 7th day of February 2017, I served the foregoing letter regarding additional amici curiae via the Court's ECF system upon all counsel.

Dated February 7, 2017

/s/ Michael Blum



101 Market Street, Suite 700, San Francisco, CA 94105
credomobile.com • credolongdistance.com

February 7, 2017

BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: *Washington v. Trump*, No. 17-35105

To Ms. Dwyer:

CREDO Mobile, Inc. hereby joins the proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as Amici Curiae in Support of Appellees (Dkt. No. 19-1), filed February 5, 2017. CREDO Mobile, Inc. is a U.S.-based telecommunications company that donates a portion of its revenue to progressive non-profits and engages in social change activism.

As required by Fed. R. App. P. 26.1 and 29(c), CREDO Mobile, Inc. states that Working Assets, Inc., is the parent company of CREDO Mobile, Inc. No publicly-held corporation owns stock or any other interest in either CREDO Mobile, Inc. or Working Assets, Inc.

A copy of this letter will be served on all counsel of record.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jean Parker".

Jean Parker
Legal Director

cc: counsel of record

9th Circuit Case Number(s)

NOTE: To secure your input, you should print the filled-in form to PDF (File > Print > *PDF Printer/Creator*).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

When All Case Participants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on (date) .

I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

Signature (use "s/" format)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

When Not All Case Participants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on (date) .

Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the appellate CM/ECF system.

I further certify that some of the participants in the case are not registered CM/ECF users. I have mailed the foregoing document by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, or have dispatched it to a third party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

Signature (use "s/" format)

February 7, 2017

BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: *Washington v. Trump*, No. 17-35105


Dear Ms. Dwyer,

Akamai Technologies, Inc. hereby joins the proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as Amici Curiae in Support of Appellees (Dkt. No. 19-1), filed February 5, 2017.

As required by Fed. R. App. P. 26.1 and 29(c), Akamai Technologies, Inc. states that it has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

A copy of this letter will be served on all counsel of record.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Melanie", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Melanie Haratunian
General Counsel & Corporate Secretary

cc: counsel of record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 7th day of February 2017, I served the foregoing letter regarding additional amici curiae via the Court's ECF system upon all counsel.

Dated February 7, 2017

/s/ Melanie Haratunian

FENWICK & WEST LLP

555 CALIFORNIA STREET, 12TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
TEL 415.875.2300 FAX 415.281.1350 WWW.FENWICK.COM

February 7, 2017

ANDREW P. BRIDGES

EMAIL ABRIDGES@FENWICK.COM
Direct Dial (415) 875-2389

BY ECF FILING

Ms. Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: Washington v. Trump, Case No. 17-35105: Joinder of SoundCloud, Inc., in motion or leave and brief of *Amici Curiae* Technology Companies and Other Businesses Supporting Appellees

Dear Ms. Dwyer:

SoundCloud, Inc. by this letter joins both the motion of “Technology Companies and Other Businesses” for leave to file an amicus brief (Dkt. 19-1) and the proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as *Amici Curiae* in Support of Appellees (Dkt. 19-2), which those movants filed on February 5, 2017.


SoundCloud expressly adopts and endorses the arguments in the proposed brief and the points in the underlying motion; the motion and the brief also reflect its interests as an *amicus curiae*. To the extent necessary, this letter incorporates by reference both the motion and brief.

Corporate Disclosure Statement: SoundCloud, Inc. states that its parent corporation, SoundCloud Limited, wholly owns it.

I attach a certificate of service showing that I am serving this letter on all counsel of record.

Respectfully submitted,

FENWICK & WEST LLP




Andrew P. Bridges
Attorney for SoundCloud, Inc.

APB:lm

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 7th day of February 2017, I served this letter upon all counsel of record by means of the Court's ECF system.

Dated: February 7, 2017


Andrew P. Bridges

February 7, 2017

BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: *Washington v. Trump*, No.17-35105

Dear Ms. Dwyer,

SpotHero, Inc. hereby joins the proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as Amici Curiae in Support of Appellees (Dkt. No. 19-1), filed February 5, 2017.

As required by Fed. R. App. P. 26.1 and 29(c), SpotHero, Inc. states that it has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

A copy of this letter will be served on all counsel of record.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "K. Sullivan", is written over a horizontal line.

cc: counsel of record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 7th day of February 2017, I served the foregoing letter regarding additional amici curiae via the Court's ECF system upon all counsel.

Dated: February 7, 2017





350 Hudson Street Floor 9
New York NY 10014 United States
mdsol.com

BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

February 7, 2017

Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: *Washington v. Trump*, No. 17-35105

Dear Ms. Dwyer,

Medidata Solutions, Inc. hereby joins the proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as Amici Curiae in Support of Appellees (Dkt. No. 19-1), filed February 5, 2017.

As required by Fed. R. App. P. 26.1 and 29(c), Medidata Solutions, Inc. states that it has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

A copy of this letter will be served on all counsel of record.

Very truly yours,

MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'M. Otner', written over a horizontal line.

Michael I. Otner
Executive Vice President and General Counsel

cc: Counsel of record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 7, 2017, the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification to all counsel of record in this matter who are registered with the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Michael I. Otner

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP, President of the
United States, et al, Defendant-Appellants

No: 17-35105

D.C. No. 2:17-cv-00141-JLR
Western District of Washington

**TECHNOLOGY START-UPS *AMICI* JOINDER
IN PROPOSED *AMICI CURIAE* BRIEF
FILED BY THE TECHNOLOGY COMPANIES**

The technology and other start-up entities listed below (the “Start-Ups *Amici*”) respectfully join as supplemental *Amici* in the *Amici Curiae* Brief filed by the Technology Companies and Other Businesses at Docket 19-1 in support of Appellees:

- Alta Plana Corporation
- Amitree Inc.
- Bright Idea Energy Solutions Inc.
- Cognitive Computing Consortium
- iCouldBe.Org Inc.

- Indico Inc.
- JP Linguistics Inc.
- Lending Robot Inc.
- Loop AI Labs Inc.
- The Kitchens Inc., DBA Forkable
- The Social Edge Inc.
- TwoToTango Inc.
- Unitive Inc.
- Vital AI LLC

Start-up companies contribute substantially to this country's economic prosperity and growth potential and for reasons similar to those already set forth by the Technology Companies in their *Amici* Brief, start-ups are especially affected by the immigration order at issue. Many start-up companies are founded by, and rely heavily on the hard work of immigrants. Orders of the type at issue here cause immediate harm to technology companies, their employees and their families, and the competitiveness of the United States in the global market for innovation. In many instances, the technological innovation driven by U.S. start-ups contributes not only to the national prosperity but also to the national security. Implementation of the immigration order at issue thus has the potential to harm the country's security as well as its prosperity. Accordingly, the Start-Ups *Amici* join the

Technology Companies *Amici* in their Brief filed in support of Appellees in the above captioned proceeding and respectfully urge this Court to uphold Judge Robart's ruling.

Pursuant to 26.1(a) and 29(a)(4)(A), the Start-Ups *Amici* respectfully provide their corporate disclosure statement in the attached Exhibit 1.

Respectfully submitted,

February 7, 2017

By: /s/ Valeria Calafiore Healy

Valeria Calafiore Healy, Esq.
HEALY LLC
154 Grand Street
New York, New York 10013
Telephone: (212) 810-0377
Facsimile: (212) 810-7036

*On behalf of the Start-Ups Amici
Curiae.*

EXHIBIT 1**CORPORATE DISCLOSURE OF TECHNOLOGY AND OTHER START-UPS *AMICI***

1.	Alta Plana Corporation has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
2.	Amitree Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
3.	Bright Idea Energy Solutions Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
4.	Cognitive Computing Consortium has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
5.	iCouldBe.Org, Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
6.	Indico Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
7.	JP Linguistics Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
8.	Lending Robot Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
9.	Loop AI Labs Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
10.	The Kitchens Inc, DBA Forkable, has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
11.	The Social Edge Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
12.	TwoToTango Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
13.	Unitive Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
14.	Vital AI LLC has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on February 7, 2017. Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the appellate CM/ECF system.

January 7, 2017

By: /s/ Valeria Calafiore Healy
Valeria Calafiore Healy, Esq.
HEALY LLC

*On behalf of Start-Ups
Amici.*



Kevin P. Martin
+1 617 570 1186
kmartin@goodwinlaw.com

Goodwin Procter LLP
100 Northern Avenue
Boston, MA 02210

goodwinlaw.com
+1 617 570 1000

February 7, 2017

VIA CM/ECF

Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: *State of Washington, et al. v. Donald J. Trump, et al.*, No. 17-35105

Dear Ms. Dwyer:

OneLogin, Inc. hereby joins the Motion and Proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as *Amici Curiae* in Support of Appellees (Dkt. Nos. 19-1, 19-2), filed on February 5, 2017.

As required by Fed. R. App. P. 26.1 and 29(c), OneLogin, Inc. states that it has no parent corporation and that no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

A copy of this letter will be served on all counsel of record.

Sincerely,

s/ Kevin P. Martin

Kevin P. Martin

Attorney for OneLogin, Inc.

cc: All counsel of record



Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court
February 7, 2017
Page 2

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on February 7, 2017.

I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

s/ Kevin P. Martin



February 7, 2017

Aaron M. McKown

Direct Phone 305-424-9020

Direct Fax 786-220-0205

amckown@cozen.com

BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

Molly Dwyer, Clerk of the Court
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: *Washington v. Trump, No. 17-35105*

Dear Ms. Dwyer,

We represent GoDaddy, Inc. (“GoDaddy”). Pursuant to the Circuit Advisory Committee Note to Rule 29-1, GoDaddy hereby joins the proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as Amici Curiae in Support of Appellees (Dkt. No. 19-1), filed February 5, 2017.

As required by Fed. R. App. P. 26.1 and 29(c), GoDaddy states that it is a publicly traded company on the New York Stock Exchange (GDDY). GoDaddy states that it has no parent corporation. Kohlberg Gravis Roberts and & Co. L.P. indirectly owns more than 10% of the stock in GoDaddy, Inc. through its membership interests in Desert Newco, LLC.

A copy of this letter will be served on all counsel of record.

Sincerely,

COZEN O’CONNOR

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Aaron M. McKown', written over a light blue horizontal line.

By: AARON M. McKOWN

cc: counsel of record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 7th day of February 2017, I served the foregoing letter regarding additional amici curiae via the Court's ECF system upon all counsel of record.

Dated: February 7, 2017

s/Aaron M. McKown



February 8, 2017

VIA ECF FILING

Ms. Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: **Washington v. Trump, Case No. 17-35105: Joinder of DiCentral Corporation**

Dear Ms. Dwyer:

DiCentral Corporation by this letter joins Technology Companies and Other Businesses' motion for leave to file an amicus brief [Dkt. 19-1] and the proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as *Amici Curiae* in Support of Appellees [Dkt. 19-2], both filed on February 5, 2017.

DiCentral Corporation expressly adopts and endorses the arguments in the proposed brief and the points in the underlying motion; the motion and the brief also reflect its interests as an *amicus curiae*. To the extent necessary, this letter incorporates by reference both the motion and brief.

Corporate Disclosure Statement: As required by FED. R. APP. P. 26.1 and 29(c) DiCentral Corporation states that it has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

I attach a certificate of service showing that I am serving this letter on all counsel of record.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads 'Huan Le'.

Huan Le
General Counsel

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 8th day of February 2017, I served this letter upon all counsel of record by means of the Court's ECF system.

Dated: February 8, 2017

/s/Huan Le
Huan Le



650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
PHONE 650.493.9300
FAX 650.493.6811
www.wsgr.com

February 8, 2017

VIA CM/ECF

Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: *State of Washington, et al. v. Donald J. Trump, et al.*, No. 17-35105

Dear Ms. Dwyer:

MongoDB, Inc. hereby joins the Motion and Proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as *Amici Curiae* in Support of Appellees (Dkt. Nos. 19-1, 19-2), filed on February 5, 2017.

As required by FED. R. APP. P. 26.1 and 29, MongoDB, Inc. states that it has no parent corporation and that no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

A copy of this letter will be served on all counsel of record.

Sincerely,

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation

/s/ Dale Bish

Dale Bish
James C. Yoon
Jason Storck
Counsel for MongoDB, Inc.

cc: All counsel of record

Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

Molly Dwyer
February 8, 2017
Page 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on February 8, 2017.

I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

/s/ Dale Bish

February 9, 2017

VIRGINIA K. DEMARCHI

EMAIL VDEMARCHI@FENWICK.COM
Direct Dial (650) 335-7967

BY ECF FILING

Ms. Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: *State of Washington, et al. v. Donald J. Trump, et al.*, No. 17-35105:
Joinder of Redfin Corporation in motion for leave to file an amicus brief and the
proposed brief of Amici Curiae Technology Companies and Other Businesses
Supporting Appellees

Dear Ms. Dwyer:

Pursuant to Circuit Advisory Committee Note to Rule 29-1, Redfin Corporation hereby joins both the motion of "Technology Companies and Other Businesses" for leave to file an amicus brief (Dkt. 19-1) and the proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as *Amici Curiae* in Support of Appellees (Dkt. 19-2), which those movants filed on February 5, 2017.

Redfin Corporation expressly adopts and endorses the arguments in the proposed brief and the points in the underlying motion. The motion and the brief also reflect its interests as an *amicus curiae*.

Redfin Corporation states that it has no parent corporation and that no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

A copy of this letter will be served on all counsel of record.

Respectfully submitted,

FENWICK & WEST LLP



Virginia K. DeMarchi

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 9th day of February 2017, I served this letter upon all counsel of record by means of the Court's ECF system.

Dated: February 9, 2017

/s/ Virginia K. DeMarchi
Virginia K. DeMarchi



Rauhmel Fox <rauhmel@whomentors.com>

Amicus Curiae Motion & Brief Opposing Executive Order 13769, Jan 27, 2017

1 message

Rauhmel Fox, CEO, WHOMentors.com, Inc. <rauhmel@whomentors.com>
To: rauhmel <Rauhmel@whomentors.com>

Wed, Feb 8, 2017 at 11:15 AM

(page 1 of 2)

February 8, 2017

BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

Molly Dwyer, Clerk of Court
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: Washington v. Trump, No. 17-35105

Dear Ms. Dwyer:

WHOMentors.com, Inc., an H-1B "Cap-Exempt" Employer and 509(a)(2) Nonprofit Research Organization (as defined by Title 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(19)(iii)(C)), hereby joins the proposed Brief of Technology Companies and Other Businesses as Amici Curiae in Support of Appellees-Defendants (Dkt. No. 19-1), filed February 5, 2017.

As required by Fed. R. App. P. 26.1 and 29(c), WHOMentors.com, Inc. states that it has no parent corporation and no public or private traded stock.

A copy of this letter will be served on all counsel of record.

Sincerely,

_____/s/ **RAUHMEI FOX**
Rauhmel Fox, CEO

cc: counsel of record

(page 2 of 2)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 9th day of February 2017, I served the foregoing letter regarding additional amici curiae via the Court's ECF system upon all counsel.

Dated February 9, 2017

RAUHMELE FOX, CEO /s/ **RAUHMELE FOX**

WHOMentors.com, Inc.
§509(a)(2) Scientific Research Corporation
§501(c)(3) Fiscal Sponsor of Nonexempt Projects
Cap-Exempt H-1B Employer 8 CFR §214.2(h)(19)(iii)(C)
Schedule an appointment: <http://www.vcita.com/v/rauhmel.fox>

"Rauhmel Fox" (pronounced Ráühmël Föx) Robinson, CEO
Prior-Enlisted Alumnus, United States Air Force Academy
Adviser solely to 1B U\$D WORLDWIDE VENTURE CAPITAL FUND
Reputable Individual 8 CFR §1292.1(a)(3)
Mayor, Tech Startup AreaSM, California

E-mail: Rauhmel@WHOMentors.com
Phone/SMS Text: 1-415-373-6767
SMS text WHOMENTORS DOT COM to 50500
Add Contact Information to a Smartphone: <http://411.WHOMentors.com>



FATHOM LAW

February 9, 2017

VIA EMAIL

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
1010 Fifth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104

Robert W. Ferguson, Attorney General
Washington State Office of the Attorney General
800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
Seattle, WA 98104

Lori Swanson Attorney General
Minnesota Office of the Attorney General
445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1100
St. Paul, MN 55101

Noel J. Francisco Acting Solicitor
General Civil Division, Room 7241
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20530

**Re: State of Washington & State of Minnesota v. Trump, Case No. 17-35105
Skycatch, Inc.'s Joinder Letter in Lieu of Amicus Brief**

To The Court and Counsel:

On behalf of Skycatch, Inc., ("Skycatch") and pursuant to Circuit Advisory Committee Note to Rule 29-1, we submit this letter to express Skycatch's endorsement of and joinder in the factual assertions and arguments submitted on February 5, 2017 by the Movants in their Motion for Leave to File Brief of Technology Companies and Other Business as Amicus Curiae in Support of Appellees and attached Brief (ECF No. 19).

Skycatch is a company headquartered in San Francisco, California that provides specialized hardware and software solutions to domestic and international clients in a variety of industries, including construction, mining, and energy. Skycatch employees are highly specialized workers

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
February 9, 2017
Page 2

with unique skillsets who must engage in international travel as part of their essential job functions.

Some of Skycatch's workers, current and future, are or may be non-citizens who legally reside and work in the United States and who are or may be adversely impacted by the Executive Order issued on January 27, 2017, "Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States," (the "Executive Order"). Specifically, the Executive Order places Skycatch in the untenable position of violating both the U.S. Constitution and a variety of non-discrimination statutes by examining the country of origin of applicants and considering whether a job applicant will be prohibited from international travel under the Executive Order in its hiring decisions. Additionally, Skycatch joins in the assertion that the effects of the Executive Order are unfair and represent a significant departure from the longstanding values embodied in the US immigration system.

Very truly yours,

FATHOM LAW, PC

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Erin Leigh-Dake", is written over a horizontal line.

Erin Leigh-Dake, Esq.
Attorney for Skycatch, Inc.

9th Circuit Case Number(s) 17-35105

NOTE: To secure your input, you should print the filled-in form to PDF (File > Print > PDF Printer/Creator).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

When All Case Participants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on (date) Feb 9, 2017 .

I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

Signature (use "s/" format) s/ Erin-Leigh Dake

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

When Not All Case Participants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on (date) .

Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the appellate CM/ECF system.

I further certify that some of the participants in the case are not registered CM/ECF users. I have mailed the foregoing document by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, or have dispatched it to a third party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

Signature (use "s/" format)